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MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF
THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES OF OHIO UNIVERSITY
1:30 P.M., Saturday, September 15, 1984
Room 305, Shannon Hall
Ohio University Belmont County Campus

I. ROLL CALL

Eight members were present, namely Acting Chairman Frank C. Baumholtz, Richard R. Campbell, Priscilla S. D'Angelo, Dennis B. Heffernan, Dean W. Jeffers, Fritz J. Russ, Milton J. Taylor and Denver L. White. Chairman William D. Rohr, was unable to attend.

President Charles J. Ping and Secretary Alan H. Geiger were present, as was M. F. Line, Jr. who sits with the Board by invitation as President of the Ohio University Alumni Board of Directors.

This was the first meeting for Secretary Alan H. Geiger who was elected Secretary of the Board at the Board's Meeting of June 23, 1984. Mr. Geiger's appointment was effective July 1, 1984.

II. APPROVAL OF MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF JUNE 23, 1984
(previously distributed)

The minutes to be approved of the Board Meeting of June 23, 1984 are the last prepared by former Secretary Robert E. Mahn. Mr. Jeffers enthusiastically moved approval of the minutes. Mr. Russ seconded the motion. Approval was unanimous.

III. COMMUNICATIONS, PETITIONS AND MEMORIALS

President Ping reported that he had received a letter from Chairman Rohr expressing his deep thanks to all those who had acknowledged his recent surgery. The President noted that Mr. Rohr indicated he was making good progress in his recovery and that he was looking forward to the next Board meeting. Mr. Rohr's letter is included here for the record.
PRESIDENTS OFFICE
SEP 13 1984

President Charles Hing
Ohio Univ. Bd. of Trustees
Sr. offices of the University:

I simply want to take the opportunity
to say thanks to a million for all the
kindness directed my way during
recent surgery and across the recovery
period. Your cards, phone calls, flowers
and interest have undoubtedly speeded
my recovery.

Needless to say, I regret not being
with you at what is always a beautiful
meeting - an early fall get-together
on our branch campuses sites. You
will do well as Frank Beineke has
been a real Champion since our team
first dating back to 1938.

The Doctor maintains that can
make good progress - getting things

WILLIAM D. ROHR
Representative
by the day. Have another surgery date with bile on or about Oct. 15th
and then, hopefully, I will start to live again as normal people.

I hope to see you all at one of the many University functions this fall, if not then at our next board meeting.

In the meantime - "Go Bobcats!"

As we start the mid-American Conference Chase.

Gratefully,

Bill Rohr
IV. ANNOUNCEMENTS

There were no announcements.

V. REPORTS

A. Preliminary Enrollment Report
Dr. James L. Bruning, Provost

Provost Bruning emphasized the incompleteness of enrollment information since classes had just begun. The preliminary enrollment information presented does suggest a favorable increase in the number of freshmen and an overall increase at the regional campuses. The increase in freshmen is particularly encouraging since it is counter to statewide demographic data and enrollment projections.

B. Preliminary Housing Occupancy Report
Dr. James L. Bruning

Provost Bruning noted the incompleteness of housing information since the residence halls have been open for less than one week. Because of the favorable freshman enrollment level Provost Bruning indicated that for all practical purposes the residence halls are at occupancy capacity.

C. Affirmative Action Review
William Y. Smith, Executive Assistant to the President for Affirmative Action

Dr. Smith distributed Ohio University Affirmative Action Plan, September, 1984, a copy of which is attached to the official minutes. Dr. Smith described the Plan being presented as a framework for accomplishing the task of achieving educational justice at Ohio University, in addition to the meeting of our statutory obligations. Dr. Smith also presented summary information for several programs whose purposes are to assist with the meeting of university affirmative action policies.

D. Institutional Status Report
Dr. Gary O. Moden, Director, Institutional Research

Dr. Moden gave an overview of one ongoing alumni study effort designed to assist with institutional education and self-criticism. Dr. Moden presented summary study information for a seven year period and noted that data of this type was best collected after students had been gone from the campus at least five years.
E. External Degree Program
Dr. James C. Bryant, Vice Provost
for Regional Higher Education

Dr. Bryant reported that the Board of Regents had favorably reviewed the components of the institution's external degree program and had authorized its continuation.

VI. UNFINISHED BUSINESS

The Secretary reported that there was no unfinished business.

VII. NEW BUSINESS

Acting Chairman Baumholtz stated that the Board committees had, at their respective meetings, discussed matters being presented to the Board. Committee assignments were as originally designated.

Chairman or committee members designated by them, presented matters for action.

A. BUDGET, FINANCE AND PHYSICAL PLANT COMMITTEE

William L. Kennard presented a "Preliminary Financial Report for the Fiscal Year Ending June 30, 1984," and highlighted specific information for the committee's benefit. Mr. Kennard stated that final and audited financial information for Fiscal Year Ending 1984 will be presented for the Board's consideration and action at a later meeting.

1. Restructuring of Dormitory/Dining Hall, Escrow Account

Mr. Heffernan presented and moved approval of the resolution. Mr. White seconded the motion. On a roll call vote the resolution was approved unanimously with all members voting yes. Those voting yes were: Mr. Campbell, Mrs. D'Angelo, Mr. Heffernan, Mr. Jeffers, Mr. Russ, Mr. Taylor, Mr. White, and Acting Chairman Mr. Baumholtz.
WHEREAS, Ohio University, a state university of the State of Ohio created and existing under the Ohio Revised Code (the "University"), by resolution adopted by its Board of Trustees on November 25, 1974, authorized the issuance and sale of $15,175,000 General Receipts Bonds, Series 1974 (the "Refunding Bonds"), for the purpose of providing funds to refund certain previously issued and outstanding obligations, including, among others, $3,250,000, aggregate principal amount, Construction and Refunding Housing and Dining Revenue Bonds, Series B, of which $1,717,000 is presently outstanding and held by the U.S. Department of Education (the "Refunded Bonds"), all as more fully set forth in the transcript of proceedings relating to the issuance of the Refunding Bonds; and

WHEREAS, in connection with the issuance of the Refunding Bonds and the refunding of the Refunded Bonds, such Board of Trustees entered into an Escrow and Trust Agreement dated December 3, 1974, as amended, with The City National Bank and Trust Company of Columbus, Columbus, Ohio, now succeeded by Bank One of Columbus, N.A. (the "Escrow Trustee"), directing the investment of a portion of the funds deposited with the Escrow Trustee in certain book-entry United States Treasury Notes and Bonds, State and Local Government Series (the "SLG Securities") and certain other United States Treasury Notes and Bonds ("Government Securities"), to be held for the benefit and credit of the holders of the Refunded Bonds; and

WHEREAS, the Board has heretofore been advised that the redemption of certain of the SLG Securities or the sale of certain of the Government Securities held by the Trustee under the Escrow Agreement could result in substantial cash benefits to the University; and

WHEREAS, the University, by resolutions adopted by its Board of Trustees (the "Board") on December 22, 1980, and on April 11, 1981, entered into a Supplemental and Amendatory Escrow and Trust Agreement dated February 13, 1981, with the Escrow Trustee, pursuant to which certain SLG Securities and Government Securities were substituted for certain other of the Government Securities and SLG Securities; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to P.L. 98-139, Section 308, the United States Department of Education (the "Federal Government") will permit universities to prepay, at a discount based on market conditions, College Housing Program loans currently held by the Federal Government, with September 30, 1984, as the deadline for such prepayment; and
WHEREAS, some of the Refunded Bonds represented College Housing Program loans and Seasongood & Mayer advised the University to submit a proposal to the U.S. Department of Education to prepay the said $1,717,000 of the Refunded Bonds in order that substantial savings may be created for the University by redeeming certain of the SLG Securities and selling certain Government Securities; and

WHEREAS, Peck, Shaffer & Williams will advise the University as to the legality of such transaction; and

WHEREAS, the Board has determined that it is in the best financial interests of the University to authorize and direct the amendment of the Escrow Agreement to allow (i) the redemption of certain of such SLG Securities and the sale or purchase of certain Government Securities, (ii) the application of a portion of the proceeds of any such redemption and sale to the purchase or prepayment of the Refunded Bonds or other lawful obligations and to the payment of the fees and expenses incurred in connection therewith, (iii) the sale of certain of the Refunded Bonds to Seasongood & Mayer in the amounts and at the prices approved by the Treasurer, and (iv) the payment to the University of the net amounts received from the proceeds of any such redemption or sale after such purchase of the Refunded Bonds or other lawful obligations and the payment of such fees and expenses; and

WHEREAS, the redemption of such SLG Securities or the sale of such Government Securities and the sale, the purchase or prepayment of the Refunded Bonds or other lawful obligations will not adversely affect the rights or security of any holder of any of the outstanding Refunded Bonds as evidenced in all cases by the consent of the Trustee and all usual, necessary and proper approving legal opinions;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Trustees of Ohio University:

SECTION 1. The President or the Treasurer, acting for and on behalf of the University, are hereby authorized and directed to enter into a Supplemental Agreement and Direction with the Escrow Trustee pursuant to which the Escrow Trustee would be directed to take such actions as may be required to effect (i) the redemption of all or a portion of the SLG Securities or the sale of all or a portion of the Government Securities currently held by the Trustee under the Escrow Agreement, (ii) the application of a portion of the proceeds of any such redemption or sale to the purchase from Seasongood & Mayer, Cincinnati, Ohio, or prepayment to the Federal Government of the Refunded Bonds or other lawful obligations.
and the payment of the fees and expenses incurred in connection therewith, (iii) the sale of certain of the Refunded Bonds to Seasongood & Mayer in the amounts and at the prices approved by the Treasurer, and (iv) the payment to the University of the net amounts received from the proceeds of such redemption or sale after the purchase of the Refunded Bonds or other lawful obligations and the payment of such fees and expenses; provided that in no case shall any such transaction be authorized or directed unless the net amounts to be realized by the University in accordance with the foregoing clauses (iii) and (iv) from a particular transaction shall be equal to or greater than a sum or sums approved by the Treasurer; and provided further that no such transaction shall be authorized or directed after June 30, 1987.

SECTION 2. The President or the Treasurer, acting for and on behalf of the University, are hereby authorized and directed to take such other actions and to execute such other documents as approved by the Escrow Trustee as may be required to effect any transaction described in Section 1 hereof.

SECTION 3. This resolution shall take effect upon its adoption.
2. Easement to Ohio Power Company-Ironton-Campus

RESOLUTION 1984 -- 761

WHEREAS, the Ohio Power Company has requested a right-of-way easement to provide electrical service to the new Ohio University - Ironton facility; and

WHEREAS, this easement will provide direct benefit to Ohio University.

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Ohio University Board of Trustees hereby grant to Ohio Power Company the requested easement in accordance with the drawing No. 60252A for $1.00 for fifteen (15) years; and hereby authorize the President as his designee to arrange for execution of the easement in accordance with the Ohio law.

Mr. Campbell presented and moved approval of the motion. Mr. Heffernan seconded the motion. Approval was unanimous.
To: The President and Board of Trustees  
From: John F. Burns, Director, Office of Legal Affairs  

Date: August 22, 1984  

Subject: Easement to the Ohio Power Co. for the Ohio University Ironton Regional Campus Facility.

As part of the construction of the Ohio University - Ironton Regional Campus facility, a twelve (12) foot right-of-way easement is being requested by the Ohio Power Company to provide electrical service to the new facility. The University staff has reviewed the easement request and found it to be in order, and a general description of the easement is provided on the attached drawing provided by the Ohio Power Company. Further, in accordance with the customary practice of waiving consideration for easements that directly serve University facilities, the consideration will be $1.00.

The attached resolution is provided for your consideration and action. If there are any questions, Dr. Geiger and I will be available to answer them.

Thank you.

JFB:ndw

Enclosure

cc: Dr. Alan H. Geiger, Secretary to the Board  
    Mr. John K. Kowtowski, University Planner
WHEREAS, the 115th General Assembly, Regular Session, 1983-1984 has introduced and approved Amended House Bill 798, and

WHEREAS, the Amended House Bill 798 includes $1,500,000 for the replacement of the President Street Tunnel, and

WHEREAS, Ohio University has been given permission by the Department of Administrative Services, Division of Public Works, and the Ohio Board of Regents to interview and select a project engineer to develop plans and specifications for the President Street Tunnel Project.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Ohio University Board of Trustees does hereby empower the President, or his designee, in consultation with the Budget, Finance, and Physical Plant Committee to interview and select a consulting engineer for the President Street Tunnel Project.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Ohio University Board of Trustees does hereby authorize the preparation of construction plans and specifications for the President Street Tunnel Project.

Mr. Jeffers presented and moved approval of the resolution. Mr. Campbell seconded the motion. Approval was unanimous.
In February of 1981 the University had prepared a 'Utilities Distribution Systems Study'. This study recommended a number of improvements be made to the University's Utilities Systems. As an ongoing effort to implement recommendations of the study, the University and the Ohio Board of Regents have requested and recommended funding with each capital improvements bill. Amended House Bill 798 reflects those continuing efforts and provides $1,500,000 for the replacement of the President Street Tunnel.

The President Street Tunnel project will replace a portion of the existing tunnel system from Mulberry Street to Chubb Hall and will involve approximately 900 lineal feet of tunnel. Its completion will provide a second reliable steam feed to the main campus and is necessary in case of an emergency with the southeast tunnel running near Clippinger Hall. The completion of this tunnel will provide the greatest opportunity for maintaining the continuous use of University facilities under most circumstances. I have prepared a resolution which speaks to the appointment of a project engineer and the development of construction documents, and ask that the Board of Trustees consider it at their September 15, 1984 meeting.

Thank you.
4. Architect for Peden Stadium Renovation

RESOLUTION 1984 --763

WHEREAS, the 115th General Assembly, Regular Session, 1984-1984 has introduced and approved Amended House Bill 798, and

WHEREAS, the Amended House Bill 798 includes $3,000,000 for a portion of the renovation of and improvements to the Peden Stadium area, and

WHEREAS, Ohio University has been given permission by the Department of Administrative Services, Division of Public Works, to interview and select a project architect for the Peden Stadium Project, and

WHEREAS, four (4) architectural firms were interviewed on August 17, 1984 in order to determine their ability, interest, and desire to provide architectural services for the Peden Stadium Project; and

WHEREAS, the University desires to proceed with the selection of the architect and the development of plans and specifications for the project as rapidly as possible.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Ohio University Board of Trustees does hereby empower the President, or his designee, in consultation with the Budget, Finance and Physical Plant Committee to hereby recommend the firm of Trautwein Associates, Inc. as project architect for the Peden Stadium Renovation Project, to the Deputy Director, Division of Public Works, and do authorize administrative officials to proceed with the development of plans and specifications.

Mr. Campbell presented and moved approval of the resolution. Mr. Jeffers seconded the motion. Approval was unanimous.
To: Dr. Alan H. Geiger, Assistant to the President

From: John K. Kotowski, Facility Planner

Subject: Approval of Architect for the Peden Stadium Renovation Project

Amended House Bill 798 contains an appropriation of $3,000,000 for the renovation of Peden Stadium and improvements to its surrounding areas. Due to the poor condition of the east and west grandstands, I would like to move forward with this portion of the Peden Stadium Renovation Project. I have prepared a resolution for your consideration which seeks Board of Trustees authorization to recommend Trautwein Associates, Inc. as project architect to prepare plans and specifications for the Renovation of the existing grandstands at Peden Stadium.

Thank you for your consideration of this resolution. If I can be of further assistance, please advise.

J KK: ch

Enc.
5. Architects and Engineers for Utility and Renovation Projects

RESOLUTION 1984 --764

WHEREAS, the 115th General Assembly, Regular Session, 1983-1984 has introduced and approved Amended House Bill 798, and

WHEREAS, the Amended House Bill 798 includes $1,940,000 for utility and renovation projects, and

WHEREAS, discussions with the Ohio Board of Regents and State Architect's Office have identified the following utility and renovation projects,

(1) Molecular and Cellular Laboratory Project ($300,000),
(2) Campus Traffic and Parking Improvements Project ($400,000),
(3) Seigfred Hall Renovation Project ($800,000),
(4) Clippinger Planning Project ($200,000)
(5) Brasee Hall Roof Replacement Project ($240,000); and

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Ohio University Board of Trustees does hereby empower the President, or his designee, in consultation with the Budget, Finance, and Physical Plant Committee to interview and select consulting architects and engineers for the aforementioned individual Utility and Renovation Projects.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Ohio University Board of Trustees does hereby authorize the preparation of construction plans and specifications for the aforementioned Utility and Renovation Projects.

Mr. Heffernan presented and moved approval of the resolution. Mr. Russ seconded the motion. Approval was unanimous.
Amended House Bill 798 contains an appropriation of $1,940,000 for utility and renovation projects. After a number of discussions with your office, and following discussions with the Ohio Board of Regents and the State Architect's office, I would like to recommend that this appropriation be used in the following manner:

1. The renovation of a portion of Wilson Hall on the West Green for the Molecular and Cellular Laboratory - $300,000,

2. A campus traffic and parking improvements project - $400,000,

3. The renovation of the first and third floor in Seigfred Hall - $800,000,

4. The planning of space which will be vacated by Electrical and Avionics Engineering in Clippinger Hall. More specifically, the available space will be examined to determine its ability to successfully accommodate the needs of the Chemistry, Geography and Geology programs. Once this assessment is complete, the space addressed for the Chemistry Department will be planned through the working drawing stage, with plans and specifications completed and ready for bidding. - $200,000,

5. The Brasee Hall roof replacement project - $240,000.

I would like to proceed with these projects, and have prepared a board resolution which seeks Board of Trustees approval to interview and select consultants to proceed with design and preparation of plans and specifications for the individual aforementioned projects.

JKK:ch

Enc.
6. Lease for Use of WOUB Tower

RESOLUTION 1984 -- 765

WHEREAS the State Department of Administrative Services (DAS) has established a program for a statewide voice and data network plan, and have asked for a lease for twenty-five (25) years to use the University's WOUB tower and site, and

WHEREAS the Ohio University Board of Trustees has determined that granting such a lease to cooperate with (DAS) for the statewide transmission plan is in the best interest of the University.

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Ohio University Board of Trustees have authorized the President or his designee to complete negotiations with DAS to grant such a twenty-five (25) year lease; authorized the President to approve the final terms and conditions of the lease, including all plans and specifications relating to use of the tower; and to arrange for execution in accordance with Ohio law.

Mr. Jeffers presented and moved approval of the resolution. Mr. White seconded the motion. Approval was unanimous.
To:  The President and Board of Trustees

From:  John F. Burns, Director, Office of Legal Affairs

Subject:  Lease for Use of WOUB Tower

The State of Ohio, Department of Administrative Services (DAS) has initiated a project to develop a statewide voice and data network plan; and they have requested use of the University's WOUB tower for installation of microwave equipment as part of the plan. Specifically, they have requested a twenty-five (25) year lease to install a microwave disc and construct an 8' x 16' pre-fabricated equipment shelter adjacent to the tower.

The University Telecommunication staff has reviewed this request and has had a structural analysis study of the tower capacity, which has concluded that the addition of the DAS system as proposed along with a strengthening of the guywires system supporting the tower would be permissible. The estimated cost of this new disc and tower improvements would be $15,000 - $20,000.

The University staff, including Mr. Joseph Welling, Director of Telecommunications, Dr. Alan Geiger, Secretary to the Board and myself have concluded and advised President Ping that this lease would be in the best interest of the University; and an attached resolution has been proposed for your review. It should be noted that the agreement with DAS will require specific plans and specifications to insure the integrity and safety of the tower, and the resolution calls for the President to approve the final terms and conditions of the lease, including such specifications.

Dr. Geiger and I will be available to discuss any questions regarding this matter.

Thank you very much.

JFB

Enclosure

cc:  Dr. Alan H. Geiger, Asst. to the President
     Mr. N. Joseph Welling, Director, Telecommunication Center
B. EDUCATIONAL POLICIES COMMITTEE

1. Program Review by Curriculum Committee

RESOLUTION 1984--767

WHEREAS, the continuous review of academic programs is essential to the maintenance of quality within an educational institution, and

WHEREAS, Ohio University has had for many years a rigorous program of internal review,

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Trustees of Ohio University accepts the 1983-84 reviews of academic programs.

Mrs. D'Angelo presented and moved approval of the resolution. Mr. Campbell seconded the motion. Approval was unanimous.
PROGRAM REVIEWS 1983-84

Five-Year Reviews

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Conclusions and Recommendations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Accounting</td>
<td>The program in accounting is in high demand by the students and well received by the students. The demand for accounting graduates is projected to remain strong and steady for the foreseeable future. The graduates from Ohio University are well recruited and seem to find good placements in accounting firms and government positions. The accounting department maintains close contact with many of the accounting graduates through professional meetings and through contact with the recruiters of the firms which employ them. No further review is needed at this time.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Art</td>
<td>The School of Art was an important factor in North Central's positive evaluation of the College of Fine Arts. The overall program is sound and the graduate program, especially in the studio-related areas is qualitatively above average. Given shifts in student interests, the School has been most adept at developing art components for programs in education, journalism and home economics. The expansion of the art therapy program and that in visual communications demonstrates creative flexibility in curriculum development. Awareness of and efforts to improve their physical facilities and to strengthen the non-studio components of the School are evident. No further review is needed at this time.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aviation</td>
<td>The Aviation Department has demonstrated strength in the area of faculty teaching and service and placement of graduates. The number of students is on the upswing, apparently due to economic improvement. The major weakness appears to be that aviation courses are considered 'easy' by students. The Department is considering raising or increasing academic standards. It may seem that the Department is a high cost program but income from special fees for flight courses fund aircraft rental and some instructional costs. The Subcommittee finds the Department of Aviation is fulfilling its mission at this time and recommends no further review. Several minor differences in the Master Curriculum File printout and University Curriculum Course records were noted but are being resolved.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Criminal Justice</td>
<td>The strength of the program lies in the University's ability to build liberal arts and pre-professional programs on top of the two-year technical degree at a modest expense. There are at least seven four-year</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
programs in Ohio. Often, freshmen students at Ohio University want to enter the Criminal Justice Program but have to be informed that they must receive an Associate in Arts degree before doing so. The enrollment has declined but is apparently stabilizing with an increase in female enrollment. The Subcommittee finds the Criminal Justice program is fulfilling its mission at this time and recommends no further review.

Geography

Available evidence indicates that the Department of Geography is fulfilling its chief mission in providing high quality instruction to its own majors and to the wide variety of students from other disciplines who come to the Department for intellectual growth. The geography program was one of seven Ohio University's programs to be selected as a major candidate for a state program excellence grant in a recent internal review process. Better data collection on graduates would be helpful to the Department in assessing its success in meeting its goals. The Department has earned a commendable and evidently deserved reputation for high quality teaching and programmatic innovation. Faculty members have been outstanding in rendering service to the University and to the community. Scholarly activity, while in a few cases quite active, is generally moderate to low. No further review is needed at this time.

Center for International Studies

The Center for International Studies is the focus for international programming and affairs at Ohio University. It has the dedicated and interested staff to achieve a high level of international flavor on campus. The academic programs are of good quality and the cooperation of the involved academic units is excellent. There is need to pay more attention to the undergraduate program and to place more encouragement to that program. It would be helpful for a number of future efforts for the Center's staff to better survey its graduates. No further review is needed at this time.

Film

The Department of Film is fulfilling its central mission, to produce graduates qualified to enter the filmmaking industry and, to a lesser degree, to become teachers of film and/or pursue further film related scholarly activities. Job placement is high (approaching 100% over the past several years), nationwide, and often in prestigious positions. Through its students and film-related activities (such as Wide Angle and the Athens International Film Festival), the Department has developed an international reputation. However, the Subcommittee finds that the Department has tended to flounder during the past two or three years: the Department chair has remained unfilled for more than three years; there has
been a drastic turnover of faculty; enrollments peaked (34) in 1982, but are back (25) to their previous levels; the important Film History sequence has not been offered during the past two academic years (apparently due to under-staffing); and a transient, but nevertheless disturbing, period of student dissatisfaction/unrest was experienced. The Subcommittee suggests that these problems stem largely from the lack of vigorous, full time leadership from the Department chair. We strongly recommend that this position be filled at the earliest opportunity. No further review is recommended at this time.

General Secretarial Technology-Chillicothe

The change to the new curriculum appears to be rather abrupt, and we are fearful that it will be a serious burden on existing staff, especially if the extended schedule offering regular week-end courses, etc., is adopted, as proposed. The new course descriptions are not too clear but they appear to go well beyond simply transferring old skills to new equipment. Staff will need time to assimilate the new materials and flesh out rather sketchy course contents. We are also concerned about the effects of the changes on existing students in the program. Apart from the switch to the all-electronic office, we perceive some escalation of the perceived status and expectations of the graduates of this program; such changes, it seems, are fully supported by the Department's consultants in the local business community. A special review should be conducted in 1986 or 1987 to examine the success of the new curriculum, appropriateness of staffing and equipment, reactions of students, etc.

General Secretarial Technology-Lancaster

The program has a good record of employment for its graduates. Its courses have drawn some enthusiastic comment from students... The Department has kept up with technological changes in office equipment but has a hard time getting adequate representation of the latest equipment— one Displaywriter for a class of 20 for example. The Department has noted the growth of computer-based technology as a common component of practically all technology programs on campus—electronics, manufacturing, library media, accounting, etc. In view of this, a decision has been made to equip a common computer laboratory with microcomputers and printers which should serve better than each department trying to fit out its own laboratory. The report of the North Central Association contained such a suggestion. No further review at this time.

General Studies

The Review Subcommittee in 1979 questioned the development of near-equivalent but less rigorous majors by avoiding specific requirements and a concern that students were not monitored closely enough to be sure that they actually took the courses they said they would. As a result of those questions, the
application and review procedure now includes specific references to these two topics and the B.G.S. Review Subcommittee as well as the University College Staff and the Director scrutinize applications for admission as well as application for graduation. Overall the Subcommittee finds that the General Studies Program is fulfilling its mission at this time and recommends no further review. It does provide an avenue for students who wish to design programs in one or more fields.

There are a number of strengths which can be cited here. The first is the high academic quality of the students themselves as measured by standardized test scores. (The quality of the program faculty is, in effect, the quality of a large segment of the University faculty and, on the basis of the data received, no comprehensive statement can be made.) Second, the instructional purposes of the College—including the promoting of independent learning behaviors and critical thinking skills—are worthy of any scholarly enterprise. Third, the flexibility inherent in the tutorial format should be commended. The weaknesses in the College have to do more with procedure than substance. It is not at all clear how student progress is monitored, and this probably varies from department to department. Still, some explicit method for monitoring student progress should be there for all to see. Second, there is a need for systematic measurement of the students' satisfaction or dissatisfaction with the program. This could be accomplished with a survey administered by a student advisory board. Finally, and this is a matter more for the UCC than the Honors Tutorial College, the review process should be conducted as part of the various departmental reviews. Each Honors Tutorial program should be reviewed at the same time as the department review. This change would help to solve problems of gathering information and would also aid departments in defining more explicitly the way(s) in which faculty service to the tutorial program will be recognized.

The program has strong ties with the community since it draws upon local professionals for much of its instruction. A number of its courses have been offered as workshops to satisfy continuing education interests of local human service professionals and paraprofessionals. A number of its students are non-traditional with work commitments or family commitments in the area. Since 1978, HST courses have been offered at the Chillicothe Correctional Institution. Students with work experience (even as volunteers) often receive HST credit through the Experiential Learning Program. The program does not require much in the way of equipment and reports that its needs in this regard
**Law Enforcement Tech.**

Facilities on the Chillicothe Campus are described as more than adequate and library needs appear to be modest but well supported. Both programs are theory oriented with no field training component. Both programs are considering adding a computer course and, in the case of SST, a basic electronics course as well. No new equipment for these is anticipated. No further review needed at this time.

**Security/Safety Tech.**

Chillicothe

Library holdings are judged 'excellent' at the present time. No further review needed at this time.

**Lifelong Learning**

All credit workshops are based in academic units and are taught by faculty from or approved by those units. The appropriate quality evaluations are made (or should be made) in conjunction with the academic units. No further review needed at this time. Review of Independent Study and Adult Learning Services courses should be conducted in conjunction with reviews of regular academic departments.

**Military Science**

The program has shown a healthy growth since 1979, it is cost effective, and it supports a number of undergraduates through scholarships. The majority of officers assigned to ROTC faculty billets do not have doctoral degrees. Recognizing this, the Department makes use of films and taped presentations from military educational institution facilities. Full time faculty members of Ohio University present lectures at frequent intervals to ROTC classes. The Subcommittee finds the Department of Military Science is fulfilling its mission fully at this time and recommends no further review.

**Nursing-Associate Degree-Zanesville**

Successful placement of students as registered nurses and in BSN programs attests to the overall quality of the program. In their evaluation of the faculty, students have indicated satisfaction with their education experience. Performance by students on the licensure examination also indicates that they are generally well prepared. Only 1981 appears as an exceptional year when 28% failed the exam. Generally, the failure rate is at or below 13%. In summary, the Nursing Education program at Zanesville appears academically sound and no further review is recommended at this time. However, the review committee requests that a copy of the progress report, which the National League for Nursing has requested for 1986, be sent to the UCC.

**Radio/Television Associate Degree-Zanesville**

This is one of the few programs reviewed this year which seems to have adequate space, equipment and library resources. Enrollment appears stable at 35-40 majors per year. Primary instruction in the program is handled by two individuals with a broad background
in radio and television. While their combined publications (articles and papers) are modest, their publications (productions) aired and those of their students are to be commended. Students in and alumni from this program are satisfied with the program as evidenced by the course evaluations and verbal reports. The faculty have high standards in their expectations from students—very few A's were given in the courses sampled. Compared to the last five-year review, this program has shown improvements. No further review is recommended at this time.

The CSWE accreditation report praised the program for its sound grasp of the generalist approach to social work education and its diversity of faculty backgrounds and experience. The Department also feels that the liberal arts background of its students is a strength which sets it apart significantly from many of the other Ohio social work programs, several of which are located in professional colleges rather than Colleges of Arts and Sciences. This background gives the graduates additional flexibility in choosing careers in which to use their social work training. The accreditation reports recommended an increased emphasis on the skills component of the program and this recommendation has been complied with through minor curricular changes and the addition of a junior-level practice course. Although the course has been approved, staffing has not yet allowed this course to be taught. The accreditation report also recommended active recruitment efforts toward high minority representation among the students. The diversity of faculty backgrounds is also in jeopardy with the recent resignations by the faculty. The Subcommittee finds that the Social Work Program is fulfilling its mission at this time and makes no recommendation for further review.

The Department of Zoological and Biomedical Sciences offers undergraduate programs to students who wish to pursue careers in medicine and allied health areas and to provide preparation for graduate school or baccalaureate-level entry into the job market. The graduate program produces master's and doctoral level students who assume various roles and positions in academia, government, and private industry. At both an undergraduate and graduate level, the Department is providing the appropriate educational experiences needed for their students to successfully enter careers of their choice. The faculty, for the most part, is moderately to highly active as researchers and scholars and actively participate in interdisciplinary programs with other departments. The Department's relationship with the College of Osteopathic Medicine is a close and important one. It is predicated on integrating resources and personnel
from two colleges to assist the College of Medicine with biomedical instruction and to strengthen the operation of the Department of Zoological and Biomedical Sciences. Although such a system is less than perfect, it does seem to be operating adequately, at least from the Department's point of view. Future reviews should continue to track the progress of this system and report how the nature of the relationship influences policies, procedures, and directions of the Department. No further review is needed at this time.
## PROGRAM REVIEWS 1983-84

### Two-Year Reviews

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Conclusions and Recommendations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Business Management Technology (2 year degree)-Chillicothe</strong></td>
<td>Internal review indicates the need for the addition of computer courses to the curriculum which could provide managers with greater computer experience but not their retraining as systems analysts. There is also the need for additional faculty, currently there is only one full time and several part-time faculty to service 53 hours of Business Management courses. The program is in the process of submitting a proposal for a new faculty member. The Program Subcommittee recommends that the program be continued as it exists and strongly supports the proposed addition of new faculty.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Industrial Technology Program-Lancaster</strong></td>
<td>The Program Subcommittee recommends that the program be continued as originally proposed, but it expressed concern about the heavy course load for instructors and the consequent practice of students taking large numbers of hours from the same individuals. The program needs to consider adding faculty. We also suggest that an internal review or oversight committee (perhaps consisting of the instructors, a person from an adjacent department, and an industry representative) be considered in order to provide ongoing evaluation of the program's needs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Special Education</strong></td>
<td>After students have graduated from the program, the College will conduct a survey of satisfaction with the program. Current information (informal conversations indicate that students are satisfied. Although the trend is for students to broaden their training and expand their employment options by acquiring additional teacher certificates, the MSP-MR program remains the best vehicle for those who want to specialize within this area. The Program Subcommittee recommends that the program continue as it currently exists.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Associate In Science</strong></td>
<td>While the number of Associate in Arts and Associate in Individualized Studies degrees have generally remained the same, the number of AS degrees has increased, demonstrating a desire for the natural science/mathematics two-year degree option. Also the AS degree represents a more immediate incentive than the more distant four year degree for part-time and older student. The Program Subcommittee recommends that the program be continued as originally proposed.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Mining Systems

The environmental problems affecting coal mining in Ohio require that the industry improve productivity through the application of systems engineering principles, and the department has received inquiries from the coal industry attesting to this need. The program now has 2 co-op positions within the mining industry and is seeking sponsors for additional positions. Both the department and the College of Engineering and Technology are committed to the program because of the importance of mining to this region of the state. The Program Subcommittee recommends continuation of the mining option during this process of curriculum modification. The program will undergo review again at the time of its five year program review.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Quality of Grad. Faculty</th>
<th>Art</th>
<th>Avia.</th>
<th>Crim.</th>
<th>Justice</th>
<th>Geog.</th>
<th>Inter. Studies</th>
<th>Film</th>
<th>G. Sec. Tech.-CH</th>
<th>G. Sec. Tech.LC</th>
<th>General Studies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Adeq./Strong</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Adeq.</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Adeq.</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Adeq.</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Moder.</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Moder.</td>
<td>Moder.</td>
<td>Moder.</td>
<td>Moder.</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Moder.</td>
<td>Moder.</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Strong</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Good/Excel.</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Five-Year Program Reviews 1983-84**

- **1. Quality of Grad. Faculty**
- **2. Quality of Undergrad. Fac.**
- **3. Quality of Grad. Students**
- **4. Quality of Undergrad. Maj.**
- **5. Library Holdings**
- **6. Facilities/Equipment**
- **7. Student Demand-Graduate**
- **8. Student Demand-Undergrad.**
- **9. Student Demand-Undergrad. non-majors**
- **10. Demand-Graduate Student**
- **11. Demand-Undergrad. Student**
- **12. Locational Advantage**
- **13. Advantage Relat. Other Institutions**
- **15. Quality of Undergrad. Educ. Experience**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Quality of Grad. Faculty</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Quality of Grad. Students</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Quality of Undergrad. Maj.</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Medium/ High</td>
<td>Medium/ High</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Student Demand-Graduate</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Moder.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Student Demand-Undergrad. non-majors</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Moder.</td>
<td>Moder.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Demand-Graduate Student</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Demand-Undergrad. Student</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. Locational Advantage</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. Advantage Relat. Other Institutions</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2. Acknowledgment of the Founding Day of the Ohio University College of Education.

RESOLUTION 1984--768

WHEREAS, on May 11, 1886, the Ohio General Assembly did enact legislation which provided support for the establishment of a Normal Department at Ohio University, and

WHEREAS, the Normal Department at Ohio University was the first state supported course of study in pedagogy in the State of Ohio, and

WHEREAS, the Normal Department at Ohio University progressed to become the College of Education.

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Founding Day of the College of Education at the Ohio University shall hereafter be fixed as the 11th day of May in the year 1886.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that on that day in the year 1986, the College of Education shall begin celebrating its Centennial Year with all the rights, privileges, and responsibilities pertaining thereto.

Mr. Baumholtz presented and moved approval of the resolution. Mr. Jeffers seconded the motion. Approval was unanimous.
August 17, 1984

President Charles J. Ping
Cutler Hall
Ohio University
Athens, Ohio 45701

Dear Charlie:

As you are aware, preparations are underway in planning programs and activities related to celebrating the College of Education's Centennial in 1986.

We would appreciate your submitting the enclosed resolution to the Board of Trustees for its action on September 15, 1984. The Board's endorsement will add integrity to the founding day and the Centennial.

It would further be appreciated if you would present the adopted resolution to the College at a reception and dinner at the O.U. Inn on September 21, 1984, commencing at 6:00 p.m. A formal document will be prepared for this event and will be permanently mounted in McCracken Hall.

Thank you for your cooperation and support.

Cordially,

Allen Myers
Dean
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3. Naming the Scholarships which the Distinguished Professors are privileged to award the Edwin L. and Ruth Z. Kennedy Distinguished Scholarships.

RESOLUTION 1984--769

WHEREAS, Edwin L. Kennedy is to be honored as a Volunteer of the Year 1984 by the Council for the Advancement and Support of Education (CASE), and

WHEREAS, Edwin L. Kennedy was honored as the fifth recipient of the Board of Trustees FOUNDERS CITATION, the highest recognition the University can bestow, for his leadership, wise counsel and dedication to alma mater as a member of the Board of Trustees, and

WHEREAS, Edwin L. Kennedy throughout his life has been dedicated to the proposition that excellence in education is the most effective means of shaping character, attitudes and natural purpose, and

WHEREAS, Mr. and Mrs. Edwin L. Kennedy did establish in February of 1961 the John C. Baker Fund whose purpose is to create incentives to the achievement of excellence at Ohio University, and

WHEREAS, through the Baker Fund each University Distinguished Professor is given the opportunity to award one full tuition scholarship to Ohio University.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Scholarships which Distinguished Professors are privileged to award henceforth be known as the Edwin L. and Ruth Z. Kennedy Distinguished Scholarships in lasting recognition and honor of the Kennedys.

Mr. Baumholtz presented and moved approval of the resolution. Mr. Jeffers seconded the motion. Approval was unanimous.
7. Approval of Basic Plan for Lease to National Senior Developers for Development of a Renaissance Community Retirement Center

RESOLUTION 1984--766

WHEREAS, the Ohio University Board of Trustees has responsibility for that portion of the surplus Athens Mental Health Center lands and historic buildings transferred to its jurisdiction in accordance with Resolution 1982-619, and

WHEREAS, the Ohio University has been assisting the Athens City Community Redevelopment Corporation (ACCURC) with plans for the preservation and redevelopment of the historic buildings which include proposals to develop a retirement center in accordance with Resolution 1983-691, and

WHEREAS, ACCURC has recommended that National Senior Developers (NSD) be named the designated developers, and

WHEREAS, NSD has requested the State of Ohio and the Ohio University to grant them a lease for purposes of developing a Renaissance Community for elderly citizens under Section 123.77 Ohio Revised Code, and

WHEREAS, the Ohio University Board of Trustees has reviewed the general plan for the development of the Renaissance Community.

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Ohio University Board of Trustees hereby approves the general plan for the Renaissance Community and authorizes the President, or his designee, in consultation with the Budget, Finance and Physical Plant Committee, to continue negotiations with NSD for a forty (40) year lease under Section 123.77 of the Ohio Revised Code with the understanding that the development and financial plan as well as the lease itself, will be subject to final action by the Ohio University Board of Trustees.

Mr. Campbell presented and moved approval of the resolution. Mr. Jeffers seconded the motion. Approval was unanimous.
Date: September 11, 1984

To: The President and Board of Trustees

From: John F. Burns, Director, Office of Legal Affairs

Subject: Approval of Basic Plan for Lease to National Senior Developers for Development of a Renaissance Community Retirement Center

A brief history would assist the Board of Trustees in their Review. The Board of Trustees (Resolution 1982-619) authorized the President to acquire 347 acres of surplus lands and buildings of the Athens Mental Health Center. This was accomplished through a Transfer of Jurisdiction Agreement with the Department of Mental Health (DMH) signed on December 15, 1982. Subsequently, the surplus buildings and 115 acres were leased for twenty-five years to the Athens City Community Redevelopment Corporation (ACCURC). The main goal of this not-for-profit corporation is to preserve the historic buildings on the grounds of the Athens Mental Health Center and to save jobs through the creation of new employment opportunities. One proposal considered by ACCURC involved the creation of a retirement center. With respect to this proposal the Board of Trustees (Resolution 1983-691) authorized negotiations with potential retirement center developers in order to allow ACCURC in cooperation with the University to develop a long-term lease for a retirement center.

During the next few months ACCURC interviewed a number of potential developers. In July of 1984, ACCURC recommended that National Senior Developers (NSD) of Cleveland, Ohio be named the designated developer of a retirement center. President Ping notified NSD that the University was willing to accept this recommendation on July 20, 1984. Since that date negotiations have taken place between NSD and ACCURC, with the University an active participant, to develop the basic plan for the retirement center and to set the terms and conditions of the lease. These negotiations are continuing.

The statutory requirements for such a lease calls for the state, through its Department of Administrative Services, and the University Board of Trustees to approve the long-term lease of land and buildings. The developers are required to submit: (1) a development plan for the project, including site development; (2) a financial plan; (3) proof of financial responsibility.

The developer is understandably hesitant to expend time and resources on detailed plans and specifications, particularly architectural drawings, until there is some agreement on the general concept for the development. What is before the Board of Trustees is the description of this concept. If approved the plan will be subject to final action following the development of plans and specifications and specific terms and conditions of the lease.

The proposed plan involves a forty (40) year lease for forty-three (43) acres of land consisting of the surplus historic buildings and adjacent acreage. NSD plans to develop a retirement center which they
call a "Renaissance Community" in three (3) phases over a five (5) year period. Phase 1 will be the renovation of the former residential cottages into sixty-four (64) residential and two (2) guest units. The renovation will preserve the character of the historic buildings. Specifically, the developer has agreed to comply with the standards for historic restoration. This first phase will also involve substantial site development, including new roadways and improvements to water, sewer, and utility services. Phase 2 will involve the renovation of the west wing of the main administrative building into eighty-one (81) units, twenty-three (23) of which will be units which entail full personal services or "carefree" units, and five (5) guest suites. Phase 3 will involve the construction of forty-four (44) new townhouse units similar in architectural design to the renovated buildings. All these phases will be completed within five (5) years and each will involve appropriate dining and recreational facilities to accommodate the tenants.

The preliminary financial plan calls for the developer to pay a fixed rental fee per unit as a minimum rent and a percentage rent applied to annual rents to the tenants. ACCURC will receive an advanced rental to assist it in meeting its current financial obligations. This sum will be charged against future rental payments. The University will receive the rental income after ACCURC has accomplished its mission and ceases to exist as a nonprofit corporation. The State Department of Mental Health will receive ten percent (10%) of the rent in accordance with the Transfer of Jurisdiction Agreement. At the present time it is proposed that limited commercial development be part of the project in order to serve the needs of the residents.

The developer plans to finance the project through the participation of NSD general partners, syndication of limited partnership, and bonds issued through the Ohio Housing Finance Agency. In addition, NSD plans to seek Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) funds and Appalachian Regional Commission (ARC) funds for site development. However, these plans may change depending on financial markets in the next few months.

The developers preliminary proposal for operations calls for the establishment of a non-profit corporation responsible for managing the retirement center. It is also their intention that the University with its health care, academic, cultural and athletic programs and activities will be a major factor in the successful operation. However, it is not proposed that the University be involved in the direct operation of the development, nor that there be any specific commitment of University resources.

The Board of Trustees is being asked to review and approve the basic concept of the use of land for these purposes under the general terms described and to authorize the President, or his designee, in consultation with the Budget, Finance and Physical Plant Committee of the Board, to proceed with further negotiations with NSD to prepare the plans for development, financing and operation, and the terms and conditions of the lease. When the University staff and state officials have had an opportunity to review these plans and the draft of the lease they will be brought back to the Board for final review and action.
Dr. Alan H. Geiger, Assistant to the President and Director of Campus Planning, Mr. William Kennard, Treasurer, and I will review and evaluate the plan being developed by NSD and will forward recommendations at the appropriate time.

A draft resolution is attached for your review which calls for the Board of Trustees to approve the general plan for this development and to authorize the President to proceed.

attachment
RESOLUTION 1984 -770

WHEREAS, Ohio University has established itself as a leader in the field of mammalian recombinant genetics, and

WHEREAS, Ohio University is the lead institution in a cooperative effort with Case Western Reserve University, the Ohio State University's Ohio Agricultural Research and Development Center and private interests, in particular Embryogen, Inc., to apply this technology to various domestic farm animals, and

WHEREAS, the above cooperative has applied for and received a $3.1 million matching grant under the Thomas Alva Edison Advanced Technology Applications Centers program of the State of Ohio,

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Trustees approves the establishment of the Edison Animal Biotechnology Center to facilitate the efforts of the University to apply mammalian recombinant genetics technology to domestic farm animals and, in partnership with Embryogen, to commercially exploit the outcomes of the research and development activity.

Mr. Russ presented and moved approval of the resolution. Mr. Taylor seconded the motion. Approval was unanimous.
Enclosed are draft resolutions for the establishment of the Edison Animal Biotechnology Center and the Institute of Mammalian Recombinant Genetics. Through the Institute the basic research activities of Drs. Thomas Wagner, Finnie Murray, the to be selected Ohio Eminent Scholar and other faculty will be focused. It will serve as the focus for the University's interaction with the Edison Animal Biotechnology Center.

This center is being formed to serve as the umbrella organization for the cooperative activities of faculties from Ohio University, Case Western Reserve University and the Ohio State University's Ohio Agricultural Research and Development Center in pursuit of applying mammalian recombinant genetics technology to domestic farm animals.

jc
Enclosure
5. Establishment of the Institute of Mammalian Recombinant Genetics

RESOLUTION 1984 -- 771

WHEREAS, researchers at Ohio University have successfully developed expertise and world acclaim in the field of mammalian gene transfer technology, and

WHEREAS, the University plans to expand its faculty expertise in mammalian gene transfer research and mammalian developmental biology, and

WHEREAS, the University needs to establish a focus for its interaction with the Edison Animal Biotechnology Center,

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Trustees establish the Institute of Mammalian Recombinant Genetics to serve as the focus for Ohio University's commitment to mammalian gene transfer research and development.

Mr. Russ presented and moved approval of the resolution. Mr. Heffernan seconded the motion. Approval was unanimous.
WHEREAS, The Ohio University Student Senate held a campus-wide election on April 25 and 26, 1984, and

WHEREAS, new language was proposed for the Student Senate Constitution to remedy a procedural problem, and

WHEREAS, Ohio University students supported the new language 1624 to 418.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that Section 6, Item 6.1 of the Ohio University Student Senate Constitution which currently reads, "All officers shall hold office for a term of one year and shall assume office before adjournment of the last meeting of the academic year in which they were elected;" be amended to read, "All officers shall hold office for a term of one year and shall assume office three weeks after their election;"

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that Section 6, Item 6.2 of the Ohio University Student Senate Constitution which currently reads; "Commissioners shall be appointed by the eighth week of Spring Quarter and shall assume office before adjournment of the last meeting of the academic year in which they were elected;" be amended to read, "Commissioners shall be appointed by two weeks after the election. Commissioners will assume office at the same time as the executive officers."

Mrs. D'Angelo presented and moved approval of the resolution. Mr. White seconded the motion. Approval was unanimous.
Date: August 31, 1984

To: President Charles J. Ping

From: Joel S. Rudy, Dean of Students

Subject: Student Senate Constitutional Amendment

On April 25 and 26, 1984, the Student Senate held its annual election and included with the ballot a recommendation for amending their constitution. In order to facilitate the transfer of authority and responsibility from one elected administration to another, effective dates of office were moved from the end of the quarter to three weeks following the election, thus allowing for the newly elected officers to "get started" before the end of the academic year. The same is true for the appointment of Commissioners of the various subdivisions of the Senate.

As a result of the election process, both recommendations passed overwhelmingly. In consultation with Mike Sostarich, Advisor to the Senate, I am in agreement with the recommendation that these amendments be approved.
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C. BOARD-ADMINISTRATION COMMITTEE

1. Standard Security Resolution

RESOLUTION 1984--773

WHEREAS, Ohio University periodically has faculty and staff involved in research activity that requires them to have access to classified information, and

WHEREAS, the United States Government requires that the University obtain security clearance as a precondition of its faculty and staff having access to classified information necessary for their research, and

WHEREAS, the University has a Managerial Group, as described in the Industrial Security Manual for Safeguarding Classified Information, consisting of the named persons occupying the following positions: Charles J. Ping, President; James Bruning, Provost; Ronald E. Barr, Associate Provost for Graduate and Research Programs; Adam J. Marsh, Manager of the Office of Research and Sponsored Programs; and William L. Kennard, Treasurer, and

WHEREAS, this Managerial Group is delegated all of the Board of Trustees' duties and responsibilities pertaining to the protection of classified information under classified contracts awarded to Ohio University; and

WHEREAS, members, as named below, of the Board of Trustees and all officers of the University not named as members of the Management Group shall be effectively excluded from access to all classified information in the possession of Ohio University and shall not be processed for a personnel clearance, and

WHEREAS, the Management Group shall review and approve any classified research proposals at the University.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that Ohio University, Cutler Hall, Athens, Ohio 45701, authorizes the President to take all necessary steps for designating replacements to the Managerial Group and to indicate replacement members of the Board of Trustees for the herein described Board of Trustees exclusion status: Frank C. Baumholtz; Richard R. Campbell; Priscilla S. D'Angelo; Dean W. Jeffers; William D. Rohr, Fritz J. Russ; Dennis B. Heffernan; Milton J. Taylor; Denver L. White.

Mr. Jeffers presented and moved approval of the resolution. Mr. Russ seconded the motion. Approval was unanimous.
VIII. ANNOUNCEMENT OF NEXT STATED MEETING DATE AND SITE

Acting Chairman Baumholtz announced that the next stated meeting of the Board was scheduled for January 26, 1985 in Athens. The meeting will be preceded by committee meetings on January 25, 1985.

IX. GENERAL DISCUSSION--ROLL CALL OF MEMBERS

Members, in turn, complimented Zanesville Campus Dean Craig Laubenthal and members of the faculty and staff; Belmont Campus Dean Jim Newton and members of the faculty and staff; and Vice Provost Jim Bryant for their efforts in making the Board meetings a success. All speaking were impressed by the presentations made by campus faculties and by the enthusiasm found on the campuses. Members extended their best wishes to Chairman Rohr for a speedy recovery.

Mrs. D'Angelo noted how exciting and well handled the innovative concepts surrounding genetic engineering were being dealt with by University officials.

Mr. Jeffers regretted that he was unable to attend the committee meetings on the Zanesville Campus. He stated that he was encouraged by the enrollment report and saw it as a sign we are truly competitive.

Mr. Taylor noted that planning is underway for an appropriate recognition of President Charles J. Ping's ten-year stewardship of Ohio University.

Mr. White, who was unable to attend the last meeting, welcomed Dick Campbell to the Board.

Mr. Line announced that the Alumni Association was celebrating its 125th anniversary this year. He also noted that those involved with Ohio University should be proud of its faculty, appearance and history and that we compare favorably to other major universities he recently visited.

X. ADJOURNMENT

Determining that there was no further business to come before the Board, Acting Chairman Baumholtz declared the meeting adjourned at 3:40 P.M.
XI. CERTIFICATION OF SECRETARY

Notice of this meeting and its conduct was in accordance with Resolution 1975--240 of the Board, which resolution was adopted on November 5, 1975, in accordance with Section 121.22(F) of the Ohio Revised Code and of the State Administrative Procedures Act.

__________________________
Frank C. Baumholtz
Acting Chairman

__________________________
Alan H. Geiger
Secretary