MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF
THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES OF OHIO UNIVERSITY

10:00 a.m., Saturday, January 30, 1993
McGuffey Hall, Board of Trustees Meeting Room
Ohio University, Athens Campus

EXECUTIVE SESSION
(Saturday, January 30, 1993, 9:00 a.m.)

On a motion by Mr. Schey, and a second by Mr. Hodson, the Ohio University Board of Trustees resolved to hold an executive session to consider real estate matters under Section 121.22(G)(2); legal matters under Section 121.22(G)(3); and personnel matters under Section 121.22(G)(4) of the Ohio Revised Code.

On a roll call vote all members were present and voted aye, namely: Chairman Schey, Mr. Campbell, Mr. Emrick, Mrs. Eufinger, Ms. Grasselli, Mr. Hodson, Mr. Leonard, Mr. Nolan and Dr. Strafford.

President Ping presented information on matters of purchase and sale of properties before the Trustees. He reported on the status of negotiations, appraisal information and possible action time frames. Board Secretary Geiger commented on possible uses of the property to be purchased and potential local concerns.

A recent Ohio Supreme Court ruling regarding the Ohio Public Records law was discussed.

Report on the Focus of the
College of Communication and Telecommunications Center

The Board of Trustees met Friday morning in Anderson Auditorium in the E. W. Scripps Hall, home of the School of Journalism. The focus for the morning was Ohio University's College of Communication. A display in the coffee room showed a wide array of books and videotapes authored or produced by faculty in the college. The trustees were electronically photographed by faculty from the School of Visual Communication; a copy of the photograph was digitally produced for each member of the Board of Trustees.

The college presentation opened with a computer-driven slide and audio show produced by Annie Griffiths Belts, a thesis project by an individual who has been both a student and a faculty member in the School of Visual Communication. Dean Paul Nelson gave a presentation entitled "A Formula for Success" which featured information about the college's programs, facilities, faculty,
students and alumni. He stated the Ohio Board of Regents had six times honored the college with excellence awards totaling nearly $1.5 million.

The Trustees were then invited to tour Scripps Hall, examine the faculty-authored publications, learn about the NASA project and see how digital photography works. Later in the morning Trustees witnessed a "Focus on Internships" during which four students and an Associated Press supervisor described internships with major companies here and abroad. The student-operated Athens Video Works provided examples of sports events, talk shows, and other events they produce. Following lunch with faculty and students, the Trustees heard an after-dinner speech by Dan Wilson, a student in the School of Interpersonal Communication's forensics program.

Telecommunications Center

Saturday afternoon following lunch, the Board met in the Radio/Television Communication Building to review the services of the Telecommunications Center. Members received a booklet describing the work of the Center using technologies for learning. A summary of services, finances, and points of excellence was presented by Joseph Welling, Director. The Center has brought $21 million in revenue to the University over the past ten years from sources other than those available for the support of academic programs. He also described student training and other human resource development work at the Center. This is the largest training program of its kind in the country.

Marvin L. Bowman, Director of Educational Telecommunications, described Center educational services. These include the ACTV-7 cable channel, videoconferencing, and a variety of campus services. His remarks included a description of the Higher Education Microwave Services project, a unique distance learning system which links all of the campuses of the University for two-way interactive television teaching, data and phone transmission.

James T. Myers, Director of Radio Broadcasting, described Ohio University Public Radio and WOUB. The four station FM network is based in Athens and in regional campus communities. It now serves a 20,000 sq. mile area. A fifth station will be added in the Zanesville area this year. 30,000 people use these services each week. The Family Health series produced with the College of Osteopathic Medicine is distributed to 400 radio stations in this country and worldwide through the Armed Forces Radio Network.

Mercedes Sabio, Director of Television Broadcasting, described Ohio University Public Television. 172,000 home viewers and 145,000 school children use services each week from the two public television stations operated by the University. Her report on program production included the first program done locally for Project Equity, using technology to help with the elementary and secondary school equity problem. She also described Rural Communities-Legacy and Change, a telecourse produced by the Center with Lifelong Learning and distributed nationally by the Public Broadcasting Service.
I. ROLL CALL

All members were present, namely: Chairman Ralph E. Schey, Richard E. Campbell, Charles R. Emrick, Jr., Charlotte C. Eufinger, Jeanette G. Grasselli, Thomas S. Hodson, Paul B. Leonard, Howard E. Nolan, and J. Craig Strafford, M.D. Student Trustees William A. Reimer, Jr. and Monica A. Turoczy also attended.

President Charles J. Ping and Secretary Alan H. Geiger were present.

Mr. Richard A. Lancaster, President, Ohio University Alumni Association Board was also present. The President of the Alumni Association sits with the Trustees by their invitation.

II. APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF OCTOBER 10, 1992
(previously distributed)

Mr. Hodson moved approval of the minutes as distributed. Mr. Campbell seconded the motion. All agreed.

III. COMMUNICATIONS, PETITION AND MEMORIALS

President Ping reported many individuals and families have written the Trustees and President to express their gratitude for the naming of spaces and areas in Kantner Hall and the Tower at Peden Stadium. The President read a letter from President Emeritus John C. Baker that captured the warmth and emotion of those writing. His letter follows:

JOHN C. BAKER
148 FOREST AV
MIDDLETOWN, NEW JERSEY 07748

October 23, 1992

Dear President Ping:

Your letter, informing me that the Trustees of Ohio University had again honored Mrs. Baker, thrilled me deeply. Their decision to name the Patio Theatre in Kantner Hall the Elizabeth Evans Baker Theatre would have pleased her too beyond words if she had been living. No other honor could have been more fitting, more deserved and more appreciated. My only regret about it - the absence of Elizabeth.
How can I properly acknowledge and thank the Trustees and all others involved for this very special honor to her, when usual thanks are completely inadequate? Here again, as I often did during her life, I turn to Elizabeth for help.

Before Elizabeth and I were married we had a long courtship - much of it by letters. I still have most of her letters from that period which I have read and re-read time and again. They have been my chief comfort since her death. The following excerpt from one of her 1932 letters reveals clearly not only her independent, imaginative spirit but also that your honor to her is a recognition of and answer to her dreams:

"John, is it foolish to want to do something? I long to work long and hard and then have the reward of feeling that I am getting into something, becoming something I am not, or realizing something I might be. The joy of work that creates a result - that attains and lifts you up higher than you were before - so that you find something new and greater - a broader vision. All these things re-create you - the world is born again. You know that these are the things that make life worthwhile - not just something to have been lived through."

President Ping and Trustees, with this letter and especially Elizabeth's own words I try to express my heart-felt appreciation for this exceptional honor to her. You, by this honor, recognize that she did accomplish far more in life than she ever realized. Her achievements, I am certain, will live on in the lives of many and inspire others to have visions and to become "what they were not."

In conclusion I must add that your tribute to Mrs. Baker also indirectly honors all those women in this country who for more than 200 years have courageously advanced the American theatre and other great institutions and causes.

With sincere appreciation,

John C. Baker
President Emeritus
Ohio University

IV. ANNOUNCEMENTS

Secretary Geiger reported there were no announcements.

V. REPORTS

Chairman Schey invited President Ping to present persons for reports. The President gave a report on several matters and then introduced Provost J. David Stewart.

Materials utilized in the presentations are included with the official minutes. Therefore, only an overview of each report is provided herein.
President Ping reviewed the history and process of the state's Task Force on Managing for the Future and reminded Trustees of their, as well as others', strong objections to its recommendations. The President stated that the Board of Regents and Chancellor Hairston carefully listened to suggestions and comments made at a fall hearing on this campus, as well as elsewhere in the state, and incorporated four basic and significant changes into their final recommendations, "Securing the Future of Higher Education in Ohio." These changes were:

1. The repudiation of an implied centralized higher education system.
2. The elimination of the recommendation to merge branch campuses with co-located technical schools.
3. The affirmation of the importance of tenure as protection for academic freedom.
4. The removal of the designation of two state "comprehensive" research universities.

The President reported that a session with the Board of Regents was scheduled for February 5 to begin the discussion regarding the future direction of our co-located campuses at Eastern and Zanesville. Coordinating Council members and deans from these campuses will be attending.

President Ping concluded by outlining a summary of the "Areas of Concern" and "Tasks for Universities" from the Regents' report. These are:

"Areas of Concern"
1. Program duplication
2. Faculty workload and evaluation
3. Role of branch campuses
4. Assumption that lowering costs increases access.

"Tasks for Universities"
1. Commitment to involve public and private colleges in statewide strategic planning.
2. Revision of institutional mission statements in order to ensure responsiveness to the overall goals and objectives of the Regent's recommendations.
3. Development of policies and procedures to implement the Regent's recommendations on faculty workload.
4. Preparation of a plan for co-located campuses.
B. ENRICHMENT PROGRAMS FOR UNDERGRADUATES

J. David Stewart
Provost

Provost Stewart noted his report would provide information regarding important educational initiatives not normally reported, and to underscore the fact that undergraduate education is our highest priority. The Provost listed three of several such programs.

Research Apprenticeships - Honors Tutorial College
The Provost stated this program has been funded through a Board of Regents Program Excellence award and has degree tracks in both the humanities and social sciences. The program encourages students to become directly involved with faculty in their research activities. The Provost reported 12 students participated in this program in 1992 and each indicated great benefit from their experiences. Faculty noted pleasure in working with bright young scholars. Research topics undertaken ranged from a comparative study of United States economic performance to federal aid to college students.

Corporate Leadership Program - College of Business
The Provost reported 15 junior-level students (Corporate Leadership Fellows) are involved in this program. The program brings students in direct contact with CEOs of major companies. These contacts are informal and unstructured, and students are required to become thoroughly familiar with the visiting executives’ companies. Companies and their executives involved include the House of Seagram, American Electric Power, Banc Ohio, Goodyear Tire and Rubber, and Digital Equipment Corporation. The program director, Dr. John Stinson, credits Trustee Chair Ralph Schey as the genius behind the program.

Co-op Programs - Engineering, Computer Science and Business
Provost Stewart noted that co-op programs differ from internships in that they are normally for a longer duration and are paid positions. All departments in the College of Engineering offer co-op opportunities. Currently 13% (145 students) of the College’s students are involved with co-op programs. The Provost noted specific academic requirements must be meet by participating students and their reactions and experience have been positive. He concluded by indicating fewer students are involved in computer science and business co-op programs.
VI. UNFINISHED BUSINESS

The Secretary reported no unfinished business.

VII. NEW BUSINESS

Chairman Schey reported that Board Committees had, at their respective meetings, discussed matters being presented to the Board. Items for action will be presented by the Committee Chair or a committee member designated by the Chair.
A. BUDGET, FINANCE AND PHYSICAL PLANT COMMITTEE

Committee Chair Hodson reported the Committee received two informational reports at their Friday session.

The first report from the university's external auditors, Arthur Andersen and Company, was provided by Messrs. Gaggle and Evans. They described the audits performed, the scope of their efforts and the good cooperation received. Both reported the audits were not qualified, found no material weaknesses in internal controls and that there was no disagreement with our accounting policies and practices.

Mr. Hodson reported that under requirements set by the Auditor of the State this was the last of the annual audits to be performed by Arthur Andersen and Company. He stated that the Committee and Treasurer William L. Kennard thanked the auditors for their good service.

Committee Chair Hodson stated he and members of the committee asked that as the selection process identifying a new audit firm begins, that more emphasis be given to the matter of protection from fraud.

The second, a progress report on the Student Recreation Center, was given by Vice President Gary North. Mr. Hodson identified the location of the proposed facility and a possible time frame for construction. A copy of Dr. North's statement is appended to the minutes.

Mr. Hodson reported the Committee met January 25, 1993, to announce the proposed location of the University's biotechnology and bioengineering facility. Committee members present included Chair Hodson and Messrs. Campbell, Nolan, and Reimer. He stated the proposed location is Cottage L at the Ridges and there was no Trustee action today on the matter.

Committee Chair Hodson noted approximately 50 individuals attended the announcement and discussion was primarily limited to the facility's proposed site. He reported that many present commented on possible environmental issues and a use of grounds and buildings at the Ridges. Mr. Hodson stated that he indicated to those in attendance they should seek in writing to him an opportunity to present questions or make statements regarding the nature of biotechnology. He indicated he would receive their requests and attempt to schedule time for such a presentation at the next committee meeting.
Mr. Nolan presented and moved approval of the resolution. Mr. Hodson seconded the motion. All agreed.

1991/92 FY TREASURER'S AUDITED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

RESOLUTION 1993 -- 1268

BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Trustees accepts the Treasurer's audited financial statements for the fiscal year ended June 30, 1992, and deposits the financial statements with the Secretary.
Ms. Grasselli presented and moved approval of the resolution. Mrs. Eufinger seconded the motion. Approval was unanimous.

AUDITOR’S REPORT

RESOLUTION 1993 -- 1269

BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Trustees accepts Arthur Andersen & Company’s audited reports issued pursuant to the Single Audit Act of 1984 and OBM Circular A-133 for the year ended June 30, 1992, and deposits the reports with the Secretary.
Mr. Reimer presented and moved approval of the resolution. Ms. Grasselli seconded the motion. The motion passed.

MID-YEAR 1992/93 BUDGET REVISIONS

RESOLUTION 1993 -- 1270

WHEREAS, adjustments to expenditures are necessary, as a result of state reductions, the carry forward to unspent funds and other adjustments, to the budget approved by the Board of Trustees at their June 6, 1992, meeting.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the revised 1992-93 budgets for unrestricted income and expenditures as presented in Exhibits I and II are hereby appropriated subject to the following provision:

The Provost, with the approval of the President, may make adjustments in expense allocations, providing the total does not exceed available unrestricted income.
# OHIO UNIVERSITY
## 1992-93 REVISED BUDGET
### UNRESTRICTED INCOME
#### ALL PROGRAMS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>State Appropriations</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General University Programs</td>
<td>$61,406,000</td>
<td>$60,575,000</td>
<td>$(831,000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>College of Medicine</td>
<td>12,335,000</td>
<td>12,145,000</td>
<td>$(190,000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regional Higher Education</td>
<td>11,554,000</td>
<td>11,517,000</td>
<td>$(37,000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total State Appropriations</strong></td>
<td>85,295,000</td>
<td>84,237,000</td>
<td>$(1,058,000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Student Fees</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General University Programs</td>
<td>70,178,000</td>
<td>71,059,000</td>
<td>881,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>College of Medicine</td>
<td>3,243,000</td>
<td>3,432,000</td>
<td>189,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regional Higher Education</td>
<td>15,880,000</td>
<td>15,800,000</td>
<td>$(80,000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Student Fees</strong></td>
<td>89,301,000</td>
<td>90,291,000</td>
<td>990,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Other Income</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General University Programs</td>
<td>9,503,000</td>
<td>9,684,000</td>
<td>181,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>College of Medicine</td>
<td>2,600,000</td>
<td>3,000,000</td>
<td>400,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regional Higher Education</td>
<td>72,000</td>
<td>72,000</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Other Income</strong></td>
<td>12,175,000</td>
<td>12,756,000</td>
<td>581,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lifelong Learning</td>
<td>2,682,000</td>
<td>2,682,000</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Income</strong></td>
<td>189,453,000</td>
<td>189,966,000</td>
<td>513,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Beginning Balance:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allocated</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General University Programs</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>11,887,000</td>
<td>11,887,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>College of Medicine</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1,591,000</td>
<td>1,591,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regional Higher Education</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1,748,000</td>
<td>1,748,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Allocated</strong></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>15,226,000</td>
<td>15,226,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unallocated</td>
<td></td>
<td>161,000</td>
<td>161,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Beginning Balance</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>15,387,000</td>
<td>15,387,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Income and Beginning Balance</strong></td>
<td>$189,453,000</td>
<td>$205,353,000</td>
<td>$15,900,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### OHIO UNIVERSITY
**1992-93 REVISED BUDGET**
**UNRESTRICTED EXPENDITURES**
**ALL PROGRAMS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1992-93 Original Budget</th>
<th>1992-93 Revised Budget</th>
<th>Increase/Decrease</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>I. President</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. Office of the President</td>
<td>$649,000</td>
<td>$673,000</td>
<td>$24,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Board of Trustees</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legislative Liaison</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. Affirmative Action</td>
<td>250,000</td>
<td>253,000</td>
<td>3,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. Institutional Contingency Fund</td>
<td>500,000</td>
<td>500,000</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>President Total</strong></td>
<td>1,399,000</td>
<td>1,426,000</td>
<td>27,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| **II. Provost**              |                         |                        |                   |
| A. General                  | 2,525,000               | 2,500,000              | (25,000)          |
| Office of the Provost       |                         |                        |                   |
| Summer Session Office       |                         |                        |                   |
| Faculty Senate              |                         |                        |                   |
| Ohio University Press       |                         |                        |                   |
| Legal Affairs               |                         |                        |                   |
| Ombudsman                   |                         |                        |                   |
| Institutional Research      |                         |                        |                   |
| **Sub-Total**               | 94,781,000              | 102,518,000            | 7,737,000         |

| **B. Academic Programs**    |                         |                        |                   |
| 1. Arts and Sciences        | 31,777,000              | 33,426,000             | 1,649,000         |
| 2. Business Administration  | 5,828,000               | 5,990,000              | 162,000           |
| 3. Communication            | 6,168,000               | 6,454,000              | 286,000           |
| 4. Education                | 4,941,000               | 5,424,000              | 483,000           |
| 5. Engineering              | 7,065,000               | 7,518,000              | 453,000           |
| 6. Fine Arts                | 8,523,000               | 8,843,000              | 320,000           |
| 7. Graduate College and Research | 2,812,000            | 4,732,000              | 1,920,000         |
| 8. Health and Human Services| 6,730,000               | 7,278,000              | 548,000           |
| 9. Honors Tutorial          | 306,000                 | 167,000                | (139,000)         |
| 10. International Studies   | 1,393,000               | 1,428,000              | 35,000            |
| 11. College of Medicine     | 18,178,000              | 20,168,000             | 1,990,000         |
| 12. University College      | 1,060,000               | 1,090,000              | 30,000            |
| **Sub-Total**               | 94,781,000              | 102,518,000            | 7,737,000         |

| **C. Support and Services** |                         |                        |                   |
| 1. University Library       | 6,450,000               | 6,819,000              | 369,000           |
| 2. Computing and Technology Services | 4,133,000            | 4,900,000              | 767,000           |
| **Sub-Total**               | 10,583,000              | 11,719,000             | 1,136,000         |
## II. Provost, Continued

### D. Regional Higher Education

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1992-93 Original Budget</th>
<th>1992-93 Revised Budget</th>
<th>Increase/Decrease</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Office of the Vice Provost</td>
<td>$1,569,000</td>
<td>$2,317,000</td>
<td>$748,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eastern Campus</td>
<td>3,624,000</td>
<td>3,730,000</td>
<td>106,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chillicothe Campus</td>
<td>5,071,000</td>
<td>5,277,000</td>
<td>206,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ironton Campus</td>
<td>3,799,000</td>
<td>3,997,000</td>
<td>198,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lancaster Campus</td>
<td>6,157,000</td>
<td>6,364,000</td>
<td>207,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zanesville Campus</td>
<td>4,528,000</td>
<td>4,694,000</td>
<td>166,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Development Incentive</td>
<td>520,000</td>
<td>520,000</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Campus Service</td>
<td>2,238,000</td>
<td>2,238,000</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telecommunications</td>
<td>1,243,000</td>
<td>1,272,000</td>
<td>29,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lifelong Learning</td>
<td>3,253,000</td>
<td>3,323,000</td>
<td>70,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sub-Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>32,002,000</strong></td>
<td><strong>33,732,000</strong></td>
<td><strong>1,730,000</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### E. Intercollegiate Athletics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1992-93 Original Budget</th>
<th>1992-93 Revised Budget</th>
<th>Increase/Decrease</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4,539,000</td>
<td>4,627,000</td>
<td>88,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### F. Funds To Be Allocated

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1992-93 Original Budget</th>
<th>1992-93 Revised Budget</th>
<th>Increase/Decrease</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part-Time Teaching</td>
<td>650,000</td>
<td>678,000</td>
<td>28,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health Insurance Increase</td>
<td>1,475,000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>(1,475,000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incremental Fee Waivers</td>
<td>209,000</td>
<td>42,000</td>
<td>(167,000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1992-93 UPAC Pool</td>
<td>500,000</td>
<td>704,000</td>
<td>204,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sub-Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>2,834,000</strong></td>
<td><strong>1,424,000</strong></td>
<td><strong>(1,410,000)</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### III. Dean of Students

#### A. General

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1992-93 Original Budget</th>
<th>1992-93 Revised Budget</th>
<th>Increase/Decrease</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Office of the Dean of Students</td>
<td>227,000</td>
<td>285,000</td>
<td>58,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Career Services</td>
<td>398,000</td>
<td>411,000</td>
<td>13,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Counseling and Psychological Services</td>
<td>453,000</td>
<td>461,000</td>
<td>8,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sub-Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>1,078,000</strong></td>
<td><strong>1,157,000</strong></td>
<td><strong>79,000</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### B. Student Organizations and Activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1992-93 Original Budget</th>
<th>1992-93 Revised Budget</th>
<th>Increase/Decrease</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Student Activities</td>
<td>1,737,000</td>
<td>1,769,000</td>
<td>32,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student Senate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Post</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baker Center</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cultural Affairs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student Activities Commission</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Dean of Students Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>2,815,000</strong></td>
<td><strong>2,926,000</strong></td>
<td><strong>111,000</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### IV. Vice President for Administration

#### A. General

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Original Budget</th>
<th>Revised Budget</th>
<th>Increase/ (Decrease)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$ 465,000</td>
<td>$ 781,000</td>
<td>$ 316,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Office of the Vice President for Administration
Administrative Senate
Professional Development

#### B. University Facilities Planning

| 275,000 | 283,000 | 8,000 |

#### C. Baker Center Food and Beverage

(Residence and Dining Halls Auxiliary Reported Separately)

| 204,000 | 264,000 | 60,000 |

#### D. Student Services

1. Admissions
   | 1,111,000 | 1,163,000 | 52,000 |
2. Registration, Records, Scheduling
   | 1,300,000 | 1,330,000 | 30,000 |
3. Financial Aid
   | 3,567,000 | 3,653,000 | 86,000 |
4. Student Health Services
   | 1,251,000 | 1,298,000 | 47,000 |

**Sub-Total**

| 7,229,000 | 7,444,000 | 215,000 |

#### D. Physical Plant

1. Physical Plant Operations
   | 9,876,000 | 10,434,000 | 558,000 |
   Administration
   Buildings Maintenance
   Custodial Maintenance
   Grounds Maintenance
   Campus Recycling
2. Capital Improvements
   | 274,000   | 4,343,000  | 4,069,000 |
3. Rental Properties
   | 41,000    | 57,000     | 16,000    |
4. Purchased Utilities
   | 5,724,000 | 5,724,000  | 0         |

**Sub-Total**

| 15,915,000 | 20,558,000 | 4,643,000 |

#### E. Support and Services

1. Personnel
   | 547,000   | 645,000    | 98,000    |
   President 1699
2. Campus Safety
   | 1,098,000 | 1,150,000  | 52,000    |
3. Other Services
   | 1,267,000 | 1,341,000  | 74,000    |
   Airport
   Garage
   Mail Services
   Environmental Health and Safety
   Campus Recycling Program

**Sub-Total**

| 2,912,000   | 3,136,000  | 224,000   |

**Vice President for Administration Total**

<p>| 27,000,000  | 32,466,000 | 5,466,000 |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1992-93 Original Budget</th>
<th>1992-93 Revised Budget</th>
<th>Increase/Decrease</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>V. Treasurer and Controller</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>A. General</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Office of the Treasurer and Controller</td>
<td>$1,980,000</td>
<td>$2,155,000</td>
<td>$175,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Materials Management and Purchasing</td>
<td>428,000</td>
<td>437,000</td>
<td>9,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Stores Receiving</td>
<td>370,000</td>
<td>386,000</td>
<td>16,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sub-Total</strong></td>
<td>2,778,000</td>
<td>2,978,000</td>
<td>200,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>B. Fiscal Management</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Services</td>
<td>1,057,000</td>
<td>1,100,000</td>
<td>43,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Faculty and Staff Benefits</td>
<td>2,050,000</td>
<td>2,050,000</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Retirement Benefits</td>
<td>234,000</td>
<td>234,000</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Unemployment Compensation</td>
<td>75,000</td>
<td>75,000</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Debt Service (Convocation Center)</td>
<td>425,000</td>
<td>425,000</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Compensated Absences and Payroll Accrual</td>
<td>635,000</td>
<td>635,000</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Medicare</td>
<td>75,000</td>
<td>75,000</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Fee Waiver Variance</td>
<td>100,000</td>
<td>302,000</td>
<td>202,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sub-Total</strong></td>
<td>4,651,000</td>
<td>4,896,000</td>
<td>245,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Treasurer and Controller Total</strong></td>
<td>7,429,000</td>
<td>7,874,000</td>
<td>445,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**VI. Vice President for University Relations**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1992-93 Original Budget</th>
<th>1992-93 Revised Budget</th>
<th>Increase/Decrease</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>A. Office of the Vice President for University Relations</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>B. Alumni Relations</strong></td>
<td>440,000</td>
<td>450,000</td>
<td>10,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>C. Publications</strong></td>
<td>591,000</td>
<td>728,000</td>
<td>137,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>D. University News Services</strong></td>
<td>317,000</td>
<td>324,000</td>
<td>7,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Vice President for University Relations Total</strong></td>
<td>1,756,000</td>
<td>2,068,000</td>
<td>312,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VII. Vice President for Development</td>
<td>1992-93 Original Budget</td>
<td>1992-93 Revised Budget</td>
<td>Increase/ (Decrease)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. Office of the Vice President for Development</td>
<td>$1,790,000</td>
<td>$1,914,000</td>
<td>$124,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vice President for Development</td>
<td>$1,790,000</td>
<td>$1,914,000</td>
<td>$124,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$1,790,000</td>
<td>$1,914,000</td>
<td>$124,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VIII. Transfer to Plant Funds</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$159,000</td>
<td>$159,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total University Expenditures</td>
<td>$189,453,000</td>
<td>$205,353,000</td>
<td>$15,900,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Committee Chair Hodson described the processes utilized in developing the space and capital recommendations before the Trustees and noted they received a briefing on the matter at their June 1992 meeting. In response to a statement delivered prior to the meeting, he reiterated that what was before the Trustees was only a consultant’s recommendations for capital funding and building razing and that each and every consideration required future Trustee action. He acknowledged opposition and recommendation to raze two facilities at the Ridges and his resentment for personalizing such opposition. Committee member Nolan supported the concept of the document before the Trustees and its value in assisting Trustees in determining "the highest and best use" for university land and buildings. Trustees commented that the consultants had undertaken an earlier study of the entire Ridges and that the matter before them reaffirms the strategic directions sought.

Mr. Campbell presented and moved approval of the resolution. Mrs. Eufinger seconded the motion. Approval was unanimous.

**SPACE MANAGEMENT AND UTILIZATION STUDY**

**RESOLUTION 1993 -- 1271**

**WHEREAS**, on October 5, 1990, the Board of Trustees authorized the selection of a consultant to undertake a space management and utilization study, and

**WHEREAS**, Bohm-NBBJ was selected to conduct the study, and

**WHEREAS**, after a preliminary assessment of progress, the Board on April 4, 1992, approved "Proposed Guidelines for Space Planning," and

**WHEREAS**, the consultant presented the final report dated November, 1992.

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED** that the consultant’s report be accepted as a guide to campus development and capital requests, with the provision that specific plans for biennial capital funding and recommendations for demolition of buildings require further Board action.
DATE: January 6, 1993

TO: Charles J. Ping, President

FROM: Alan H. Geiger, Secretary to Board

SUBJECT: Space Utilization and Management Study Resolution

Enclosed is a resolution seeking Board of Trustees acceptance of the Ohio University Space Utilization and Management Study. The Study encompasses the Athens campus, Regional Campuses and the Ridges and is designed to systematically recommend space planning, campus development and capital requests matters for the next decade or so. An executive summary of the study was forwarded to members prior to the meeting.
Mr. Hodson reported that issues involving the resolution had been considered in executive session and moved its approval. Mr. Emrick seconded the motion. All agreed.

PURCHASE OF PROPERTIES RECOMMENDATION

RESOLUTION 1993 - 1272

WHEREAS, Ohio University has the opportunity to purchase two pieces of property in Athens to enhance its operations, and

WHEREAS, these two pieces of property are located at 109 S. Court Street and 205 Columbus Road, and

WHEREAS, any possible purchase requires approval of the Ohio Board of Regents and State Controlling Board.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the President is authorized to continue negotiations for the possible purchase of the above-mentioned properties; to seek the appropriate state approvals; and to enter into Purchase Agreements for each in consultation with the Budget, Finance and Physical Plant Committee.
Ohio University
Interoffice Communication

DATE: January 7, 1993

TO: The President and Board of Trustees

FROM: John F. Burns, Director of Legal Affairs

SUBJECT: Purchase of Properties Recommendation

Ohio University has been presented with the opportunity to purchase two pieces of property in Athens that the staff recommends be seriously considered to enhance the University's operations.

The first is the current Sigma Nu Fraternity House at 109 South Court St. This is the former Beckley property that sits between Crewson House and the Alpha Delta Pi Sorority House.

The second is the approximate 33,000 sq/ft facility at 205 Columbus Road, which was built as an automobile dealership approximately twenty (20) years ago, and which most recently was the Gibson Ford and Truck dealership.

Discussions and negotiations have occurred with representatives of both of these properties; and we are to a point of seeking authorization for the President to enter into possible Purchase Agreements for these two properties from the Board of Trustees. Such purchases will require Ohio Board of Regents and State Controlling Board approval; and the attached resolution has been prepared for your review to authorize the President to pursue the negotiations; to seek the necessary state approvals; and to enter into possible Purchase Agreements for each in consultation with the Budget, Finance and Physical Plant Committee.

The University's staff will be available at the Board meeting to answer any specific questions about these transactions.

Thank you.

JFB:vsp

cc: Dr. Alan H. Geiger, Secretary to the Board of Trustees
    Mr. Gary B. North, Vice President for Administration
    Mr. John K. Kotowski, Director of Facilities Planning
Mr. Reimer presented and moved approval of the resolution. Mrs. Eufinger seconded the motion. The motion passed.

DELEGATION OF AUTHORITY

RESOLUTION 1993 - 1273

WHEREAS, the Ohio University Board of Trustees has traditionally reviewed and approved the granting of specific new and renewal utility and roadway right-of-way easements, and

WHEREAS, the growth and development of the Athens and Regional Campuses, particularly the recent acquisition of the Ridges from the Athens Mental Health Center and the CSX Railroad property, has substantially increased the number of easements on University property which need to be timely and expeditiously handled, and

WHEREAS, the Ohio University Board of Trustees has the authority to delegate the approval of such easements to the President, subject to appropriate standards of guidance.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Ohio University Board of Trustees hereby delegates the authority to approve general utility and roadway right-of-way easements to the President for calendar year 1993, subject to the following standards of guidance. (1) That the approval of such easements be for fifteen (15) years for utility services and roadway rights-of-way. (2) That the consideration generally be $1.00 if the easement directly benefits Ohio University and fair market value otherwise. (3) That the President, or his designee, obtain approvals and arrange for execution in accordance with Ohio law.
DATE: January 7, 1993
TO: The President and Board of Trustees
FROM: John F. Burns, Director, Office of Legal Affairs
SUBJECT: Delegation of Authority to the President to Approve Easements

During the contemporary history of the governance of Ohio University, the Board of Trustees has been presented and approved the granting of leases and easements.

In order to facilitate the Board of Trustees' governance role the By-Laws were amended in 1980 to call for five (5) affirmative votes to approve a lease of University land or buildings. Now, in order to further facilitate the governance process, the University staff is requesting the President and Board of Trustees to approve a yearly delegation of authority to the President to approve the terms and conditions of new and renewal utility and right-of-way easements.

During the past few years as the Athens and Regional Campus have developed there have been an increasing number of requests and legal requirements for such easements. Currently, between the utility companies and City of Athens we have over eighty (80) easements in place on the Athens Campus, most of which can only be granted for fifteen (15) years under Ohio law. We also have eight (8) new or renewal easements that would ordinarily have been placed in the agenda for the upcoming meeting, all of which are general utility easements. Further, with the recent acquisition of the Athens Mental Health Center land at the Ridges and the former CSX Railroad property, we are now the successor in interest to a substantial number of other easements that will be up for renewal in the future.

It is the staff's recommendation that a yearly delegation of authority to the President would enhance the University's ability to timely enter into the appropriate easements without limiting the proper governance role of the Ohio University Board of Trustees.

The Ohio General Assembly has recognized the appropriateness and necessity of delegation by a university board of trustees by enacting a statutory guidelines in the biennial appropriate bills for a number of recent bienniums; the current bill being H.B. 298 Section 105.02 Higher Education - Board of Trustees:

"The authority of government vested by law in the boards of trustees of state-assisted institutions of higher education shall in fact be exercised by those boards. Boards of trustees may consult extensively with appropriate student and faculty groups. Administrative decisions about the utilization of
available resources, about organizational structure, about disciplinary procedure, about the operation and staffing of all auxiliary facilities, and about administrative personnel shall be the exclusive prerogative of boards of trustees. Any delegation of authority by a board of trustees in other areas of responsibility shall be accompanied by appropriate standards of guidance concerning expected objectives in the exercise of such delegated authority and shall be accompanied by periodic review of the exercise of this delegated authority to the end that the public interest, in contrast to any institutional or special interest, shall be served."

It is the opinion of the Office of Legal Affairs that approval of general utility and right-of-way easements fall within the general area of "other areas of responsibility"; and that the "appropriate standards of guidance" in the proposed delegation be (1) the approval of such easements be for fifteen (15) years for utility services and roadway right-of-ways; (2) the consideration generally be $1.00 if the easement is to directly benefit Ohio University and at a fair market value otherwise; and (3) the President, or his designee seek approval and arrange for execution in accordance with Ohio law. These guidelines will cover the most of the types of general easements; and the President has the discretion to bring any unique easement to the Board's attention if needed.

The attached resolution has been prepared for your review and consideration; and the staff will be available at the Board meeting to answer any questions.

JFB: ndw

Enclosure
Mr. Hodson noted this matter had been considered in executive session and moved its approval. Mrs. Eufinger seconded the motion. All agreed.

SALE OF ENDOWMENT PROPERTY

RESOLUTION 1993 -- 1274

WHEREAS, Ohio University has been bequeathed a four-unit apartment building in Columbus, Ohio from the will of Authorine M. Moore, and

WHEREAS, Ohio University is now the owner of the property and has received it as endowment property under Section 3345.16 of the Ohio Revised Code, and

WHEREAS, the Ohio University Board of Trustees has determined in order to carry out the provisions of Authorine M. Moore’s will that proceeds from the sale of this property be used as a source for student loans as a part of the financial aids program for Ohio University students.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Ohio University Board of Trustees hereby authorizes the President to approve a procedure for the sale of this property and approve the terms and conditions for the sale in consultation with the Budget, Finance and Physical Plant Committee.
DATE: January 7, 1993

TO: The President and Board of Trustees

FROM: John F. Burns, Director of Legal Affairs

SUBJECT: Sale of Endowment Property

On November 21, 1960, Authorine M. Moore executed her will which made Ohio University the remainderman of a four unit apartment building at 1449-51-53-55 W. 6th Ave. in Columbus, Ohio, subject to a life estate of James W. and Sally Miller. She also stated that she wished the proceeds from the sale of said property to establish a loan fund for worthy students of Ohio University.

To the best of our knowledge, this bequest was not made known to representatives of Ohio University when the will was executed or when she died in 1965. Mrs. Miller passed away a few years ago, and we were not aware of this property until approximately a year ago when Mr. Miller’s attorney contacted us about sharing in the cost of repairing the roof.

After carefully reviewing the building, including an inspection by Mr. John K. Kotowski, Director of Facilities Planning, it was found in good condition as it was maintained by Mr. Miller, we agreed to share in the cost of the roof repair so we would be protecting our future interest in the property.

Soon after the agreement with Mr. Miller was made and the repair was completed, Mr. Miller became ill and passed away and the property became Ohio University’s. For the past few months, the Office of Legal Affairs with the assistance of Special Counsel in Columbus has "managed" the property, including initiating an eviction, a Small Claims Court collection of unpaid rent, arranging for utilities and insurance, getting appraisals, etc.; and after a review with Mr. William L. Kennard, University Treasurer, and Mr. Jack G. Ellis, Vice President for Development, our initial plan to sell the property has become a priority as currently there are a few parties interested in purchasing it. We recommend this option in lieu of hiring a property manager and continuing to hold the property.

Such property is received by a state university as endowment property under Section 3345.16 ORC; and can be sold by authorization of the Ohio University Board of Trustees. When the appraisals are completed; the deeds properly recorded in Ohio University’s name; and a procedure set for carrying out the sale is adopted, we would promptly plan to sell the property, which in my best judgment would be in a few weeks.
A resolution has been prepared for your review and consideration to authorize the President to establish the terms and conditions of the sale and carrying out the terms of Authorine M. Moore’s will.

Thank you and the University staff will be available to answer any questions at the Board meeting.

JFB:vsp

cc: Dr. Alan H. Geiger, Secretary to the Board of Trustees
    Mr. William L. Kennard, Treasurer
    Mr. Jack G. Ellis, Vice President for Development
INNOVATION CENTER AND RESEARCH PARK AUTHORITY APPOINTMENTS

RESOLUTION 1993 - 1275

WHEREAS, the Ohio University Board of Trustees established the Innovation Center and Research Park Authority (ICRPA) in 1983 to provide advice and counsel for the development and operation of the Ohio University Innovation Center and the planned Research Park, and

WHEREAS, the ICRPA Board consists of seven (7) members, three (3) of whom are to represent the business community, and

WHEREAS, Mr. B. T. Grover, Jr., and Mr. Ralph Schey have consented to serve on the ICRPA for five (5) year terms.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Ohio University Board of Trustees hereby appoints Mr. B. T. Grover, Jr., for a term from January 1, 1993 to December 31, 1997; and Mr. Ralph E. Schey for a term beginning January 1, 1992 to December 31, 1996, with a noted appreciation for their willingness to serve in this position.
DATE: January 7, 1993
TO: The President and Board of Trustees
FROM: John F. Burns, Director, Office of Legal Affairs
SUBJECT: Appointments to Innovation Center and Research Park Authority (ICRPA)

A review of the appointments to the ICRPA has led to a request that two appointments be made at the January, 1993, meeting of the Board of Trustees.

The first is for Mr. B. T. (Tad) Grover, Jr. for a five (5) year term beginning January 1, 1993, and ending December 31, 1997. Mr. Grover has recently retired as President of Bank One, Athens, a position he held for the last 27 years, and is one of the foremost leaders in the Athens community. His appointment replaces that of Dr. Wilfred R. Konneker, whose distinguished service to Ohio University included serving on the ICRPA for the past decade and whose current term ended December 31, 1992.

The second is to have the Board of Trustees officially reappointment of Mr. Ralph E. Schey for a continuing term on the ICRPA from January 1, 1992, to December 31, 1996, to continue his important service in this capacity.

JFB:ndw
cc: Dr. Alan H. Geiger, Secretary to the Board of Trustees
Ms. Grasselli noted she was pleased to present and move the resolution. She commented that much of the local resources were contributed by Physics faculty from research projects. Mrs. Eufinger seconded the motion. All agreed.

EDWARDS ACCELERATOR LABORATORY RENOVATION AND ADDITION

RESOLUTION 1993-- 1276

WHEREAS, the College of Arts and Sciences, Department of Physics successfully obtained an Investment Fund Loan from the Ohio Board of Regents in the amount of $360,000.00, and

WHEREAS, the Ohio University has identified $150,000.00 in local resources, and

WHEREAS, the Ohio University has identified $250,000.00 in Basic Renovation Funds from an appropriation to Ohio University in Amended Substitute House Bill 904, and

WHEREAS, all three funding sources total $760,000.00 and these funds have been designated for the renovation and expansion of the Edwards Accelerator Laboratory Building, and

WHEREAS, the Ohio University Board of Trustees did at their regular meeting on October 26, 1991 authorize the President or his designee to recommend to the Division of Public Works the selection of an architectural consultant for the Edwards Accelerator Laboratory Building Project, and

WHEREAS, Ohio University did select the firm of Reiser, Valentour and Callahan, Architects as associate architect for the project, and

WHEREAS, final plans and specifications have been prepared for advertisement on the Project,

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Ohio University Board of Trustees does hereby approve plans and specifications for the Edwards Accelerator Laboratory Project.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Ohio University Board of Trustees does hereby authorize the advertisement for and receipt of construction bids for the Edwards Accelerator Laboratory Renovation and Addition Project, and does hereby empower the President or his designee, to accept and recommend to the Deputy Director, Ohio Division of Public Works, construction bids received for the Project provided total bids do not exceed available funds.
OHIO UNIVERSITY
Interoffice Communication
January 4, 1993

To: Dr. Gary B. North, V.P. For Administration
From: John K. Kotowski, Director, Facilities Planning

SUBJECT: APPROVAL OF PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS AND AUTHORIZATION TO AWARD CONSTRUCTION CONTRACTS ON THE EDWARDS ACCELERATOR BUILDING RENOVATION AND ADDITION

There are three sources of funds which together, will provide $760,000.00 to renovate and construct an addition to the Edwards Accelerator Laboratory Building. The Ohio Board of Regents have awarded a $360,000.00 investment fund loan to the College of Arts and Sciences, Department of Physics for this project. This loan requires matching funds. The investment funds will be matched by the University through the identification of $150,000.00 in local plant funds and the allocation of $250,000.00 in Basic Renovation Funds appropriated to the University in Amended Substitute House Bill 904. These funds will be used to renovate approximately 2,500 square feet of space and construct approximately 4,900 square feet of space in a two story addition to the Edwards Accelerator Building. The space involved will be laboratory areas for Physics, faculty offices, a computer laboratory, and support rooms.

On October 26, 1991, the Board of Trustees authorized the selection of a consulting architect and the development of construction documents. Toward that end, the development of plans and specifications for the Edwards Accelerator Building Renovation and Addition Project are complete, and I anticipate receipt of bids in February, 1993.

I have enclosed a resolution for consideration by the Board of Trustees at their January 30, 1993 meeting which seeks approval of plans and specifications and permits the recommendation of contract award so long as total bids received do not exceed total funds available.

I will provide construction documents the week of January 25, 1993 for use by the Board. Please let me know if there is anything else that I can do to assist on this matter.

Thank you for consideration of this project.

JKK/slw/ACCL9002.GBN

enclosure

pc: Dr. F. Donald Eckelmann
January 14, 1993

Dr. Charles Ping  
President  
Ohio University  
Cutler Hall  
CAMPUS

Dear Dr. Ping:

Attached is a recommendation from John Kotowski for bidding out the Edwards Accelerator Laboratory renovation and addition.

I recommend approval.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Gary North

GBN/rs
B. EDUCATIONAL POLICIES

Committee Chair Strafford thanked those providing reports to his committee. He noted Provost Stewart’s reports regarding units and their program reviews were helpful in better understanding how curriculum requirements are determined and matters of faculty recruitment. He commented he appreciated the report about the Study Abroad Program and its value to our university. Dr. Strafford briefly commented on David Allen’s report regarding the affiliation of our Edison Center with a biotechnology entity in Cleveland, Ohio, and noted it appeared to be an operational expedient.
Mr. Emrick presented and moved approval of the resolution. He asked that the complete guidelines be incorporated by reference. Ms. Turoczy seconded the motion. The motion passed.

OPERATIONAL GUIDELINES FOR THE CHARLES J. PING INSTITUTE FOR THE TEACHING OF THE HUMANITIES

RESOLUTION 1993 -- 1277

WHEREAS, the Ohio University Board of Trustees did at their October 10, 1992, meeting formalize the creation of an institute for the teaching of the humanities, and

WHEREAS, at this same meeting the Board of Trustees named the institute the Charles J. Ping Institute for the Teaching of the Humanities, including the naming of three endowed distinguished teaching professorships.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Trustees accept the operational guidelines as the basis for founding and function of the Charles J. Ping Institute for the Teaching of the Humanities.
The Charles J. Ping Institute
for the Teaching of the Humanities

Guidelines for Operation

The Charles J. Ping Institute for the Teaching of the Humanities was established by the Ohio University Board of Trustees on October 10, 1992. The funding base for this endowed institute is a grant from the National Endowment for the Humanities and a three-for-one match from non-federal funds. The National Endowment for the Humanities grant and the match provide a permanent endowment of over $1.4 million, with earnings to be used to support the Ping Institute for the Teaching of the Humanities and three distinguished teaching professorships in the humanities. In addition to salary supplements, funds from the endowment can be used to support such institute projects and activities as library acquisitions, teaching colloquia, curriculum revisions, and summer workshops for high school humanities teachers.

Definition

The institute will follow the definition of humanities embodied in the act that established the National Endowment for the Humanities. The humanities include, but are not limited to, the study of the following disciplines: history, philosophy, languages; linguistics; literature; archaeology; jurisprudence; the history, theory, and criticism of the arts; ethics, comparative religion; and those aspects of the social sciences that employ historical or philosophical approaches.

Distinguished Teaching Professorships

Two internal appointments will be made to honor outstanding teachers currently on the Ohio University humanities faculty. The third distinguished teaching appointment will be made to fill a vacancy in a senior faculty appointment in one of the disciplines described by the definition of the National Endowment for the Humanities. Departments will be invited to submit nominations and supporting documentation to the president for review and the preparation of a recommendation to the Board of Trustees.

The three endowed distinguished teaching professorships will be named as follows: The Charles J. Ping Professor of Humanities; The James S. Reid/The Standard Products Company Professor of Humanities; The Jay Richard Hamilton/Baker and Hostetler Professor of Humanities. Normally, the three will be based in different departments or schools. Each faculty member appointed will carry both a departmental title and the distinguished teaching professor title. Income from the endowment will provide a supplement to the departmental salary line and will be separate and independent of that base and regular adjustments.
Criteria for the distinguished professorships awarded internally will include but will not be limited to the following: contributions to the General Education Program of the University; awards for teaching – University Professorships, college teaching awards, provost's special teaching awards, teaching enhancement awards; new course development, especially interdisciplinary courses emphasizing the humanities; levels of activity in teaching general courses for a broad range of students; participation in the University teaching colloquium or other similar programs at the college level; mentoring of fellow teachers and other activities to encourage effective teaching, such as conducting seminars or giving public presentations on teaching. The individual hired from outside the University will be expected to meet similar criteria plus criteria determined to be relevant by the departmental selection committees. Academic units will be invited to submit credentials of candidates for regular faculty appointment as possible candidates for distinguished teaching professorships.

Appointment

Appointment as a distinguished teaching professor in the humanities will be made by the Trustees upon the recommendation of the president. A nominating committee, appointed by the president and chaired by the provost and consisting of the deans of University College and the College of Arts and Sciences, three senior humanities faculty, and two students in their final years on campus, will submit nominations and supply documentation to the president. The numbers of nominees in each case shall be at least three times the number of vacancies.

Institute Activities

The three distinguished teaching professors in the humanities will choose one from among their number to serve a three-year renewable term as director of the institute and will make joint decisions about the expenditure of discretionary funds from endowment income. The institute endowment will support other activity as determined by the distinguished teaching professors. The activities may include, for example, such projects and activities as:

Library acquisitions. Funds can be used to enhance library holdings in the distinguished teaching professors' areas of interest.

Curriculum Revision. To allow the institute to support curricular change in the humanities, funding can be allocated for use by the distinguished teaching professors for travel to conferences, purchase or production of teaching materials (videotapes, slides, other media), or activities that will enrich the teaching of humanities. Modest amounts of these funds may be used for purchase of equipment for the professors' use in teaching.
Teaching Colloquium. Modeled on the University-wide colloquium sponsored by University College, the institute may on occasion organize a colloquium devoted specifically to humanities professors to help them improve the teaching of the humanities.

Summer Workshop for High School Humanities Teachers. Based on the highly successful summer seminars for high school teachers developed by the NEH, the institute may design and organize summer workshops for high school humanities teachers on significant texts and on teaching strategies to engage high school students in talking and writing about the ideas central to our heritage.

Public Forums. As funds permit, the institute may, from time to time, support a conference on the teaching of the humanities that may include bringing to campus a nationally recognized figures who can contribute to the discussion of the role of humanities at Ohio University, in education, and in public life.
Ms. Turoczy presented and moved approval of the resolution. She commented the proposed changes were substantial in nature. Mr. Leonard seconded the motion. All agreed.

STUDENT SENATE RESOLUTION

RESOLUTION 1993 -- 1278

WHEREAS, the constitution of the Ohio University Student Senate was submitted for review to the Senate Constitutional Review Committee; and

WHEREAS, the committee recommended changes in the Student Senate Constitution for purposes of more accurately describing membership configuration, clarifying previous language, improving representation, modifying membership requirements and improving implementation of procedures resulting from each election, and

WHEREAS, such recommendations were approved by two thirds of the membership of the Student Senate and subsequently the majority of those students who voted in the spring election of 1992.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Ohio University Board of Trustees approve Motion Number 9192-64 as an amendment to the Student Senate Constitution.

Synopsis of motion:

Sections II - Membership, III - Methods of Filling Student Senate Position, IV - Officers (term and definition), and Section XI (listing of commissions) were modified for the above stated reasons. A summary of such changes is attached to this resolution highlighting in bold print that language which was passed by the Senate, the students and recommended to the President by the Dean of Students.

Attachments: Highlighted changes
Rosan memo clarifying reasons for change
DATE: January 5, 1993

TO: President Charles J. Ping

FROM: Joel S. Rudy, Dean of Students

SUBJECT: Student Senate Constitution Changes

In the spring of 1992, the Ohio University undergraduate Student Senate considered changes in its constitution as recommended by the Constitutional Review Committee of the Student Senate. The committee had been meeting for several months and submitted its recommendations to the Senate which approved them by the required two-thirds majority. Subsequently, the recommendations were submitted to the student body in the all-campus spring 1992 elections where they were adopted by a majority of those voting. The changes constitute a more accurate description of what the Senate has been doing, help to clarify previous language, serve to improve representation, modify membership requirements and improve implementation of procedures resulting from each election. In consultation with the Student Senate advisor, Mr. Michael Sostarich, I concur with the recommended changes and submit them for your review and approval. I have also attached a summary of the changes adopted by the Student Senate and the student body in addition to a summary of justification for such changes as prepared by John Rosan, President of the Student Senate, and Scott Bova, University Commissions Commissioner of the Student Senate.

I believe these changes help to strengthen the constitution of the Student Senate and recommend them to you for your approval.

JSR:kr
CHANGES TO THE
CONSTITUTION of THE OHIO UNIVERSITY STUDENT SENATE
November 1992

NOTE:
Changes to the approved constitution are made in bold type.
Items removed from the approved constitution are marked out.

PREAMBLE

We the students of Ohio University, Athens campus, of the belief that students have the right and obligation to participate in the formulation and application of both university and community policy, and of the further belief in the right and obligation of students everywhere towards a broader education and a better society, thereby establish the Ohio University Student Senate in order that all such rights are protected and all such obligations met, with the hope that the establishment of this body shall further our progress towards these goals.

ARTICLE III

Section 2 - Membership

2.2 COMMUNICATION DIRECTOR - There shall be a Communications Director.
2.4 GREEN REPRESENTATIVES - There shall be two representatives from each residential green.

Section 3 - Methods for Filling Student Senate Positions

2.2 COMMUNICATION DIRECTOR - The Communication Director shall be appointed by the officers.
3.2 COMMISSIONERS - The commissioners shall be appointed by the officers, with no restrictions, except as follows:
   a. The proposed Student Activities Commissioner must have served at least two quarters on the body of the Student Activities Commission.
3.4 GREEN REPRESENTATIVES - All representatives shall be elected by plurality, and the two candidates receiving the greatest number of votes from each green shall receive seats, as voted upon by the students of each respective green in a green-wide election.

Section 5 - Terms of Office
5.1 OFFICERS - The officers shall hold office for a term of one year and shall assume office two weeks after their election.
5.2 COMMUNICATION DIRECTOR - The Communications Director shall assume office within one week after the inauguration of the officers.
5.2 COMMISSIONERS - The commissioners shall assume office within one week after the inauguration of the officers, two weeks after spring elections.
Section 11 - Commissions

11.1 LIST OF COMMISSIONS

(d) Communications Commission
(h) Lesbian/Gay/Bisexual affairs Commission
(J) Outside Housing Off-Campus Housing Commission
DATE: November 24, 1992
TO: Joel S. Rudy, Dean of Students
FROM: John Rosan, President, Student Senate
Scott Bova, University Commissions Commissioner, Student Senate
SUBJECT: Justification for Changes to Constitution

Preamble:

Changes to this area were made to better reflect the direction Student Senate wishes to take in the future.

Section 2 - Membership

2.2 The Communication Director’s position was modified into a full commission.

2.4 To increase elected membership of Student Senate, the Green representative seats were increased from 1 to 2.

Section 3 - Methods for Filling Positions

3.2 An addition of a restriction over the appointment of the Student Activities Commissioner was added. It was felt the commissioner needed to be more educated on the practices of SAC through having two quarters experience of serving on the body.

3.4 This modification was made to facilitate the increase in the Green representative seats.

Section 5 - Terms of Office

5.1 The time between the election and the official change of office was shortened to better facilitate the change in administration.

5.2 This modification was made to facilitate the change from the Communication Director to a full commission of Student Senate.

5.2 This change was made to coordinate to change in officers with the change in appointed seats.

Section 11 - Commissions
11.1 Two new commissions were added: the Lesbian/Gay/Bisexual Affairs Commission and the Communications Commission, as well as a name change of the Off-Campus Housing Commission. The Lesbian/Gay/Bisexual Affairs Commission was created to reflect the needs of a minority population on the campus. It was also felt that the affairs of this minority were overpowering other minorities in the former commission, the Minority Affairs Commission. The change allows for increased representation of all minorities on the Ohio University Campus.

The Communication Commission is simply the change from a director to a commission status. The change in the Off-Campus Housing Commission better states the group which the commission represents.
C. BOARD-ADMINISTRATION

Committee Chair Eufinger thanked members for attending the committee meeting and noted only one matter was to be presented to the Trustees.
Dr. Strafford presented and moved approval of the resolution. Mr. Campbell seconded the motion. Approval was unanimous.

RATIFICATION OF LABOR AGREEMENT

RESOLUTION 1993 -- 1279

WHEREAS, the University and the Fraternal Order of Police, Ohio Labor Council, Inc. have collectively bargained a new Agreement effective September 15, 1992, to June 30, 1995, and

WHEREAS, Section 4117.10 (B) of the Ohio Revised Code calls for the Ohio University Board of Trustees in accordance with approval of the Agreement, and

WHEREAS, the Ohio University Board of Trustees determined parameters for bargaining and authorized the President or his designee to enter with negotiations with the Fraternal Order of Police and to implement an agreement.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Ohio University Board of Trustees does hereby ratify the Agreement between the University and the Fraternal Order of Police, Ohio Labor Council, Inc.
DATE: October 20, 1992

TO: Dr. Gary North, Vice President for Administration

FROM: Rosilyn M. Carroll, Manager Employee Relations

SUBJECT: FOP Contract Ratification

On October 19, 1992, the Fraternal Order of Police ratified the tentative Bargaining Unit Contract. As you are aware, the Contract was originally rejected by the FOP membership on September 25, 1992.

There were minor modifications in the second Contract proposal. Specifically, the Physical Fitness Article was clarified by deleting the body fat requirement and adding a weight/height chart. The Article was restructured with the first section dealing with officers currently in the Bargaining Unit and the second part dealing with officers hired after the ratification of the Contract. These modifications cleared up any misunderstandings and misinformation that caused the first Contract to be rejected.

Article 11, dealing with assignments, had a minor clarification added. In Article 11, Section E, the phrase "may assign employees involuntarily to other shifts and schedules for up to ten (10) work days for training and other just reasons so long as such reassignment is not used for disciplinary reasons", was replaced with "may assign employees involuntarily to other shifts for orientation for two (2) weeks per year to be assigned one (1) or two (2) weeks at a time".

The last minor change was made in Article 10, Section C, by including the sentence, "The only limit on compensatory time use is that the balance not exceed 48 hours at any time during the year."

There were no changes in any other articles. I have attached a Summary of the articles for your review.

The University can be proud of the Contract with the FOP. It gives management the needed flexibility to run the department in an effective and efficient manner. The employees have protection from unreasonable and arbitrary decisions that may affect the terms and conditions of their employment. After talking with Joel and his team members, they appeared satisfied with the Contract.
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Attachment:
xc: T. Conry, Director, University Personnel Services
    T. Kohan, Assoc. VP for Administration
    T. Jones, Director, Dept. of Campus Safety
Economic Agreement

The Contract is a three (3) year Contract expiring on June 30, 1995. The Contract will affect seventeen (17) Police Officers.

1. Salaries:

   **Year 1:** $.40/hour increase retroactive to July 1, 1992. This is equivalent to a 3.7% increase. The hourly average for a Police Officer is $10.82/hour. The shift differential of $.10/hour has been added to the base of all employees and eliminated from the Contract. The effect of this is to add $.10 for five (5) employees. The other twelve (12) were already receiving the amount.

   **Year 2:** $.40/hour increase effective July 1, 1993. This is equivalent to a 3.6% increase. The new average base wage is $11.25/hour.

   **Year 3:** $.40/hour increase effective July 1, 1994. This is equivalent to a 3.4% increase. The average base wage is $11.65/hour.

2. Insurance:

   The employees will be transferred to the New Insurance Plan effective March 1, 1993. This will be a savings to the University of approximately $4,000 in premiums and $2,300 in deductibles plus the savings in prescription deductibles.

3. Benefits:

   There were no substantive monetary changes in vacation, holidays, leaves of absence, sick leave or educational benefits.

4. Uniforms:

   Agreed to increase the uniform allowance from $375 to $425 or $50 per person at a cost of $850/year. We also agreed to a dry cleaning stipend of $20/month from $5/month to be given in a lump sum of $240 in December of each Contract year. This is a yearly total cost of $4,080.
Language Changes

1. Management Article:

Strengthened this language to include that Ohio University and the Department of Campus Safety have the right to change from time to time personnel policies including attendance, as long as the changes are not inconsistent with the provision of the Contract.

2. Union Recognition:

Union has fair share.

3. Ohio Revised Code:

Ohio Revised Code does not apply to Bargaining Unit employees except as specified in ORC 4117. This is the Collective Bargaining statute and has no negative impact on Ohio University.

4. Physical Fitness: (Clarified & reorganized article 10/16/92)

Mandatory for all new Police Officers and a mandatory maintenance program for current Police Officers, based on their current weight. Officers will weigh-in monthly. Officers may not exceed 10% of initial weight.

5. Appointments:

Extended probationary period to one (1) year after completion of basic training. Employee may elect to demote within the 120 days of probation after 120 to 180 only by management's approval. Management may demote a probationary employee any time during probation and the demotion is not subject to the grievance procedure.

6. Drug & Alcohol Testing:

Article allows the University to require a Police Officer to submit to alcohol or drug testing if just cause exists to believe that the employee is under the influence of drugs or alcohol. If the test(s) is/are positive, the employee may be subject to termination.

If the employee completes a Drug/Alcohol Rehabilitation Program and it is a first time offense, the employee may be suspended for up to thirty (30) days. A second offense for alcohol or first offense for drug use is grounds for immediate termination. Any employee who tests positive for alcohol or drug use will be subject to random testing for two (2) years.
7. Protection of Bargaining Unit:

Added sentence allowing University to enter into mutual assistance agreements with other law enforcement agencies.

8. Contracting for Services:

Changes: deleted criteria listed in AFSCME Contract. University may contract out as long as a police officer is not laid off or takes a reduction in pay as a direct result thereof.

9. No Strike - No Lockout:

Modified: added stronger language to define strike, Union must give written notice to employees in case of illegal strike and University may terminate employees participating in illegal strike.

10. Hours of Work & Overtime: (Added new sentence to clarify comp time)

Change in compensatory time - May use more than 48 hrs. in a year as long as balance does not exceed 48 hrs. Use compensatory time in year earned, start new each April 1. Change in callback - 3 hrs. minimum for court or scheduled assignment & 4 hrs. minimum for unscheduled assignments. Agreed to post Sergeant position once University determines that a vacancy will be filled.

11. Shift Preference & Assignment: (Replaced phrase 10/16/92)

New Article. University determines number of positions on a shift. Employees may select shifts and schedules by seniority. Director may reassign officers involuntarily up to six (6) weeks. Officers may voluntarily trade shifts. Director may change shift for two (2) weeks in a year for orientation purposes.

12. Overtime Assignments:

Changes made: Union agreed not to equalize overtime assignments. Overtime assignments will be posted as officers sign up. The officer with least amount of overtime that signs up gets the overtime work.

13. Seniority, Layoff & Recall:

Modifications: An employee has only 24 months of recall rights. Employee who is recalled after 18 months must complete entrance-level testing.
14. Work by Supervisors:

Change: defined displacement to mean replacing an employee on a regularly scheduled shift with a supervisor or contract person.

15. Special Conference:

Change the name of Article to Labor Management Meetings. Agreed to add health & safety issues as a topic for discussion.

16. Grievance Procedure:

Modifications - grievance concerning suspension may be filed at Step 3, moved to calendar days instead of work days & time limit failure remedy was changed. Failure by grievant to file or appeal timely is a withdrawal & failure of management to respond timely automatically sends grievance to next step.

17. Discipline:

Changes: University may unilaterally promulgate Work Rules. Deleted list of offenses & replaced with Discipline for Just Cause including violation of University & Department Rules, Policies or Procedures.
October 21, 1992

Dr. Charles Ping
President, Ohio University
Cutler Hall
CAMPUS MAIL

Dear Dr. Ping:

The University bargaining team and the Fraternal Order of Police returned to the table last week to further clarify contract language on scheduling and physical fitness after the first contract agreement was rejected by the officers. Negotiations between the two groups were concluded on October 16 and the Campus Safety officers ratified the contract by a majority of the membership at a meeting held on Monday, October 19.

The attached memorandum from Rosilyn Carroll, Assistant Director for Employee Relations, describes the specifics of the agreement.

I believe the contract serves both employees and the University well. I recommend approval.

Sincerely,

Gary North
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VIII. ANNOUNCEMENT OF NEXT STATED MEETING

The Secretary reported the Trustees will meet on the Athens campus, Friday, April 17, 1993, for committee/study sessions and Saturday, April 18, 1993, for the formal Board meeting.

IX. GENERAL DISCUSSION - CALL OF MEMBERS

Members were surprised, prior to call of members, with Trustee Richard Campbell's announcement that this would be his last meeting as a University Trustee. He reminded members his nine-year term is to expire May 12, 1993, and that he would be out of the country at the time of the April meetings. Mr. Campbell stated he enjoyed his service as trustee and felt a deep privilege to have been able to serve his alma mater.

Members, in turn, thanked Mr. Campbell for his dedication and commitment to the trustees and university and indicated they would formally express appreciation at a later date. All thanked the College of Communication for sharing their programs and people.

Mr. Emrick offered no additional comment.

Mrs. Eufinger thanked Dean Nelson, faculty and students of the College of Communication for their Friday presentations. She commented the students were especially terrific.

Ms. Grasselli thanked Mr. Campbell for his special help in securing the Scripps Foundation support for the School of Journalism and expressed how pleased she was that the Marching 110 was in the Inaugural parade.

Mr. Hodson noted he was proud to see his college show off a bit and for the quality, diversity and promise demonstrated through their presentations. Mr. Hodson stated he was personally grateful to Mr. Campbell for his board mentoring on issues of style and positive questioning as well as for his personal commitment and integrity.

Mr. Leonard reminded trustees, tongue-in-cheek, that with the expiration of Mr. Campbell's appointment all remaining trustee graduates of the College of Communication are attorneys. He stated it might be helpful for the Trustees to hear more about our biotechnology activities and their importance to medical and economic considerations.

Mr. Nolan thanked Mr. Campbell for the private time he had given him during the period of beginning his trusteeship.
Dr. Strafford indicated that visiting the centers of excellence was a good idea and should be continued.

Mr. Reimer suggested it would be well for Trustees to visit the Ridges if they have not recently done so. He noted it might be beneficial for all to learn more on biotechnology and bioengineering activities.

Mr. Turoczy noted her term as student trustee is to expire May 12, 1993. She stated she very much appreciated the opportunity to serve with those planning the Student Recreation Center and for Dr. North’s leadership of that effort.

Mr. Lancaster reported on matters regarding the National Alumni Board and identified those honored by the Board at its fall meeting. He stated he was impressed with the ways the university continually self examines its programs in order to better meet its mission.

President Ping thanked Mr. Campbell for his effort as a trustee and for his special help with the Scripps Foundation and family. The President noted he was especially grateful for his heightening awareness of matters affecting Southeastern Ohio. The President commented on the space and capital guidelines adopted earlier by the Trustees and noted their commitment to reuse buildings and grounds. President Ping reported the year ending total for the Third Century Campaign was $109 million, and he personally thanked Vice President for Development Jack Ellis and Campaign Co-Chairs Bob Axline and Wil Konneker for their good leadership.

President Ping reviewed a controversy surrounding a university art professor, public comment about the matter, action taken and proposed by Provost Stewart, and the university’s responsibility for individual professional conduct. He reported he has met with deans, executive officers and others over the past few days and is offering the following statement on behalf of all.

"We, the president, provost, deans, and other executive officers of Ohio University, condemn any use of University classes or programs which subjects people to comments that are racially biased, sexist, deliberately or carelessly hurtful or vindictive. Such behavior contradicts the acceptance of the pluralism, the tolerance, and the respect for the individual which must mark our life as a university. Further, when any such behavior occurs, we will do everything within our power to respond to prevent a reoccurrence."

Mr. Schey thanked those hosting the Cleveland dinner. The dinner honored Mr. John Drinko for his leadership in establishing the Ping Institute for the Teaching of the Humanities. He commented on the subject of change and that when we institute change it is positive, but when we react it is negative.
X. ADJOURNMENT

Determining there was no further business to come before the Board, Chair Schey adjourned the meeting at 12:05 p.m.

XI. CERTIFICATION OF SECRETARY

Notice of this meeting and its conduct was in accordance with Resolution 1975-240 of the Board, which resolution was adopted on November 5, 1975, in accordance with Section 121.22(F) of the Ohio Revised Code and of the State Administration Procedures Act.

Ralph E. Schey  Alan H. Getiger
Chairman  Secretary
Changes from Task Force Report

1. Repudiation of implied centralized system
2. Elimination of recommendation to merge branch campuses with co-located technical schools
3. Affirmation of the importance of tenure as protection for academic freedom
4. No designation of "comprehensive" research universities

Areas of Concern

1. Program duplication (pp. 12-13)
   Standards of viability for graduate degree programs
   * centrality of mission
   * quality of programs
   * responsiveness to regional and state priorities
   * student demand

2. Faculty workload and evaluation (pp. 13-15)
   * policy for each academic area
   * performance standards based on "objective, quantitative and qualitative evaluation criteria"
   * expects a statewide increase in efficiency of 10 percent

3. Role of branch campuses
   * focus on service, not structure
   * implied need to lower costs at two-year campuses (p. 20)
   * joint plan for co-located campuses

4. Assumption that lowering costs increases access not tied enough to need for additional support to improve quality.

Tasks for Universities

1. Commitment to involve public and private colleges in statewide strategic planning (mandated by law every five years)

2. Each college and university is to "revise its mission statement in order to ensure responsiveness to the overall goals and objectives of the state" (p.10)

3. Development of policies and procedures to implement recommendation of the Task Force's Committee on faculty workload (p. 15 - to be in place by September 1993)

4. Preparation of plan for co-located campuses (due at OBOR June 1, 1993)
The report from the Board of Regents to the legislature entitled "Securing the Future of Higher Education in Ohio" makes clear that quality undergraduate education is a strong theme. To quote the report, "It is important that the provisions of high quality undergraduate education at reasonable cost be the highest priority for all of Ohio University's colleges and universities (p.14).

OU offers a variety of enrichment programs for undergraduates; this report will highlight three of them.

1. Research Apprenticeships (Honors Tutorial College)

- Funded with a $124,820 Program Excellence grant from OBOR (awarded in 1990). About 80 percent was used for the research apprenticeship program.

- Award was for the degree program in humanities and social sciences.

- Students are incorporated into faculty research projects and paid a $3,000 summer stipend (three during AY). Faculty submit proposals for use of research assistants; students apply, faculty interview and choose.

- Eleven students participated the first summer (1991) and twelve the second (1992).

- Sample research topics
  - Study of the United States economic performance in productivity and investment compared to that of other nations.
  - Assistance with translations of letters and commentary for a companion piece to Kant's *Critique of Pure Reason*.
  - Assisting the professor in preparation of a critical review of the poetry, fiction, and criticism of former Library of Congress Poet Josephine Jacobsen.
  - Involvement in a project analyzing the roles of individual justices of the U.S. Supreme Court during the tenure of Chief Justice Earl Warren.
  - A study of the politics of federal aid to college students.
Faculty Comments

"David has experienced the drudgery of organizing material as well as the joy of finally being able to read an original handwritten manuscript. . . . in short, he has experienced many of the trials and tribulations of being a scholar, and he seems to have survived with grace. And I have had the Good fortune to work with an intelligent and energetic young scholar. . . ." (The project was the preparation of a book, Women of the Chicago Renaissance.)

"Collaboration . . . brought out the analytical abilities, creativity and essential perseverance of the student research apprentice. . . . Becky’s performance was outstanding during discussion of the theoretical and empirical aspects of the research as well as in the complex data gathering and mainframe computer analysis." (For project in economics.)

"In the process she helped me identify several key ‘decision points’ in the policy debates that have given focal points to my research project. . . . The experience opened up to HER the nature of social science research and the difficulty of making use of original documents." (The project was a study of U.S. policy toward Nicaragua during the 1980’s.)

Student Comments

"At the risk of sounding dramatic, I will say that I have benefitted from this internship in more ways than I ever imagined I would. . . . Perhaps even this is an understatement, but I have never been more excited about continuing my education/learning in my life."

"The internship experience was great. . . . I can think of nothing which could make the program any better than it is."

"The experience has fulfilled and surpassed all my expectations. I wanted to learn about research; I have learned first-hand about both the frustration and the satisfaction that professional researchers experience. . . . This summer will leave me with a . . . renewed interest in my academic career."

Another student an English major and the only one to have an off-campus apprenticeship, worked for Senator Kennedy’s subcommittee on Immigration and Refugee affairs. In his letter to Dean Cohn, he said, "I seem to be in the right place at the right time. I have you to thank for it."

The student who assisted in the project that studied the politics of federal aid to college students presented a paper on this topic at a national meeting and as a result of that was offered a job in the U.S. Office of Education.
The program will have one more iteration—during this coming summer, before grant funds are used up. The dean is exploring sources for continued funding.

- During 1993, open up to all 24 HTC majors (including the natural sciences).
- Past experience has been students did not always work with faculty in their own majors.

2. **Corporate Leadership Program  (College of Business)**

- Program for 15 senior students chosen from a pool of 50-70 applicants (students apply during junior year).
- Must be a CBA major or HTC in business
- Ralph Schey helped form the program and was the first CEO to meet with students—"Ralph was the brains behind the program." according to John Stinson, former dean of the college, who serves as director of the program.
- Throughout senior year, Corporate Leadership Fellows participate in a seminar that brings them into contact with CEO's of major companies and other senior-level business executives.
- To be eligible for the program applicants must have a 3.0 grade point average and a record of leadership in extra-curricular or work activities.
- Funding for the program comes from private support of alumni and friends. Three fellows each year are supported by a grant from the Mengle Foundation.
- Student interaction with business leaders is informal and unstructured; students must prepare for the interchange by becoming thoroughly familiar with the visiting executives's company.
- Business executives who have met with corporate leadership fellows include--
  - Jerome S. Mann, President of the House of Seagram
  - William J. Lhota, Executive Vice President of American Electric Power Service Corp.
  - Gary Glaser, BancOhio Chairman and CEO
  - Robert Mercer, Chairman and CEO of Goodyear Tire and Rubber
  - Robert Hughes, Vice President, US Sales of Digital Equipment Corp.
- Since program is highly competitive, students must sell themselves to the six-person faculty screening committee.
- The questionnaire to which they respond probes the students' problem-solving abilities, asks them to talk about ethical dilemmas they faced and how they responded to them, and request applicants to relate their most valuable experience in their college education.

- One applicant—who described herself as "positive and dedicated"—stated (on p.8 of her application/essay) that she should be selected as a corporate leadership fellow because it would allow her "to exemplify the abilities I have learned at Ohio University by representing the College of Business in a unique and positive light as a corporate leadership fellow."

- Another applicant said that his motivation and determination provided the personality traits that would allow him to be successful as a fellow.

3. **Co-op Programs (Engineering, Computer Science, and Business)**

Co-op programs differ from internships in that they are for a longer duration (up to a year) and are paid positions, whereas internships are often unpaid and usually take place in the summer.

- Marty North is coordinator of the Cooperative Education Program in the College of Engineering and Technology

- Marty says she always begins her presentation with a quotation from Sophocles, "One must learn by doing the things; for though you think you know it, you have no certainty until you try." Sophocles, c. 380 B.C.

- **Who Co-ops?**

All departments in the College of Engineering and Technology offer co-op. Of the College's total enrollment, 13.1% co-op; 10.4% of females co-op; 15.2% of minorities co-op. Also, Computer Science students can co-op.

- **What Are The Requirements?**

- 2.5 GPA (ChE majors, 2.75)
- 45 credits
- Good-faith commitment to at least two co-op worksite quarters
- Must be willing to accept an assignment to any Ohio location and to begin during any academic quarter
- Must attend a resume writing workshop and an interviewing techniques workshop
- **ENT Co-op Program History:**
  - Program began in 1986; 27 placed students
  - By 1993, 145 students placed at 63 companies
  - Average placement: 10-15 students per quarter
  - Winter Quarter '93: 40 students at worksite; 21 on first assignment

- Students reactions to cooperative education have been positive: 89 percent of students rank their experiences as superior.
A.O. Smith Electrical Products
AEP Fuel Supply
Aeroquip Inoac
Airborne Express
American Electric Power Svc.
APEX Cooper Power Tools
Armco, Inc.
Ashland Chemical
AT&T Network Systems
BP Oil/Lima Refinery
Cincinnati Bell
Cincinnati Gas & Electric
Cincinnati Milacron
City of Akron
Cooper Power Systems
Coshocton Stainless
Dayton Power & Light
Diamond Power Specialty
Diebold
Dow Chemical
E.I. duPont
Emro Marketing
Ethicon
GE Aircraft Engines
General Motors-Central Foundry
Goodyear Tire & Rubber
GPD Associates
Hammontree & Associates
Harris Semiconductor
Hobart Bros.
Interbold
ITT Higbie Baylock
LTV Steel Co.
Malta Windows
ME Engineering
Mead Corporation
Miller-Zamis
Monsanto
Naval Air Warfare Center
Tipp City, OH
Lancaster, OH
Fremont, OH
Wilmington, OH
Columbus, OH
Dayton, OH
Middletown, OH
Columbus, OH
Columbus, OH
Lima, OH
Cincinnati, OH
Cincinnati, OH
Cincinnati, OH
Akron, OH
Pittsburgh, PA
Coshocton, OH
Dayton, OH
Lancaster, OH
Canton, OH
Midland, MI
Parkersburg, WV
Springfield, OH
Cincinnati, OH
Cincinnati, OH
Defiance, OH
Akron/Logan, OH
Akron, OH
North Canton, OH
Findlay, OH
Troy, OH
N. Canton, OH
New Lexington, OH
Cleveland, OH
Malta, OH
Columbus, OH
Dayton, OH
Toledo, OH
Addyston, OH
Patuxent River, MD
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Company</th>
<th>City</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ohio Automation</td>
<td>Athens, OH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ohio Dept. of Transportation</td>
<td>Columbus, OH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ohio E.P.A.</td>
<td>Logan, OH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ohio Edison</td>
<td>Akron, OH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCC Airfoils</td>
<td>Minerva, OH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pease Industries</td>
<td>Fairfield, OH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pickering &amp; Davis</td>
<td>Williamstown, WV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pillsbury</td>
<td>Wellston, OH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Premix</td>
<td>Lancaster, OH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ravenswood Aluminum</td>
<td>Ravenswood, WV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reliance Communications</td>
<td>Lorain, OH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reliance Electric</td>
<td>Cleveland, OH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rockwell International</td>
<td>Cedar Rapids, IA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shield Alloy Metallurgical</td>
<td>Cambridge, OH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stein</td>
<td>Sandusky, OH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stouffer Foods</td>
<td>Solon, OH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swagelok</td>
<td>Solon, OH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Coast Guard</td>
<td>Cleveland, OH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Precision Lens</td>
<td>Cincinnati, OH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Westinghouse Electric</td>
<td>Lima, OH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whitey Company</td>
<td>Highland Hts., OH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wiseco Piston</td>
<td>Mentor, OH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Woolpert Consultants</td>
<td>Dayton, OH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wright Patterson AFB</td>
<td>Dayton, OH</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CO-OP QUOTES

Mike Heslep, Electrical Engineering
U.S. Precision Lens
Cincinnati, Ohio

"I was an integral part of a major project that, at most places, would have been given to a full-time engineer. . . . Overall, cooperative education has enhanced and supplemented my learning in school. In a few classes this quarter, I will be doing things that I have already learned at work. It has been a great experience and I would recommend it to anyone."

Jason Lewis, Electrical Engineering
DuPont Washington Works
Parkersburg, West Virginia

"Over the last two years, the experience I have gained would not have come from my work at school. School is an important step in the right direction; however, nothing teaches you the responsibilities and techniques that a co-op job can. . . . Work really gives you the chance to see the job from many more angles than you do in a textbook setting. I had the opportunity to take on some jobs that most college undergrads won't see until at least a year or two after graduation. . . . I can only recommend that all undergrads should look into co-oping. I think they'll be glad they did."

Kevin Bower, Civil Engineering
GPD Associates
Akron, Ohio

"The relationship between the worksite and the classroom helped further my understanding of the classroom theories. I was able to use much of what I learned in surveying out on the worksite. The stationing and ground levels made a lot of sense to me because of my exposure to classroom principles. My classroom and worksite experience worked together to further my learning."

Crystal Mapel, Civil Engineering
Ohio Department of Transportation
Lebanon, Ohio

"Being on the construction site was like being in a laboratory 40 hours a week. I was constantly learning something new. I had to learn about the construction process, the equipment, the materials, paperwork, dealing with the contractor, and reading the plans and understanding what they meant. . . . There are also many details that I have seen on the job that have not been included in my studies. There were a lot of things that I saw that looked totally different than they did in the books. . . . I now have a better understanding of the small details that I have been studying in books."
David Gottbehuet, Industrial Technology  
ITT Higbie Baylock  
New Lexington, Ohio

"The practical hands-on training I received taught me important aspects of working in industry that would have been difficult to realize in a classroom setting. The company benefited because I was able to collect information that would have been too time-consuming for the full-time staff to collect. I am looking forward to continuing my education and experience with this company in the future."

Bart Merriam, Mechanical Engineering  
Pease Industries  
Fairfield, Ohio

"My co-op experience changed the way I feel about my studies. I feel that when I return to school, I will have a better understanding of how my classes can help me later in life. . . . When I first started college, I thought that most of my classes were not important and would never help me build the ideas I had in my head. Now that I have on-the-job experience, I find that all my classes are very important in making my ideas become a reality. . . . I believe my co-op experience has helped my understanding of my field and with this new understanding, I will be able to excel in my schooling because of the knowledge I have gained."

Jerry Wilcher, Industrial and Systems Engineering  
GE Aircraft Engines  
Peebles, Ohio

"I can clearly see that this type of program has a great advantage to its engineers vs. engineers fresh out of the classroom with no hands-on. . . . I feel that this assignment was a total success and I am overjoyed with my accomplishments. The responsibility given to me was rewarding and my accomplishments were valuable to the company."

Carolyn Toebbe, Chemical Engineering  
Monsanto Chemical Company  
Addyston, Ohio

"My co-op experience has sparked greater interest and enthusiasm for my return to the classroom. After my last co-op term, I found an increase in the amount of knowledge I was able to retain. I attribute this to the fact that classroom knowledge can be related to work experience."
**DATE:** January 27, 1993

**TO:** Budget, Finance and Physical Plant Committees

**FROM:** Gary North

**SUBJECT:** Student Recreation Center -- Progress Report

The following steps have been achieved in planning for the Student Recreation Center:

1. **Architect Selection**

   The firm of Brubaker, Brandt, Inc. has been selected as the lead architect for the project. They are being assisted in the design stage by the firm of Bohm-NBBJ.

2. **Site Selection**

   The campus committee in consultation with architects, evaluated several possible building sites. A decision was made to locate the recreation center along South Green Drive on the northwest corner of the golf course. This location is convenient to the east, south, and west campus residence halls and apartments. Land is available to provide parking for those living further away from campus. In addition, the golf course area provides a site for the future development of additional outdoor playing fields, walkways, and picnic areas. The driving range will be lost and the first tee and ninth green will need to be relocated, but a nine-hole course will remain in service during and after construction.

3. **Program Development**

   The NBBJ staff developed a program plan, in consultation with the campus committee. This plan defines the functions, size, and cost of the center. The center will be 156,705 sq.ft and is estimated to cost $16,983,300 for construction. The center will include: 6 basketball courts; 1 squash court; 1 short court; 2 multipurpose courts; 1 weight room; 1 aerobics room; 1 combative sports room; 1 exercise machine room; 6 raquetball courts; 1 game room; 2 meeting rooms; 1 four-lane running track; 1 child care room; and 1 climbing wall.

4. **Design Concept**

   Three architectural designs were presented to the planning committee. The "L" design concept selected by the committee provides a 360° view of the facility from Routes 33, 682, Richland Avenue and South Green Drive.
5. **Budget**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project Construction</td>
<td>$16,983,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planning</td>
<td>1,250,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equipment</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contingency</td>
<td>750,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financing and Capitalization</td>
<td>3,350,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SUBTOTAL</strong></td>
<td><strong>$23,333,300</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personnel and Program Cost</td>
<td>650,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td><strong>$23,983,300</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Project construction cost includes $2,000,000 for the installation of a boiler in the Lausche Heating Plant. A decision was made to provide utility service to the recreation center from the heating plant because the university is committed to a central supply system as the most convenient and economical means of heating and cooling buildings. The heating plant also provides a back-up supply source to the recreation center, the cost of locating the boiler in the heating plant is less expensive, and the possibility of having to assign stationary engineers to the recreation center is eliminated.

6. **Student Fees**

This project will require a student fee of approximately $55.00 to $65.00 per quarter to pay financing costs, debt service (principle and interest), and operating costs. All full-time undergraduate, graduate, and professional students will be assessed the fee. Collection will begin in Fall Quarter, 1995. Part-time students, faculty, staff, and guests electing to use the Recreation Center or Grover Center may do so by paying an appropriate fee.

7. **Financing and Capitalization**

The firm of Peck, Shaffer and Williams has been assigned by the Attorney General as bond counsel for the project. A bond sale of approximately $23,000,000 is anticipated in December 1993. Because students requested that fees not be collected until the facility is available for use (Fall Quarter 1995), $3,350,000 will be required to pay financing costs and capitalize the loan from 1993 to 1995.
8. **Timetable for Project Completion**

- Schematic Drawings: February 15, 1993
- Design Development: May/June 1993
- Review of Bond Sale with Board of Trustees: June 1993
- Construction Drawings: October 1993
- Bid for Construction: November 1993
- Bond Sale: December 1993
- Contract Awarded: December 1993
- Construction Begins: January/February 1994
- Completion Target: June 1995

9. **Recreation Center Committee Members**

- Barbara Chapman, Co-Chair
- Gary North, Co-Chair
- Scott Bova, student
- Pam Callahan, staff
- Jay Cottrill, student
- Chris Crosby, student
- John Kotowski, staff
- James Lavery, faculty
- Lynelle Lewis, student
- Abnu Rathenavel, student
- Mike Sostarich, staff
- Monica Turoczy, student
- Dick Woolison, faculty

GBN/cab
Attachments