MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF
THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES OF OHIO UNIVERSITY

9:00 A.M., Monday, March 11, 1974
The Ohio University Inn
Athens, Ohio

I. OPENING PRAYER

Patrick Greene, student and President, Baptist Union, offered the opening prayer.

II. ROLL CALL

William L. Kircher, due to illness, was the only member unable to attend. In attendance were Charles E. Holzer, Jr., Mrs. Dorothy Johns, Fred H. Johnson, Edwin L. Kennedy, William R. Morris, Mrs. J. Wallace Phillips, and C. Paul Stocker. This constituted a quorum. Also present were President Claude R. Sowle and Secretary Robert E. Mahn.

Wallace Hodes, President of the Ohio University Alumni Association, was unable to attend the meeting. Vice President for Administrative Services W. Charles Culp, Vice President for Regional Higher Education Beaumont Davison, and Vice President and Treasurer John F. Milar were present to present business for Board consideration. Executive Vice President and Dean of Faculties Taylor Culbert and Vice President for University Relations Martin L. Hecht were present and responded to questions of trustees. Twenty visitors and media representatives were present for the meeting.

III. ACTION ON MINUTES OF JANUARY 21, 1974
(previously distributed)

No corrections were offered for the minutes. Mrs. Phillips moved approval. Mr. Kennedy seconded the motion, which was unanimously approved.

IV. COMMUNICATIONS, PETITIONS AND MEMORIALS

President Sowle read the following telegram:
THIS TELEGRAM IS SUBMITTED IN CONFIRMATION OF OUR TELEPHONE
CONVERSATION OF TODAY BY WHICH I ADVISED YOU THAT I HAD HERE-TO-FORE
SUBMITTED MY RESIGNATION AS A MEMBER OF THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES
TO THE GOVERNOR, MY SERVICE AS A TRUSTEE HAS BEEN MOST ENJOYABLE
AND I ONLY REGRET THAT IT HAS BECOME NECESSARY FOR ME TO RESIGN.
I VERY MUCH APPRECIATE THE COURTESIES YOU, MRS SOWLE AND THE
MEMBERS OF YOUR STAFF HAVE EXTENDED TO ME. PLEASE ALSO GIVE
MY THANKS TO THE OTHER TRUSTEES AT MONDAY'S MEETING AND CONVEY
TO EACH OF THEM MY BEST WISHES FOR THEIR CONTINUING EFFORTS
TO MAINTAIN A STRONG, PROGRESSIVE OHIO UNIVERSITY. THANKING

YOU AGAIN, I AM SINCERELY

ROBERT B MCALISTER 1700 SOUTH HIGH ST COLUMBUS OHIO 43210

614 888-7100
Chairman Morris stated that the Board would miss Mr. McAlister's talents and inquisitive mind. He expressed the hope that the Governor would appoint a successor with the same qualities.

V. REPORTS OF PRESIDENT AND ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICERS

President Sowle stated that there were no reports except as covered by specific agenda items.

VI. REPORTS OF BOARD COMMITTEES AND OFFICERS

A. Report on Discontinuance of the Master of Regional Planning Degree

Chairman Johns of the Educational Policies Committee reported that in accordance with Board procedures, she had conferred with Mr. Morris and that they had found no significant policy matters involved in the discontinuance of the Master of Regional Planning degree. Full Board action, therefore, was not required. The memorandum confirming this appears as Attachment 1.

VII. UNFINISHED BUSINESS

The Secretary reported that there was no unfinished business.

VIII. NEW BUSINESS

A. Consideration of Approval of Muskingum Area Technical College Plans

Dr. Davison presented the following resolution, which the Budget, Finance and Physical Plant Committee had endorsed:

Resolution 1974 -- 106

WHEREAS, The Muskingum Area Technical College has been granted a lease of land on the Ohio University-Zanesville campus for the purpose of construction of a technical education facility, and

WHEREAS, said lease stipulates that the plans and specifications for any buildings and other improvements on the premises shall have the prior approval of the Board of Trustees of Ohio University,
NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Plans and Specifications for a technical education facility and related improvements submitted for review this date, including an attached resolution setting forth certain additional conditions and alternate bid items which must be included in the project, are hereby approved.

Muskingum Area Technical College
Board of Trustees Resolution R 1974-II

WHEREAS, each public institution of higher learning in Ohio is created as a body politic and corporate by the State Legislature.

BE IT RESOLVED by the Muskingum Area Technical College Board of Trustees that, in accordance with the authority vested in the Board by the General Statutes of the State of Ohio (e.g., Chapter 3345, R.C., State Universities -- General Powers) and by the Ohio Board of Regents and in keeping with the recommendations of The Minute Book of Board Proceedings -- Universities issued by the Auditor of the State and by the Bureau of Inspection and Supervision of Public Offices, the project alternates listed below will be accomplished within the time frame of the total building project, either from funds available within the building project or other moneys available to the College:

1. The use of stone fascia in lieu of brick fascia.

2. The use of asphalt in lieu of tar and limestone in the major parking area.

3. The inclusion of two roof screens in the project.

4. The use of bronze aluminum windows in lieu of natural aluminum windows.

5. The use of sodding in lieu of seeding.

Mr. Kennedy moved approval of the resolution, which Dr. Holzer seconded. Approval was unanimous. Documents relating to the resolutions appear as Attachment 2.

B. Consideration of Granting Permission to Ohio Education Television Network Commission to Use Radar Hill Tower for Passive Reflectors, and to Place Small Building at Transmitter Site at the TV Tower

Mr. Culp presented the following resolution, which had been endorsed by the Budget, Finance and Physical Plant Committee:

Resolution 1974 -- 107

WHEREAS, the Ohio University Board of Trustees ratified an Agreement on September 12, 1972, which granted certain rights and privileges to the Ohio Educational Television Network Commission with respect to the use of Ohio University's television tower, and

WHEREAS, said Network Commission now desires to obtain an easement from Ohio University for an initial period of five years that would permit a portable equipment shelter to be located and maintained upon the premises at the tower site, and

WHEREAS, said equipment shelter is necessary to protect relay equipment used in conjunction with the Medical Microwave System which will provide an audio and visual communications link between four Southeastern Ohio hospitals and University Hospital in Columbus,

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Trustees does hereby authorize the President of Ohio University, or his designated representative, to execute the attached easement document.
EASEMENT AGREEMENT

This agreement entered into this ___ day of __________, 1974, by and between THE PRESIDENT AND TRUSTEES OF THE OHIO UNIVERSITY, hereinafter referred to as "University" and OHIO EDUCATIONAL TELEVISION NETWORK COMMISSION, hereinafter referred to as "Commission", is to evidence that:

WHEREAS, said University presently maintains a transmitter tower in Athens Township, Athens County, Ohio, on premises described hereafter in Exhibits A and B which are incorporated herein by reference and made a part hereof, and

WHEREAS, the Commission has authorized a special project under the auspices of the Ohio Valley Medical Microwave Television System for the purpose of connecting four (4) Southeastern Ohio hospitals with University Hospital in Columbus, Ohio, through the medium of a two-way, closed circuit microwave communication system, and

WHEREAS, the Commission desires to obtain an easement from the University to locate, operate, maintain, and have access to a portable equipment shelter described in Exhibit B with all necessary poles, wires, and appurtenances, including guy and brace poles, over and upon the premises described in Exhibits A and B for the purpose of housing relay equipment to be used in conjunction with antennae to be mounted on the television tower owned by Ohio University; said mounting of antennae prescribed under terms of a prior agreement between University and Commission dated August 4, 1972, and a subsequent addendum to the Agreement dated April 2, 1973.

NOW THEREFORE, in consideration of mutual covenants herein contained and the sum of One Dollar ($1.00), the receipt of which is hereby acknowledged, The President and Board of Trustees of Ohio University does hereby grant to the Ohio Educational Television Network Commission an easement, including the foregoing rights and privileges for a period of five
5) years from the date entered into. Provided, however, the Commission mutually agrees with the University that as a part of the consideration hereof that Commission will:

1. Obtain all necessary governmental consents, permits, and approvals of plans, and that all equipment used in the facility will be installed and maintained in accordance with standards and regulations of the Federal Communications Commission.

2. Assume responsibility for all costs of construction for the items in Exhibit B; all costs of service and maintenance to the Commission's equipment, including feed lines, messenger cables, metering of electrical service to its own account and any other costs incidental to the operation and maintenance of the Commission's facility.

3. Obtain prior written approval from the Director of Engineering for Telecommunications of Ohio University prior to the commencement of any work at the tower site for the purpose of coordinating construction schedules, site clean-up, and related matters.

4. Not interfere with any communications system operated by said University, and further, if interference does result due to Commission's use, Commission shall be responsible for correcting the system to the satisfaction of the University at the Commission's expense.

5. To indemnify and save harmless the University from all damages to equipment or injury to personnel or claims arising out of any negligent act or omission by the Commission or any of its agents during the period of construction, operation, maintenance, or repair authorized herein.

6. Not assign this easement or any privileges granted hereunder, directly or indirectly to other parties without prior written consent of the University.
It is further agreed that in the event the Commission has need for the premises beyond the five (5) year period specified herein, that University will consider granting an extension of this agreement at that time. Such extension will not be unreasonably nor arbitrarily withheld, but the decision will be based upon an evaluation of the circumstances existing at that time.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties, by their duly authorized officers, have hereto set their hands to quadruplicates hereof the day and year first above written herein.

PRESIDENT AND TRUSTEES
OF OHIO UNIVERSITY

By: ____________________________
    Robert E. Mahn
    Secretary to the Board of Trustees

OHIO EDUCATIONAL
TELEVISION NETWORK COMMISSION

By: ____________________________
    Dave L. Fornshell, Executive Director
STATE OF OHIO, ATHENS COUNTY, SS:

Personally appeared before me, a Notary Public, on this _____ day of ____________, 1974, Robert E. Mahn, Secretary to the Board of Trustees of Ohio University, the grantor in the foregoing Easement and acknowledged the signing of same to be his voluntary act and deed.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto subscribed my name and affixed my official seal on the day and year first above written.

Notary Public, Athens County, Ohio

STATE OF OHIO, FRANKLIN COUNTY, SS:

Personally appeared before me, a Notary Public on this _____ day of ____________, 1974, Dave L. Fornshell, Executive Director, Ohio Educational Television Network Commission, the grantee in the foregoing Easement and acknowledged the signing of same to be his voluntary act and deed.

IN TESTIMONY WHEREOF, I have hereunto subscribed my name and affixed my official seal on the day and year first above written.

Notary Public, Franklin County, Ohio
Dr. Holzer moved approval of the resolution, which Mrs. Johns seconded. Approval was unanimous. Documents pertaining to this action appear as Attachment 3.

C. Consideration of Increase in Travel Reimbursement Rate for Use of Personal Car on State Business

Mr. Milar presented the following resolution, which had been endorsed by the Budget, Finance and Physical Plant Committee:

Resolution 1974 -- 108

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Trustees of Ohio University that the travel reimbursement rate for use of personal cars on State business be increased from 10 cents per mile, the current rate, to 12 cents per mile, effective immediately.

Dr. Holzer moved approval of the motion, which Mrs. Phillips seconded. Approval was unanimous.

The letter recommending the change in rate appears as Attachment 4.

D. Consideration of the Issuance, Sale and Award of $5,975,000 Convocation Center Notes of 1974

Mr. Milar presented the following resolution which had been endorsed by the Budget, Finance and Physical Plant Committee:
RESOLUTION
1974 -- 109

Providing for the issuance, sale and award of $5,975,000 Convocation Center Notes of 1974 of The Ohio University for the purpose of refunding the $5,975,000 principal amount of Convocation Center Notes of 1973 heretofore issued to refund prior notes issued for the purpose of constructing and equipping a building to be used for and in connection with an auditorium and athletic purposes.

WHEREAS, the Board of Trustees (herein called the "Board") of The President and Trustees of The Ohio University is vested with the control and the management of The President and Trustees of The Ohio University (herein called the "University", or when the context admits collectively with the Board called the "University"), under and by virtue of Chapter 3337 of the Revised Code of Ohio; and

WHEREAS, under Chapter 3345, of the Revised Code of Ohio, the Board has authority to construct, equip, maintain and operate the Project described below and to issue bonds and notes to pay the costs thereof, and to issue bonds and notes to refund bonds and notes previously issued for such purpose; and

WHEREAS, the Board has previously determined, and does hereby confirm, that it is necessary to provide additional student facilities and, therefore, to construct and equip a building, referred to in the plans therefor as the Convocation Center, and facilities to be used for and in connection with an auditorium and athletic purposes on its campus and related facilities to be used in connection therewith (the said building and facilities constructed from the proceeds of the below mentioned Project Bonds and the within authorized notes, excluding the dormitory facilities therein which are to be paid for from surplus dormitory receipts presently on hand, being herein called the "Project"), the cost of which as completed was $7,490,000 (excluding the cost of the dormitory facilities therein estimated to cost $1,000,000); and

WHEREAS, pursuant to resolutions heretofore duly adopted by the Board, the University has issued and there are outstanding $5,975,000 principal amount of Convocation Center Notes of 1973, dated as of May 17, 1973 maturing May 17, 1974, and having been issued to refund other notes issued to pay part of the costs of the Project (the said 1973 notes being herein called the "Outstanding Notes"); and

WHEREAS, the University proposes to borrow funds to pay part of the costs of the Project, and for such purpose, to issue its revenue bonds (herein called the "Project Bonds") for the permanent financing of part of the costs of the Project, pursuant to resolution duly adopted January 5, 1966, as supplemented, (the said resolution, as heretofore or hereafter amended, supplemented or superseded, being herein called the "Bond Resolution") and pursuant to authorizing provisions of law; and

WHEREAS, it is hereby determined to be necessary to issue the below authorized $5,975,000 Convocation Center Notes of 1974, to refund the balance of the Outstanding Notes prior to the completion of permanent financing of the Project to be represented by the Project Bonds;
NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Trustees of The President and Trustees of The Ohio University:

Section 1. It is hereby confirmed and declared that it is necessary to construct and equip the Project and to issue and there shall be issued, pursuant to Chapter 3345, of the Revised Code of Ohio, The Ohio University Convocation Center Notes of 1974, (herein sometimes called the "1974 Notes"), in the aggregate principal amount of $5,975,000 for the purpose of refunding the presently outstanding The Ohio University Convocation Center Notes of 1973, dated as of May 17, 1973, issued for the purpose of refunding prior notes issued to pay part of the Project Costs, as defined in the Bond Resolution.

Section 2. The principal of and interest on the 1974 Notes shall be payable solely from (a) the "Pledged Receipts" after provision for "Operating and Maintenance Expenses," if any, of the "Pledged Facilities," as such terms are defined in the Bond Resolution, but subject to any existing or future pledge thereof to the Project Bonds or other bonds issued pursuant to Sections 3345.07 or 3345.11, Revised Code; and (b) the proceeds of the sale of revenue bonds or notes issued pursuant to Chapter 3345, of the Revised Code or other authorizing provisions of law to refund the Notes authorized hereby; provided that neither the University or its Board nor the State of Ohio is obligated to pay the principal of or interest on such Notes from any other funds or source, nor shall such 1974 Notes be a claim upon or lien against any other property of the University or the State, except the Pledged Receipts, and such 1974 Notes are not debts or bonded indebtedness of the State, are not general obligations of the State or the University, and the full faith and credit thereof are not pledged thereto and the noteholders shall have no right to have any taxes or excises levied by the General Assembly of the State of Ohio for the payment of the principal thereof or the interest thereon.

In consideration of the loan evidenced by the 1974 Notes, the University and its Board of Trustees covenant and agree that they will do all things necessary to effect the issuance and delivery, prior to the maturity of the 1974 Notes, of revenue bonds or notes for the refunding of the 1974 Notes, pursuant to authorizing provisions of law, in such principal amount as shall be necessary to pay the principal of and interest on the 1974 Notes and shall apply the proceeds thereof to such purpose; that they will do all things necessary to sell such bonds or notes at the time provided above and will accept such price and such interest rate as shall be necessary in order to effect such sale; and these obligations are established as duties specifically enjoined upon the University, its Trustees and officers, by law and result from their respective offices, trusts or stations within the meaning of Section 2731.01 of the Revised Code of Ohio.

Section 3. The 1974 Notes shall be issued as bearer notes without coupons; shall be dated as of May 17, 1974, shall mature at such time as approved by the Fiscal Officer, but not later than one year from the date of issuance; shall bear interest at the rates per annum described in Section 4 hereof; shall be in denomination of $5,000 or any multiples thereof as requested by the Original Purchasers and approved by the Fiscal Officer; shall be numbered as determined by the Fiscal Officer; and shall be executed by the Executive Officer or by the Fiscal Officer, and may have the seal of the University impressed or imprinted thereon.

The definitive notes shall be substantially in the forms attached hereto as Exhibit A, the terms and covenants of which are incorporated herein with such modifications therein and additions thereto as may be appropriate for the issuance of the
3.

1974 Notes in bearer form, as may be not substantially adverse to the University, as the officers executing same and the Original Purchaser may agree upon, provided that the entire issue may be represented by a single note if so agreed.

If agreeable to the Original Purchaser, prior to delivery of the definitive notes, said 1974 Notes may be delivered as one or more temporary manuscript notes to be surrendered to the University at the principal office of the Original Purchaser in exchange for definitive notes of like aggregate principal amount and related interest rates when the University shall notify the Original Purchaser thereof that the definitive notes are available. Such exchange shall be made at no expense to the Original Purchaser.

Both the principal of and the interest on all bearer notes shall be payable at such place or places as agreed upon by the Fiscal Officer and the Original Purchaser (such place or places of payment hereinafter called "Paying Agents") without deduction for their services as the University's Paying Agents, upon presentation and surrender of the Notes as the same fall due.

Title to any bearer note shall pass by delivery in the same manner as a negotiable instrument payable to bearer.

If the owner (in this paragraph called the "Owner") of a note issued pursuant to this Resolution claims that such note has been lost, destroyed, or wrongfully taken, the Executive Officer or the Fiscal Officer are each authorized to cause to be executed and delivered a new note (in this paragraph called the "Replacement Note") of like tenor and date (specifying on its face, however, that it is issued in place of the original note) in place of the original note, in accordance with and subject to the provisions of Section 1308.35 of the Revised Code of Ohio and of this paragraph. The Owner's notification and request pursuant to said Section 1308.35 shall be in writing, addressed to the Fiscal Officer of the University, and shall include evidence satisfactory to said Fiscal Officer of such loss, destruction, or wrongful taking and of his ownership. The Executive Officer or in his absence the Fiscal Officer, is authorized to approve, so long as satisfactory to such officer, the indemnity bonds that the Owner shall file with the University as a condition to the issuance of a Replacement Note, and is further authorized to impose other reasonable requirements, including a requirement that the Owner pay the reasonable expenses and charges of the University in connection with the issuance, execution and delivery of such Replacement Note, as conditions to the delivery of a Replacement Note.

For the purposes of this Resolution, "Executive Officer" shall mean the Chairman of the Board of Trustees or the President of the University; and "Fiscal Officer" shall mean the Treasurer of the University.

Section 4. The 1974 Notes shall be sold by negotiation, solicitation of proposals or advertisement for bids by the Fiscal Officer upon such terms and conditions, not inconsistent with this Resolution, as may be determined, but at a purchase price of not less than par plus accrued interest, if any, and at an interest rate or rates not exceeding 5-3/4% per annum to maturity, and not exceeding 8% per annum thereafter, and the officer so acting is further authorized to enter into an agreement providing for the sale and purchase of the 1974 Notes and conditions therefor and the better security of the holders of the 1974 Notes. The University will provide printed notes at its expense, if approved by the Fiscal Officer. The sale and award of the 1974 Notes by the Fiscal Officer shall be evidenced by a
Certificate of Sale and Award signed by him (the purchaser(s) designated therein, being in this Resolution called the "Original Purchaser"). The Executive Officer and the Fiscal Officer of the University and the Secretary of this Board, are authorized and directed to do all things necessary for the delivery of said 1974 Notes in accordance with such sale and award, this Resolution and the Agreement with the Original Purchaser.

Section 5. The proceeds of the 1974 Notes shall be applied as promptly as possible to the payment and discharge of the Outstanding Notes, and the Fiscal Officer is hereby directed to apply to the payment of interest on said Outstanding Notes such amount from the construction funds for the Project or from other funds available therefor.

The University will restrict the use of the proceeds of the 1974 Notes in such manner and to such extent, if any, as may be necessary, after taking into account reasonable expectations at the time the 1974 Notes are delivered to the Original Purchaser, so that they will not constitute arbitrage bonds under Section 103(d) of the Internal Revenue Code and the regulations prescribed under that section. The Treasurer or any other officer, including the Secretary of the Board of Trustees, having responsibility with respect to the issuance of the 1974 Notes, is authorized and directed, alone or in conjunction with any of the foregoing or with any other officer of the University, to give an appropriate certificate on behalf of the University, for inclusion in the transcript of proceedings for the 1974 Notes, setting forth the facts, estimates and circumstances and reasonable expectations pertaining to said Section 103(d) and regulations thereunder. Such Secretary shall furnish to the Original Purchaser a true transcript of proceedings, certified by the Secretary of all proceedings had with reference to the issuance of the 1974 Notes along with such information for the records as is necessary to determine the regularity and validity of the issuance of said Notes.

Section 6. Any reference herein or in Exhibit A hereto to Sections 3345.11 and 3345.12 of the Revised Code of Ohio shall mean said sections as they now exist and as they are from time to time amended, supplemented or affected by later legislation, and shall include any provision enacted in substitution thereof or as a supplement thereto.
UNIVERSITY OF OHIO
THE OHIO UNIVERSITY
CONVOCATION CENTER NOTE OF 1974

No. $5,975,000

KNOW ALL MEN BY THESE PRESENTS that the President and Trustees of The Ohio University and its Board of Trustees (herein collectively called the "University"), for value received, hereby promise to pay, solely from the sources hereinafter set forth, to the bearer on (or before) May 16, 1975 the principal sum of

DOLLARS

and to pay, solely from said sources, interest thereon from the date hereof at the rate of

percent (%) per annum until maturity and thereafter

at the rate of

percent (%) per annum until payment of such principal sum has been made or provided for, such interest payable at maturity upon presentation and surrender of this note. The interest on this note and the principal hereof are payable solely from (a) the "Pledged Receipts" after providing for "Operating and Maintenance Expenses," if any, of the "Pledged Facilities," as such terms are defined in the Resolution authorizing the Student Facilities Revenue Bonds of the University (herein called the "Project Bonds") duly adopted by said Board of Trustees on January 5, 1966, and as amended, but subject to any existing or future pledge thereof to the Project Bonds or other bonds issued pursuant to Sections 3345.07 and 3345.11, Revised Code, and (b) the proceeds of the sale of revenue bonds or of notes issued pursuant to Chapter 3345. of the Revised Code of Ohio, or other authorizing provisions of law, to refund this note; and neither the State of Ohio nor the University or its Board of Trustees is obligated to pay the same from any other funds or source, nor shall this note be a claim against or lien upon any property of the State, or any property of or under the control of the University or Board except the aforesaid Pledged Receipts, and this note, as to both principal and interest, is not a debt or bonded indebtedness of the State, is not a general obligation of the State or the University, and the full faith and credit thereof are not pledged thereto and said noteholder shall have no right to have any taxes or excises levied by the General Assembly of the State of Ohio for the payment of the principal hereof or interest hereon.

The interest on this note and the principal hereof are payable in lawful money of the United States of America at the principal office of

or, at the option of the holder, at

the principal office of

(thereinafter called the "Paying Agents") without deduction for either of their services as the University's Paying Agents.

This note is one of a duly authorized series of notes of like tenor and effect, (except as to number and denomination, numbered to both inclusive, note Nos. to , both inclusive, being of the denomination of each, and note Nos. to , both inclusive, being of the denomination of ) aggregating in amount Five Million Nine Hundred Seventy-Five Thousand Dollars ($5,975,000), issued for the purpose of refunding the $5,975,000 principal amount of Convocation Center Notes of 1973 heretofore issued to refund prior notes issued for the purpose of paying part of the costs of constructing and equipping a building to be used for and in connection with an auditorium and athletic purposes on the campus.
of the University, pursuant to and in full compliance with the general laws of the State of Ohio, particularly Sections 3345.11 and 3345.12 of the Revised Code of Ohio, and pursuant to a Resolution of the Board of Trustees of said University duly adopted on March 11, 1974.

In consideration of the loan evidenced by this note, the University and its Board covenant and agree that they will do all things necessary to effect the issuance of and delivery, prior to the maturity of this note, of revenue bonds or notes for the refunding of this note, pursuant to Sections 3345.11 and 3345.12 of the Revised Code of Ohio or other authorizing provisions of law, in such principal amount as shall be necessary to pay the principal of and interest on this note; that they will do all things necessary to sell such bonds or notes at the time provided above and will accept such price and such interest rate as shall be necessary in order to effect such sale; and these obligations are established as duties specifically enjoined by law upon the University and its offices, and result from their respective offices, trusts or stations within the meaning of Section 2731.01 of the Revised Code of Ohio.

This note is executed on behalf of said Board of Trustees solely in their capacity as such Trustees and shall not constitute their personal obligations, either jointly or severally, in their individual capacities.

AND IT IS HEREBY CERTIFIED AND RECITED that all acts, conditions and things required by the Constitution and laws of the State of Ohio, to happen, exist and be performed precedent to and in the issuance of this note, in order to make it a legal, valid and binding obligation of said University and its Board of Trustees, have happened, exist and been performed as so required.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the President and Trustees of The Ohio University and its Board of Trustees, jointly and severally, have caused this note to be signed by the Treasurer of the University and the official seal of the University to be impressed thereon all as of the 17th day of May, 1974.


(SEAL)

Treasurer of The Ohio University
Dr. Holzer moved approval of the resolution. Mrs. Phillips seconded the motion. Approval was unanimous.

E. Consideration of Proposed Budget for 1974-75 and Fiscal Plan for 1975-76

President Sowle stated that detailed budget information had been sent to members. Fortunately, all members present had attended the preceding evening's two-hour meeting on budget of the Budget, Finance and Physical Plant Committee. Therefore, he stated, he deemed it unnecessary to repeat what had been reported there. Presented for consideration were the Budget Resolution and the Proposed Salary Schedule for 1974-75 Resolution, both of which had the endorsement of the Budget, Finance and Physical Plant Committee:
RESOLVED: That the 1974-75 budgets of expected income and expenditures as presented in Exhibits I, II, III, and IV, as amended by Part I of President Sowle's memorandum to the Board of Trustees dated March 9, 1974, as presented in Exhibit IV a, are hereby appropriated subject to the following provisions:

(1) The Vice President and Treasurer with the approval of the President, may make adjustments in Instructional and General Operating Expense Allocations (Schedule IV), providing the total of such expenditures does not exceed available unrestricted income and surplus or $41,855,260, whichever is less.

(2) Should available unrestricted income and surplus exceed $41,855,260, the expenditure of such additional income shall be subject to approval by the Board of Trustees.

(3) Expenditures of Restricted Income shall not exceed the amounts made available for such purposes.

FURTHER RESOLVED: That the 1975-76 Fiscal Plan as presented in Exhibit V is hereby approved.

JFM
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**OHIO UNIVERSITY**  
**1974-75 BUDGET**  
**INCOME SUMMARY**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Unrestricted</th>
<th>Restricted</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Instructional and General</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Appropriation</td>
<td>$24,035,060</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$24,035,060</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student Fees</td>
<td>16,547,600</td>
<td>380,000</td>
<td>16,927,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Income</td>
<td>1,272,600</td>
<td>1,527,383</td>
<td>2,799,983</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Instructional and General</strong></td>
<td>$41,855,260</td>
<td>$1,907,383</td>
<td>$43,762,643</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Organized Research</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private Gifts and Grants</td>
<td>$150,843</td>
<td>$150,843</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Governmental Gifts and Grants</td>
<td>857,916</td>
<td>857,916</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Organized Research</strong></td>
<td>$1,008,759</td>
<td>$1,008,759</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Public Services</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private Gifts and Grants</td>
<td>$130,244</td>
<td>$130,244</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Governmental Gifts and Grants</td>
<td>1,254,837</td>
<td>1,254,837</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Sources</td>
<td>645,459</td>
<td>645,459</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Public Services</strong></td>
<td>$2,030,540</td>
<td>$2,030,540</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Auxiliary Enterprises</strong></td>
<td>$1,311,745</td>
<td>$1,311,745</td>
<td>(A)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Student Aid</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endowment Income</td>
<td>$119,741</td>
<td>$119,741</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private Gifts and Grants</td>
<td>151,982</td>
<td>151,982</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Governmental Grants</td>
<td>1,269,068</td>
<td>1,269,068</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Student Aid</strong></td>
<td>$1,540,791</td>
<td>$1,540,791</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Income</strong></td>
<td>$41,855,260</td>
<td>$7,799,218</td>
<td>$49,654,478</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note (A) Excludes Residence and Dining Halls*
## INCOME AND EXPENSE SUMMARY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Unrestricted</th>
<th>Restricted</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>INCOME:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Instructional and General</td>
<td>$41,855,260</td>
<td>$1,907,383</td>
<td>$43,762,643</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organized Research</td>
<td>1,008,759</td>
<td>1,008,759</td>
<td>2,017,518</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Service</td>
<td>2,030,540</td>
<td>2,030,540</td>
<td>4,061,080</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auxiliary Enterprises</td>
<td>1,311,745</td>
<td>1,311,745</td>
<td>2,623,490</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student Aid</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,540,791</td>
<td>1,540,791</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Income</strong></td>
<td>$41,855,260</td>
<td>$7,799,218</td>
<td>$49,654,478</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Unrestricted</th>
<th>Restricted</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>EXPENSE:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Instructional and General</td>
<td>$41,755,260</td>
<td>$1,907,383</td>
<td>$43,662,643</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organized Research</td>
<td>1,008,759</td>
<td>1,008,759</td>
<td>2,017,518</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Service</td>
<td>2,030,540</td>
<td>2,030,540</td>
<td>4,061,080</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auxiliary Enterprises</td>
<td>1,311,745</td>
<td>1,311,745</td>
<td>2,623,490</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student Aid</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,540,791</td>
<td>1,540,791</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Expense</strong></td>
<td>$41,755,260</td>
<td>$7,799,218</td>
<td>$49,554,478</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Surplus**        | $ 100,000    | $ -0-     | $ 100,000  |

**Note (A)** Excludes Residence and Dining Halls
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Revised 1973-74</th>
<th>Estimated 1974-75</th>
<th>Increase (Decrease)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>State Appropriation</td>
<td>$21,636,885</td>
<td>$24,035,060</td>
<td>$2,398,175</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student Fees</td>
<td>17,821,700</td>
<td>16,547,600</td>
<td>(1,274,100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Income</td>
<td>1,298,000</td>
<td>1,272,600</td>
<td>(25,400)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surplus and Other Resources</td>
<td>1,458,141</td>
<td>-0-</td>
<td>(1,458,141)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>$42,214,726</td>
<td>$41,855,260</td>
<td>$ (359,466)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## OHIO UNIVERSITY
### 1974-75 BUDGET
#### INSTRUCTIONAL AND GENERAL OPERATING EXPENSES

### Central Pool Items

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Revised 1973-74</th>
<th>Proposed 1974-75</th>
<th>Increase (Decrease)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>University Reserve</td>
<td>$240,099</td>
<td>$350,000</td>
<td>$109,901</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facilities Fee</td>
<td>$280,000</td>
<td>$500,000</td>
<td>$220,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unemployment Compensation</td>
<td>$40,000</td>
<td>$80,000</td>
<td>$40,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Improvement</td>
<td>$361,937(A)</td>
<td>$250,000</td>
<td>(111,937)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insurance Premium Variance</td>
<td>$192,323</td>
<td>0- (B) (192,323)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PERS Back Credit Payment</td>
<td>$12,000</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td>(2,000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University Legal Counsel</td>
<td>$31,042</td>
<td>$30,000</td>
<td>(1,042)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University Memberships</td>
<td>$9,000</td>
<td>$1,000</td>
<td>(8,000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rental Properties</td>
<td>$97,900</td>
<td>$97,900</td>
<td>0-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Expense</td>
<td>$19,728</td>
<td>$24,800</td>
<td>$5,072</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Educational Benefits</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faculty, Staff and Non-Academic Employees</td>
<td>$85,000</td>
<td>$85,000</td>
<td>0-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faculty and Staff Children</td>
<td>$118,000</td>
<td>$118,000</td>
<td>0-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spouses of Graduate Assistants</td>
<td>$13,700</td>
<td>$13,700</td>
<td>0-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graduate Assistants (Summer Only)</td>
<td>$102,958</td>
<td>$107,000</td>
<td>$4,042</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recruitment and Retention</td>
<td>$37,670</td>
<td>0- (B) (37,670)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STRS Rate Reduction</td>
<td>(36,000)</td>
<td>0- (B) (36,000)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sub-Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$1,605,357</strong></td>
<td><strong>$1,672,500</strong></td>
<td><strong>$67,143</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### President

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Revised 1973-74</th>
<th>Proposed 1974-75</th>
<th>Increase (Decrease)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>President's Office</td>
<td>$117,541</td>
<td>$134,052</td>
<td>$16,511</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cutler Service Bureau</td>
<td>$30,547</td>
<td>$34,347</td>
<td>$3,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secretary to Board &amp; University</td>
<td>$23,506</td>
<td>$15,159</td>
<td>(8,347)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equal Opportunity Programs</td>
<td>$28,107</td>
<td>$29,436</td>
<td>$1,329</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sub-Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$199,701</strong></td>
<td><strong>$212,994</strong></td>
<td><strong>$13,293</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Vice President for University Relations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Revised 1973-74</th>
<th>Proposed 1974-75</th>
<th>Increase (Decrease)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vice President's Office</td>
<td>$68,472</td>
<td>$65,330</td>
<td>(3,142)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Information</td>
<td>$63,275</td>
<td>$66,618</td>
<td>$3,343</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sub-Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$131,747</strong></td>
<td><strong>$131,948</strong></td>
<td><strong>$201</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Vice President and Treasurer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Revised 1973-74</th>
<th>Proposed 1974-75</th>
<th>Increase (Decrease)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vice President's Office</td>
<td>$91,219</td>
<td>$97,055</td>
<td>$5,836</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Controller</td>
<td>$599,799</td>
<td>$629,324</td>
<td>$29,525</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Development Office</td>
<td>$210,109</td>
<td>$167,845</td>
<td>(42,264)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planning, Budgeting &amp; Information Systems</td>
<td>1,388,380(A)</td>
<td>1,299,872</td>
<td>(88,508)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Operating Support - Intercollegiate Athletics</td>
<td>742,020</td>
<td>767,740</td>
<td>25,720</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sub-Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$3,031,527</strong></td>
<td><strong>$2,961,836</strong></td>
<td><strong>(69,691)</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Executive Vice President & Dean of Faculties

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Arts &amp; Sciences</th>
<th>Revised 1973-74</th>
<th>Proposed 1974-75</th>
<th>Increase/Decrease</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Business Admin.</td>
<td>$9,058,406(A)</td>
<td>$8,891,429</td>
<td>$(166,977)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td>1,599,236</td>
<td>1,578,347</td>
<td>$(20,889)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engineering</td>
<td>2,633,600(A)</td>
<td>2,685,895</td>
<td>52,295</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fine Arts</td>
<td>1,410,746</td>
<td>1,279,980</td>
<td>(130,766)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communications</td>
<td>2,247,841</td>
<td>2,270,997</td>
<td>23,156</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International Studies</td>
<td>1,363,178</td>
<td>1,357,184</td>
<td>(5,994)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Executive Vice President's Office</td>
<td>195,404</td>
<td>188,083</td>
<td>(7,321)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserve</td>
<td>121,618</td>
<td>127,470</td>
<td>5,852</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faculty Senate</td>
<td>16,685</td>
<td>48,498</td>
<td>31,613</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Honors College</td>
<td>23,342</td>
<td>30,356</td>
<td>7,014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Afro-American Studies</td>
<td>44,333</td>
<td>116,901</td>
<td>72,568</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graduate College and Research</td>
<td>234,801</td>
<td>244,939</td>
<td>10,138</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University College</td>
<td>1,018,257(A)</td>
<td>1,035,928</td>
<td>17,671</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O. U. Press</td>
<td>39,416</td>
<td>68,224</td>
<td>31,308</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Sub-Total**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Revised 1973-74</th>
<th>Proposed 1974-75</th>
<th>Increase/Decrease</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$20,276,995</td>
<td>$20,200,016</td>
<td>$(76,979)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Vice President for Academic Services

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vice President's Office</th>
<th>Revised 1973-74</th>
<th>Proposed 1974-75</th>
<th>Increase/Decrease</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Special Services</td>
<td>122,260</td>
<td>106,799</td>
<td>$(15,461)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unified Health Services</td>
<td>25,438</td>
<td>26,644</td>
<td>1,206</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Placement &amp; Internship</td>
<td>1,039,272</td>
<td>1,002,937</td>
<td>(36,335)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student Life Program Research</td>
<td>115,638</td>
<td>89,361</td>
<td>(26,277)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ohio University Press</td>
<td>74,906</td>
<td>75,541</td>
<td>5,635</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student Governing Board</td>
<td>4,993</td>
<td>4,743</td>
<td>(250)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Sub-Total**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Revised 1973-74</th>
<th>Proposed 1974-75</th>
<th>Increase/Decrease</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$5,077,733</td>
<td>$5,125,620</td>
<td>$47,887</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Vice President for Regional Higher Education

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vice President's Office</th>
<th>Revised 1973-74</th>
<th>Proposed 1974-75</th>
<th>Increase/Decrease</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Belmont County</td>
<td>99,983(A)</td>
<td>108,580</td>
<td>$8,597</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chillicothe</td>
<td>717,733</td>
<td>692,729</td>
<td>(25,004)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ironton</td>
<td>684,717</td>
<td>657,459</td>
<td>(27,258)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lancaster</td>
<td>146,568</td>
<td>140,725</td>
<td>(5,843)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portsmouth</td>
<td>793,525</td>
<td>869,195</td>
<td>75,670</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zanesville</td>
<td>735,693(A)</td>
<td>699,364</td>
<td>(36,329)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extension Division</td>
<td>813,951</td>
<td>761,544</td>
<td>(52,407)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telecommunications Center</td>
<td>63,122</td>
<td>65,215</td>
<td>2,093</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unallocated Reduction</td>
<td>(35,000)</td>
<td>-0-</td>
<td>35,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Sub-Total**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Revised 1973-74</th>
<th>Proposed 1974-75</th>
<th>Increase/Decrease</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$4,526,643</td>
<td>$4,494,554</td>
<td>$(32,089)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

2. Sub-Total: $20,276,995 to $20,200,016, decrease of $(76,979).
3. Increase/Decrease: Calculated as the difference between the Revised and Proposed amounts.
5. Vice President for Regional Higher Education: Belmont County, Chillicothe, Ironton, Lancaster, Portsmouth, Zanesville, Extension Division, Telecommunications Center, Unallocated Reduction.
### Vice President for Administrative Services

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Revised 1973-74</th>
<th>Proposed 1974-75</th>
<th>Increase (Decrease)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vice President's Office</td>
<td>$153,040</td>
<td>$91,327</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administrative Senate</td>
<td>3,951</td>
<td>3,182</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office of Legal Affairs</td>
<td>25,196</td>
<td>25,249</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personnel</td>
<td>160,763</td>
<td>159,067</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Publications and Graphics</td>
<td>121,476</td>
<td>119,813</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Materials Management &amp; Purchases</td>
<td>337,421</td>
<td>333,663</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Security &amp; University Garage</td>
<td>566,585</td>
<td>567,492</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Architect &amp; Engineer</td>
<td>58,109</td>
<td>61,342</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical Plant</td>
<td>4,448,410</td>
<td>4,545,879</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University Planning</td>
<td>48,067</td>
<td>50,231</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Operating Support - Airport, Baker Center, Residence &amp; Dining Hall Auxiliaries</td>
<td>389,539</td>
<td>412,547</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Sub-Total**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Revised 1973-74</th>
<th>Proposed 1974-75</th>
<th>Increase (Decrease)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$6,312,557</td>
<td>$6,369,792</td>
<td>$57,235</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Additional Expenditures which are equal to Additional Income:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Revised 1973-74</th>
<th>Proposed 1974-75</th>
<th>Increase (Decrease)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Civil Service Pay Bill</td>
<td>$466,466</td>
<td>$-0-(B)$(466,466)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fee Credits Due To Change in Residency Policy</td>
<td>$586,000</td>
<td>$586,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Grand Total**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Revised 1973-74</th>
<th>Proposed 1974-75</th>
<th>Increase (Decrease)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$42,214,726</td>
<td>$41,755,260</td>
<td>$(459,466)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### NOTES:

(A) The revised 1973-74 budget includes $189,521 appropriated at June 30, 1973, which is not included in the proposed 1974-75 budgets. These appropriations and amounts are as follows:

**Central Pool Items**
- Capital Improvements - $15,492

**Vice President and Treasurer**
- Planning, Budgeting & Information Systems - $91,614 for Computer Services.

**Executive Vice President and Dean of Faculties**
- Arts & Sciences - $60,000 for Accelerator Laboratory.
- Education - $10,835 Nursing Child Care Center.
- Graduate College and Research - $1,580 for Research.

**Vice President for Regional Higher Education**
- Vice President's Office - $2,879 for Capital Improvements.
- Portsmouth - $7,121 for Capital Improvements.

(B) Allocated to the applicable areas.
Exhibit IV, a

OHIO UNIVERSITY
INTER-OFFICE COMMUNICATION

DATE March 9, 1974

TO Chairman and Members of the Board of Trustees

FROM Claude R. Sowle, President

SUBJECT Proposed Budget for 1974-75 and Proposed Fiscal Plan for 1975-76

I.

With respect to the proposed budget for 1974-75 and the proposed fiscal plan for 1975-76 presented to you as a part of your agenda materials, I recommend the following adjustments:

(1) Increase the 1974-75 budget of the Vice President for Academic Services by $25,000. This additional allocation would permit the Admissions Office to add two persons who would be assigned on a full-time basis to recruiting activities.

(2) Increase the budget of the Vice President and Treasurer by $30,000. This additional allocation would be devoted to staffing and program improvements in the Women's Intercollegiate Athletics Program.

(3) Reduce the Reserve for 1974-75 by $55,000 to cover the increases described in (1) and (2), above.

II.

For your information and, in the case of paragraph (5), below, for your consideration, I wish to present my response to those recommendations of the University Budget Committee (UBC) adopted on February 22, 1974, that require a response from me.

(1) In acting upon the Central Pool allocation proposals, the UBC recommended that further consideration be given to (i) including the Ohio College Association in the University Memberships account, (ii) including the salary and benefits on the President of Local 1699 in the Central Pool, and (iii) reducing all rental contract agreements for space as soon as possible. By copy of this memorandum, I am asking that the Senior Administrators review these matters and provide me with the group's recommendations by May 1, 1974.
(2) In acting upon the proposed budget for the Office of the President, which budget includes the Cutler Service Bureau, the UBC recommended that the question of possible financial savings due to increased centralization of service bureau operations receive further study. By copy of this memorandum, I am asking Vice President Culp to arrange for a further study of this issue and to present recommendations by July 1, 1974.

(3) In acting upon the proposed fiscal plan for 1975-76, the UBC urged that (i) curricular procedures employed to review proposals that reduce or eliminate academic programs fully utilize student involvement in all decisions and that (ii) faculty and administrative assistance be accorded those students who are affected by program changes in finding other academic locations for completion of their programs. With respect to (i), above, existing procedures require such involvement. With respect to (ii), above, I am, by copy of this memorandum, requesting that Executive Vice President Culbert take such steps as may be necessary to assure full compliance with the UBC's recommendation.

(4) In acting upon the proposed budget of the Vice President and Treasurer for 1974-75, the UBC recommended that (i) the Board (food cost) increase required in connection with the Intercollegiate Athletics Program (ICA) and (ii) the proposed increase for the Women's Intercollegiate Athletics Program (WICA) be absorbed within the control total proposed for ICA. I agree with recommendation (i). I disagree with recommendation (ii), and, as noted in paragraph I(2), above, I have recommended to the Board that $30,000 (as opposed to the $46,100 requested) be allocated for staffing and program improvements in the WICA program. To proceed otherwise, in my opinion, would result in non-compliance with an earlier resolution of the Board of Trustees regarding the maintenance of a competitive status in ICA.

(5) In acting upon the proposed budget for ICA for 1974-75, the UBC recommended (i) that I seek clarification from the Board of Trustees with respect to its earlier resolution regarding ICA, particularly with respect to the phrase "competitive level," and that similar guidance from the Trustees also be sought with respect to WICA, and (ii) that the administrative and financial structures of ICA be the subject of a special study. With respect to recommendation (i), I agree, and therefore I shall ask the Board to provide the requested clarification, as well as the additional guidance regarding WICA. With respect to recommendation (ii), I am, by copy of this memorandum, requesting that Vice President Milar arrange for the requested study and submit to me by July 1, 1974, the recommendations resulting therefrom.

(6) In acting upon the proposed budget of the Vice President for Administrative Services, the UBC, by a vote of 6 to 5, recommended that consideration be given to reducing the uniformed officer complement of the Security Office by approximately one-third. I disagree with this recommendation.
As indicated in his budget documents, Vice President Culp is planning some reduction in this area, albeit not of the magnitude suggested. Given current demands upon and expectations with respect to the Security Office, I believe it would be unwise and counterproductive to make reductions in this area beyond those planned by Vice President Culp.

(7) In acting upon the proposed budget of the Vice President for Administrative Services, the UBC recommended that I support Vice President Culp in investigating and implementing a consolidation of the use of University buildings and facilities (particularly during the Summer). That support will be forthcoming. In the near future, I will meet with Vice Presidents Culp and Culbert, among others, to review this matter.

(8) In acting upon the proposed budget of the Vice President for Academic Services, the UBC recommended that special support be provided for the Admissions Office in order to permit the addition of three persons who would devote their time to recruiting efforts. As noted in paragraph I(1), above, I have recommended to the Board an allocation that would permit the Admissions Office to add two persons who would be assigned on a full-time basis to recruiting activities.

(9) In acting upon the proposed budget of the Vice President for Academic Services, the UBC recommended special consideration for the Placement Office and the Library. Although I am sympathetic to these recommendations and believe these units should receive priority consideration in the event additional funds become available, I have concluded such special consideration is not possible within the resources currently projected.

(10) In acting upon the proposed budget of the Vice President for Regional Higher Education, the UBC recommended that the Office of Vice President for Regional Higher Education be abolished and that the activities falling within that area be assigned to others. In a discussion with the UBC following receipt of this recommendation, members indicated to me that such a change, in their opinion, would produce only minor dollar savings, but that it would be desirable from an organizational standpoint. Because of the lack of significant budgetary implications, I have elected not to deal with this matter here. Subsequently, I will review the recommendation in terms of the soundness of its organizational implications.

(11) In acting upon the proposed budget of the Executive Vice President and Dean of Faculties, the UBC recommended a study of the role of University College, with particular reference to the extent to which the counseling and administrative functions of that College might be integrated with other units. By copy of this memorandum, I am asking Executive Vice President Culbert to arrange for such a study and to provide me with the recommendations resulting therefrom by July 1, 1974.

cc: Members, University Budget Committee; Senior Administrators; Director of Public Information.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Income:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Appropriation</td>
<td>$21,636,885</td>
<td>$24,035,060</td>
<td>$20,855,503</td>
<td>$(3,179,557)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student Fees</td>
<td>17,821,700</td>
<td>16,547,600</td>
<td>17,771,900</td>
<td>1,224,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Income</td>
<td>1,298,000</td>
<td>1,272,600</td>
<td>1,282,600</td>
<td>10,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surplus and Other Resources</td>
<td>1,458,141</td>
<td>-0-</td>
<td>100,000</td>
<td>100,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>$42,214,726</td>
<td>$41,855,260</td>
<td>$40,010,003</td>
<td>$(1,845,257)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Expense:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central Pool</td>
<td>$.1,365,258</td>
<td>$1,322,500</td>
<td>$1,322,500</td>
<td>$-0-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserve</td>
<td>240,099</td>
<td>350,000</td>
<td>550,000</td>
<td>200,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Civil Service Pay Bill</td>
<td>466,466</td>
<td>-0-</td>
<td>-0-</td>
<td>-0-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fee Credits due to Change in Residency Policy</td>
<td>586,000</td>
<td>586,000</td>
<td>586,000</td>
<td>-0-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>President</td>
<td>171,594</td>
<td>183,558</td>
<td>186,246</td>
<td>2,688</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equal Opportunity Programs</td>
<td>28,107</td>
<td>29,436</td>
<td>30,166</td>
<td>730</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vice President for University Relations</td>
<td>131,747</td>
<td>131,948</td>
<td>134,167</td>
<td>2,219</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vice President and Treasurer</td>
<td>3,031,527</td>
<td>2,961,836</td>
<td>2,830,174</td>
<td>(131,662)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Executive Vice President and Dean of Faculties</td>
<td>20,276,995</td>
<td>20,200,016</td>
<td>19,262,117</td>
<td>(937,899)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vice President for Academic Services</td>
<td>5,077,733</td>
<td>5,125,620</td>
<td>4,893,756</td>
<td>(231,864)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vice President for Regional Higher Education</td>
<td>4,526,643</td>
<td>4,496,554</td>
<td>4,328,177</td>
<td>(166,377)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vice President for Administrative Services</td>
<td>6,312,557</td>
<td>6,369,792</td>
<td>5,886,700</td>
<td>(483,092)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>$42,214,726</td>
<td>$41,755,260</td>
<td>$40,010,003</td>
<td>$(1,745,257)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surplus</td>
<td>$-0-</td>
<td>$100,000</td>
<td>$-0-</td>
<td>$(100,000)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

( ) Denotes Decrease
TO Claude R. Sowle, President

FROM Taylor Culbert, Executive Vice President and Dean of Faculties

SUBJECT Proposed Salary Schedule for 1974-75

Resolution 1974 -- 111

I propose these minima and maxima for academic year salaries for the main campus and regional campuses for the 1974-75 Fiscal Year. I forward the schedule to you for your approval and for transmission to the Board of Trustees for their approval.

Max.

Professor $14,500 $35,500
Associate 12,500 24,000
Assistant 9,500 18,000
Instructor 6,500 16,000
Lecturer 7,500 19,000

For convenience in comparisons I append the corresponding figures in effect for the 1973-74 year.

Min. Max.

Professor $14,500 $34,000
Associate 12,500 21,700
Assistant 9,500 17,700
Instructor 6,500 16,000
Lecturer 7,500 19,000

TC: stf

xc: Beaumont Davison, Vice President for Regional Higher Education
Robert Mahn, Secretary to the University

Approved for submission to the Board of Trustees

March 8, 1974
Chairman Morris asked Vice Chairman Phillips to take the Chair so that he might present a document for Board consideration. He read the following statement:
I find myself unable to support further erosion of the quality of education at Ohio University.

For a number of years, due to unrealistic or uninformed views by those responsible for this University's fiscal posture, the Boards of Trustees of Ohio University have been forced to adroitly cut student services and eliminate, or greatly weaken, our educational disciplines. In my opinion, we may be reaching the point of academic impotence. In my opinion, we should now say to those responsible for our fiscal needs that we cut no more, we eliminate no more, we refuse to further participate in the enrollment guessing game with you, and now is the time to completely overhaul the educational funding processes in Ohio so that those of us charged with the responsibility of providing higher education in Ohio can do our job with honor and dignity, and with the assurance that the education and services provided meet the high standards of quality our young citizens deserve.

I should like, therefore, to move that this Board adopt the 1974-75 budget as presented by President Sowle. Further, I would direct that a small, but representative, task force of concerned and informed members of
the University community, suggested by the President and confirmed by this Board, be appointed and charged with the responsibility of developing a 1975-76 budget based upon this University's realistic and quality needs. I would request that this budget not be tied to enrollment projections, but to requested special allocations that would carry this University through its present crises while a fundamentally sound funding process is developed by those charged with that responsibility.

Let me hasten to add that my posture expressed here is in no way an indictment or criticism of President Sowle, or his senior officers, or of those who have spent such long and arduous hours developing the budget and the proposed plan for 1975-76 which has been presented for our consideration. Quite the contrary, I applaud you and commend your courage in biting the bullet as you have.

I think we differ in principle only slightly. I think we differ realistically and, hopefully, widely.
Paragraph 3 of the Morris statement (motion to adopt the 1974-75 budget and to appoint a task force to develop a 1975-76 budget, based upon the University's realistic and quality needs, without reference to enrollment) constitutes Resolution 1974 -- 112:

Resolution 1974 -- 112

RESOLVED that the Board adopt the 1974-75 budget as presented by President Sowle. Further . . . that a small, but representative, task force of concerned and informed members of the University community, suggested by the President and confirmed by this Board, be appointed and charged with the responsibility of developing a 1975-76 budget based upon this University's realistic and quality needs; . . . that this budget not be tied to enrollment projections, but to requested special allocations that would carry this University through its present crises while a fundamentally sound funding process is developed by those charged with that responsibility.

Mr. Johnson seconded Mr. Morris' motion. He explained that he supported the Morris motion and comments as a tool of support for the President in his efforts in Columbus. It would permit the President to state clearly, and free of monetary and enrollment restrictions, the position of his Board.

In opening the discussion of the Morris motion, President Sowle stated that he had been informed only moments ago of the Morris proposal. In terms of higher education having been chronically underfunded, he said, he agreed completely, as he did on the need to change the situation. Ohio University, he emphasized, had had a leadership position in this. His views, he emphasized, were these: We are required to work within the system. To the extent that we disagree, we work in the best way possible to meet the problem. The two-year budget is an approach to meeting the problem. There is a question of what additional the suggested task force could bring to the massive efforts of hundreds of people during the last several months. We have attempted to meet the fiscal and legal requirements imposed upon us. The actions of the Citizens Task Force on Higher Education and the Legislature may affect events. The Board is better advised to approve what has been presented and then to move toward a solution of problems through the established framework, the Task Force, the Legislature, etc. The Morris proposal goes contrary to the effort we are moving toward.
Summaries of Board members' comments, and the President's responses, follow:

Kennedy: The Morris concern evokes a responsive chord. I have difficulty in substituting the Morris proposal for the resolution before us, because it would leave the budget up in the air -- subject to what we hope will happen. The Morris proposal very aptly states the view of all of us, but comes about because the Administration has laid on the line what we have never had before. The budget resolution before us is timely, because the Administration bit the bullet, did its homework. It permits us to see what will happen if we do not do what is necessary. To me it merits the greatest approbation ever seen in what has been done in a business-like manner.

To substitute for the 1975-76 Fiscal Plan a set of hopes does not face up to reality. Rather it gives a point of reference to what will happen if corrective measures are not taken, if the Legislature does not face up to its obligations.

We are a great University in proportion to the great faculty we have. On that basis, I applaud the resolution. I can't go along with substituting a statement of hope for one laying it on the line. So I have avoided using the term budget for the second year. I will vote for the budget for the year ahead and the financial plan for 1975-76 with many misgivings and qualifications in respect to allocations to the academic area.

Stocker: I see the need for a look ahead to 1975-76. This was done very well. In the effort to pare the fiscal plan to the bone, it may have been cut too deeply. I fear that you won't get a cent more if you indicate that you can get along on the amount projected. This should be presented as a last-ditch stand, along with what you really need. So I suggest a second figure higher than what has been given. A tremendous job has been done, but it is necessary, with passage of the Budget and Fiscal Plan today, to have the additional figure.

Sowle: The Regents do give us an opportunity to present our needs. I would like to be able to say, "Here is our fiscal plan." If all we have is an ideal figure and the Legislature, in its wisdom, adopts something which throws us somewhere in between the minimum and the ideal, then we have no opportunity to terminate contracts. So we should continue and enhance our all-out effort, and in the meantime act responsibly and demonstrate fiscal responsibility.

Johns: Would our position be more clear if we changed the wording to reflect a minimum hypothesis with qualifications, or would that make it meaningless?
Sowle: It will be better for my position if you approve, albeit with great reluctance, and point out to all State authorities your views.

Holzer: We all have the same goals. I lean toward the present plan, with creation of a better pressure mechanism. We must make the Board's position abundantly clear.

Johnson: Mr. Morris leaned over backwards to stress the view of good relations with the President and Administration. I want to emphasize that. He expressed the view that somewhere in Columbus there is money. We should know the facts. Some additional effort to determine this should be made before we act, maybe in May. At that time, if no more money was forthcoming, we would say, "Sorry, no more."

Sowle: We have done what you propose about exploring all possibilities. We have been told repeatedly that for the current biennium, given the energy crisis and other uncertainties, State income will approximate outgo. Assuming the existence of a $200,000,000 cache, we are talking about 1975-76, which will be the first year of the next biennium. Notice to faculty dates are very crucial. They do run up to the end of June, but we feel that the maximum possible lead time is advantageous.

The only additional funds likely are within the discretion of the Regents. We received an additional amount this year and hope for $2.3 million next year. The only money available is that within the current legislative appropriation. For 1976-77, it is a new ball game. The people who will be in control then have not been elected.

Mrs. Phillips invited Mr. Hecht to comment. He stated that he agreed with the President. To be fiscally responsible in going to the Legislature the enrollment drop will have to be taken into account. The solution of the budget problem rests with the new Legislature and Administration. Pressure must be applied for a new subsidy formula. The 1975-76 Fiscal Plan is a responsible plan and to not have it would be irresponsible. The new biennium could bring a new subsidy formula.

Kennedy: I do not know the details of Ohio's financial procedures, but bet that talk of a cache of money is phony. It is just talk to keep people from wanting to face up to reality, as was the case in the energy crisis which was documented ten years ahead with complete accuracy. I do not agree with President Sowle that if we passed the Morris proposal it might do the opposite of getting the Legislature to help us. If the Board by official action -- the first of twelve in Ohio to do so -- calls attention to the problem, I feel it might help rather than harm us. If in May there is concrete reason to believe that nothing will be done statewide -- we take leadership in emergence of the problem and attempting to do something about it -- then we must proceed in some kind of recognition of the 1975-76 Fiscal Plan. I
do not feel that the Morris proposal, as is, is inimical to our objective. So, I could accept it as read if the Board agrees that in May we go with the 1975-76 Fiscal Plan.

Sowle: The Hecht observation is correct as an evaluation of the political situation. It will be December or January before we have any hard information.

Kennedy: I accept that.

Sowle: The Morris suggestion is that a task force develop a proper or ideal budget plan which, if adopted, would be the plan to carry forward. If that were the case we would bypass notification dates and have trouble.

Morris: Mr. Kennedy hit on the point I wish to make -- calling attention to the needs of the University to carry forward quality. Also, I do not want to be a party to irresponsibility. The proposed University-community task force could eliminate the image of the Board having sliced off programs.

Johnson: On Thursday of this week members of this group meet with the Citizens Task Force. We need a little time to see if we can get the task force around to our viewpoint.

Sowle: We have had input to the Citizens Task Force directly and through the Regents. I would build on Mr. Kennedy's plan of adoption of the Budget Resolution and, with reluctance, of the 1975-76 Fiscal Plan, and armed with that go to the task force idea. I suggest Mr. Morris again read his proposal on the task force.

Morris: The proposal is that the task force develop a 1975-76 budget based upon the University's realistic and quality needs not tied to enrollment.

Sowle: We will have no more knowledge in May than now of what the Governor and Legislature will introduce and consider in January, February and March of 1975.

Morris: I can't disagree with you, but it buys time to present our position.

Sowle: We would make presentations anyhow, but the results of not facing up to a strong deficit situation and a situation where contract abrogations would be necessary would bring horrendous cries from the University.

Morris: A horrendous cry should come from the Board in the time we have to get commitments from political candidates.
Sowle: To adopt a responsible fiscal plan and then to go out and say that this is the way it will look, if you do not help us, is the responsible procedure.

Kennedy to Morris: I don't feel that we can by May develop a responsible look-see document. We don't do it in our business and you don't in yours. I feel that President Sowle's proposed tool can be effectively used, provided it is put in place in ample time. We can't budget on pie in the sky.

Morris: I feel that a realistic budget can be constructed and be a tool for use by the Legislature. We can say, "We can go no further, so bite the bullet with us." This is better than to go in with a figure.

Kennedy: What do we do in May, if there are no indications of change?

Morris: We then fight for action.

Stocker: Is the May date too late to give notice?

Sowle: June 30 is the final date.

Stocker: It is advisable to have two figures, a Morris figure and the current figure. I am not sold on just a barebones figure.

Kennedy: Those who just spent thousands of hours in the aggregate on budget, should be able to come up with a figure.

Morris: Mrs. Johns suggested vertical and horizontal slashing, not just horizontal.

Sowle: We would in effect be doing what Mr. Stocker suggested, presenting an idealized figure to the Regents and the Legislature. In May we will have nothing additional, so will have to adopt the fiscal plan. So the plea is to face up to the problem and use as strong a club as possible in the months ahead. We will proceed to work with the Regents on the plan and get on with the work of the University. Approval of the Morris proposal would be a real setback.

Holzer: In lieu of a miracle we will pass the 1975-76 Fiscal Plan in May. I am concerned about distortions in the interval. Then in May the ax will come down. So I tilt in favor of the people who work with this. It will be a better and safer weapon in approved form.

Sowle: I agree. If you approve the Morris proposal today you will jeopardize our expectations of Regents' assistance for next year.
Morris: I would like to buy the time for Ohio higher education.

Phillips: How effective can TCQE (Those Concerned for Quality Education) be?

Morris: They are concerned and sincere. They are sincerely wrong as well as right on points of their program.

Stocker: What would be the disadvantages of passing the 1974-75 budget today and delay action on the 1975-76 fiscal plan?

Sowle: The current negotiations with the Regents are proceeding with the expectation that we will present a plan which in 1975-76 will make us self-sustaining as opposed to having a significant deficit. Negotiations could collapse or be deferred. If this is kowtowing to the Regents, so be it.

Dr. Holzer's point is valid. To delay action will raise hopes which will be dashed in May. Administrative efforts to cope with the financial problem will be held in abeyance. The effect on budget of non-action could result in excess expenditures of several millions of dollars.

Morris: I can't see responsible Regents looking away from a $2.3 million problem. The probability is that we would have a better weapon not to have a single figure.

Sowle: I must give the Board the best advice I can in respect to the Regents. It took nerve to act in our behalf this year. We must cooperate with them. We will certainly push for a second figure. Postponement and a new figure would require $6,000,000.

Stocker to Culbert: Since a second figure is necessary, what is the figure you have arrived at as something we could put with the present 1975-76 figure?

Morris: Each senior administrator could tell you what he needs to bring high ideal quality.

Culbert: A figure that is not preposterous and that would bring high quality staffing in the academic area is $3,500,000.

Davison: A combined figure for the entire regional higher education area is $900,000. I suggest adoption of a fiscal plan today so that we may proceed with curricular and faculty decisions. Unless changes are made now, we can't make sound progress.
Milar: $300,000 plus a $250,000 addition to the central pool for capital improvements.

Culp: $1,000,000.

Hecht: $25,000.

Sowle: $100,000.

Penson: $750,000.

These amounts totaled $6,825,000.

Kennedy: Could we agree on a middle ground, adopt the budget for the ensuing year and formally accept receipt of the financial plan for 1975-76 in a first reading sense?

Sowle: That does not meet our needs on several points.

Culbert: It would depend on what acceptance meant. If the Administration was directed to proceed, we would have approval, in fact, of a totally unacceptable figure.

Johns: The opening words of the Morris proposal should be preserved.

Sowle: You could state that the 1975-76 Fiscal Plan is approved with the greatest reluctance, and instruct the Administration to bend every effort to gain additional support.

Morris: Let hope spring eternal until May.

Johnson: I am not sure that we accomplish anything by a delay other than the satisfaction of one last effort. We are the only State university that has to submit a 1975-76 plan for special consideration by the Regents.

Holzer: I suggest that the Morris resolution be defeated and that the 1975-76 Fiscal Plan resolution be amended with the language President Sowle suggested.

Mr. Morris called for the question on his motion. A roll call was requested, the results of which were as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Johns</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Holzer</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morris</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kennedy</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stocker</td>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Johnson</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phillips</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The vote being 3 in favor of the motion and 4 against, it was declared defeated.
Mr. Morris resumed the Chair.

Dr. Holzer proposed that the language changes suggested by President Sowle be incorporated in the original motion. President Sowle, following a brief recess to accomplish this, read the revised motion which Dr. Holzer moved be approved. Mr. Kennedy seconded the motion.

In the discussion, Mr. Stocker asked whether the second figure of $6,825,000 could be incorporated into the resolution -- this the support beyond current projections for the first year of the new biennium. To permit this, Dr. Holzer withdrew his motion, and Mr. Kennedy withdrew his second.

Dr. Holzer then moved approval of the following revised motion, which Mr. Kennedy seconded. Mrs. Johns called the question on the motion:

Resolution 1974 -- 113

RESOLVED: That the 1974-75 budgets of expected income and expenditures as presented in Exhibits I, II, III, and IV, as amended by Part I of President Sowle's memorandum to the Board of Trustees dated March 9, 1974, are hereby appropriated subject to the following provisions:

(1) The Vice President and Treasurer with the approval of the President, may make adjustments in Instructional and General Operating Expense Allocations (Schedule IV), providing the total of such expenditures does not exceed available unrestricted income and surplus or $41,855,260, whichever is less.

(2) Should available unrestricted income and surplus exceed $41,855,260, the expenditures of such additional income shall be subject to approval by the Board of Trustees.

(3) Expenditures of Restricted Income shall not exceed the amounts made available for such purposes.

FURTHER RESOLVED: That, with the greatest reluctance, the 1975-76 Fiscal Plan as presented in Exhibit V is hereby approved, with the further direction to the administration to take all steps necessary to attempt to achieve adequate support for public higher education and Ohio University in the forthcoming legislative biennium, which support, in the case of Ohio University, would amount to at least $6,825,000 beyond the current projections for the first year of the new biennium. In such efforts, the administration should seek to enlist the support and assistance of all who are interested in this vital cause. In this connection, the Board of Trustees commits itself to participate fully in this effort.
FURTHER RESOLVED: That the Board of Trustees recognizes that the Budget for 1974-75 and the Fiscal Plan for 1975-76 will require not only the release of some support personnel but also the non-renewal of some probationary faculty members in some areas and the termination of some tenured faculty members in some areas. Accordingly, the Board of Trustees directs the President and other officers of the University, acting in accordance with established policies and procedures, to effect, through appropriate notice, such staffing alterations as may be necessary.

On roll call the following votes were cast:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Vote</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Johnson</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stocker</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kennedy</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phillips</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Holzer</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Johns</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morris</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

With 6 votes in favor and 1 opposed, the motion was declared approved.

Dr. Holzer moved approval of the Proposed Salary Schedule for 1974-75 (Resolution 1974 -- 111). Mrs. Phillips seconded the motion, which was unanimously approved.

F. Proposed Resolution

Mr. Johnson called attention to the approaching end of Mr. Stocker's tenure as trustee. He moved that an appropriate resolution be drawn in behalf of the Board and signed by each member of the Board, in recognition of Mr. Stocker's loyal service and support and in recognition of his and Mrs. Stocker's generosity toward the University.

All members seconded the motion and indicated approval with a standing ovation.

President Sowle stated that the resolution would be drawn for presentation at the next meeting and that Mrs. Stocker would be invited to be present for the presentation. Should they be unable to attend the next meeting, the presentation would be made at another time.
BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Trustees of Ohio University that an appropriate resolution be drawn in behalf of the Board and signed by each member of the Board in recognition of Mr. Stocker's loyal service and support and in recognition of his and Mrs. Stocker's generosity toward the University.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that this resolution be presented at the next meeting of the Board or at another appropriate occasion at which both Mr. and Mrs. Stocker can be present.

IX. ANNOUNCEMENT BY CHAIRMAN MORRIS OF NEXT MEETING DATE AND SITE

Following a canvass of members, it was agreed to meet on Monday, May 6, 1974, with committee meetings to be held the evening of May 5.

Dr. Davison re-extended an invitation to the Board to meet in Zanesville. It was agreed that the nature of business in May was such that the meeting should be held in Athens.

X. GENERAL DISCUSSION -- ROLL CALL OF MEMBERS

Mr. Stocker expressed pleasure at having had the opportunity to work with the Board. Other members had no additional comments.

XI. ADJOURNMENT

Chairman Morris, determining that there was no further business to come before the Board, declared the meeting adjourned at 11:40 A.M.
To: President Claude R. Sowle
From: Robert E. Mahn, Secretary to the Board of Trustees
Subject: Culbert Memo of December 20, 1973: Trustees' Action with Regard to Discontinuance of the Master of Regional Planning Degree

Mrs. Dorothy Johns called on February 22, 1974 to report that she had just conferred with Mr. William Morris on the Master of Regional Planning discontinuance proposal. They found no significant policy matters involved, and, therefore, approved implementation of the proposal. They will report their action to the Board on March 11, 1974.

Mrs. Johns stated that Mr. Morris concurred in the view that Regional Planning was an area of increasing interest, and that this action should not preclude consideration of a program being provided at some future time if circumstances should warrant such action.

Robert E. Mahn

cc Mr. William Morris
Mrs. Dorothy Johns
Dr. Taylor Culbert
Dean Norman Cohn
Dean Henry Lin
OHIO UNIVERSITY

Inter-Office Communication

DATE February 14, 1974

TO Claude R. Sowle, President

FROM Beaumont Davison, Vice President for Regional Higher Education

SUBJECT

On March 27, 1973, an agreement to lease approximately 33 acres of land on the Ohio University Zanesville Campus to the Muskingum Area Technical College was finalized between the State of Ohio and the President and Board of Trustees of the Muskingum Area Technical College (MATC). The lease will allow the technical college to develop facilities and have use of the leased land for their campus. Under the terms and conditions of the lease, the Ohio University Board of Trustees has final approval of such considerations as design, architecture, construction standards, etc. Specifically, final approval must be given by the Ohio University Board of Trustees before any construction can begin.

On Thursday, January 17, 1974, Alan Geiger, University Facilities Planner, received final bidding documents for the proposed MATC project. Apparently, the MATC President and Board reviewed and approved these final documents on January 12, 1974. Prior to the January 12 date, members of my staff, Vice President Culp's staff and the Director, staff and faculty of Ohio University Zanesville had been involved in the development of the MATC building program and plans. There has been an openness in the development of the building plans, and while Ohio University would probably undertake certain aspects somewhat differently; I recommend acceptance of the documents to you and the Board, subject to receipt of favorable bids and acceptance of certain project alternates. Since MATC will be in a position to solicit bids by about the end of February, we should try to arrange for review and approval by our Board as soon as possible.

At this point, my only reservation regarding the project is based upon the fact that our Board needs to know MATC's position regarding the acceptance or rejection of several building alternates, and the fact that the bidding documents contain plans for the renovation of the old library and vending center space in Elson Hall.

The Elson Hall renovation is prompted by the construction of Herrold Hall, a facility designed to handle the library and student activity functions of the Zanesville Campus.

Based upon prior agreements that I had with then MATC President, Walker J. Huffman, it was agreed that MATC would release $120,000 of its project funds for the Elson Hall renovation. The renovation is to include work in the old library area, vending center and bookstore area, present administrative area, and to provide for the construction of a small maintenance building. The final plans have been greatly influenced by Ohio University Athens and Zanesville staffs and present a good solution to the renovation needs of Elson; one that is compatible with the original guidelines agreed to by myself and President Huffman.
In addition, the Ohio Board of Regents has agreed to allow a limited transfer of funds from the Herron Hall equipment budget to the Elson renovation project if bids for the renovation exceed funds available. Therefore, I recommend acceptance of the Elson Hall renovation plans as presented.

The proposed MATC building as shown on the final documents, I believe, complies with the spirit of the lease agreement. The building form is compatible with that of Elson and Herron Halls and the brick will be the same used in both of those buildings. The siting of the building and its appurtenances are compatible with Ohio University facilities, as well as with the joint MATC-OUZ planning study underway for the campus.

A detailed review of proposed MATC building plans by Alan Geiger has raised several points that should be brought to the attention of the Board of Trustees. The points raised are not extensive, and generally evolve around the exterior appearance of the proposed building or site conditions. The review criteria utilized by Mr. Geiger were those normally used for all University facilities as promulgated by the Board.

It should be noted that with favorable bids, and acceptance of the project alternates I have listed as Items 1 through 6, the project would be comparable to those undertaken by Ohio University.

The MATC project alternates which I feel need resolution are:

Item 1. The use of brick fascia in lieu of stone fascia. Stone fascia is visually more compatible with both Elson and Herron Halls. The use of stone fascia is recommended.

Item 2. The use of tar and limestone in lieu of asphalt in the major parking area. Tar and limestone are unsightly and dirty, as well as having a shorter life expectancy. The use of asphalt paving for both aesthetic and practical reasons should be insisted upon.

Item 3. The deletion of two roof screens from the project. The deletion of the screens will expose mechanical equipment to view from the road. The roof screen should be included.

Item 4. The proposed use of natural aluminum windows. The use of bronze aluminum windows in lieu of natural aluminum windows would make the exterior trim of all buildings compatible.
Item 5. Seeding in lieu of sodding. The areas proposed to be sodded on the plans should be sodded and the alternate for seeding should not be accepted. This recommendation is based upon the factors of maintenance, weather, and drainage.

Item 6. The plans contain a proposal to construct a 2,400 square foot metal maintenance building. The building is needed to store outside equipment and for undertaking heavy maintenance activity. The building is at the rear of the present campus and is screened from view by a mound of earth. This item should be approved and required as part of the original project.

I have enclosed a sketch showing the proposed MATC building in relationship to existing Ohio University buildings. Mr. Richard Cookwell, Coordinator of Planning and Development for MATC, has also made available a rendering of the proposed MATC building for presentation to the Budget, Finance and Physical Plant Committee and Board when required. The rendering is accurate and shows all of Herrold Hall and a portion of Elson Hall.
The Ohio Educational Television Network Commission has authorized a special project under the direction of The Ohio Valley Medical Microwave Television System for the purpose of providing a microwave communications link between four South-eastern Ohio hospitals and University Hospital in Columbus. The system will allow two-way closed circuit audio and visual communication between doctors and health professionals at the Holzer Medical Center in Gallipolis, O'Bleness Memorial Hospital and the Mental Health Center in Athens, Children's Psychiatric Center in Nelsonville, and the Columbus facility. It will provide a means of obtaining advice from specialists, consultation in diagnosis and assistance in emergency situations where timing is critical, and also provide a means whereby health professionals can obtain continuing education.

Under terms of a prior Agreement which was ratified by the Board of Trustees on September 12, 1972, the Network Commission was granted certain rights and privileges with respect to the mounting of antennae for the Medical Microwave System on the University's television tower. Although not specified in the Agreement, the University had indicated that it would provide a limited amount of space for the Network Commission’s relay equipment in the existing transmitter building located on the tower site. Subsequent expansion of the Network Commission's Microwave System caused the space available to be exceeded, and a decision was made to erect a portable equipment shelter similar to the one that was approved earlier for the Emergency Medical Service System.

The attached Easement Agreement has been jointly developed by the appropriate administrative officers of Ohio University and representatives of the Network Commission. It has also been reviewed by legal counsel for the Commission, and by John Burns, Director of Legal Affairs. I respectfully submit the document for your consideration and request that it be placed on the agenda for March 11 meeting of the Board of Trustees.

The Network Commission has also requested permission to use one of the structures located at the Radar Hill site for mounting microwave reflectors required in conjunction with the communications system. The Department of Electrical Engineering operates this facility and has granted approval for the structure to be utilized for this purpose with the stipulation that the Network Commission provide necessary maintenance and
replacement should the latter become necessary due to wind damage or similar catastrophe. There are currently no research projects underway at the site.

Ohio University leases the site from the Athens Mental Health Center, and Network Commission officials are currently exploring a sub-leasing arrangement with the Ohio Department of Public Works that would grant necessary access rights to the property for purposes consistent with mounting and maintaining the reflectors.

WCC:jgk
February 15, 1974

Mr. N. Joseph Welling
Director of Telecommunications
Ohio University
Athens, Ohio 45701

Dear Joe:

Since we haven't talked recently, I wanted to confirm the understanding that you will seek Board of Trustees' approval for the radar tower use and the building construction at the base of the WOUB-TV tower at their next meeting in the early part of March.

Because of the problems before the FCC and the continued delay on the completion of the State Office Building, this will be soon enough to meet the GTE schedule. However, if for any reason the matter is not presented to the Ohio University Board of Trustees at the next meeting, I think we will face great difficulty.

I hope to see you soon and please call me if any questions arise.

Best regards,

A. Edward Foote

AFF:hl
EXHIBIT A

John G. Oline and Virginia H. Oline, husband and wife, Plaintiffs,

in consideration of Two Thousand Three Hundred Thirty and 50/100 Dollars ($2,330.50),

to them in hand paid by the State of Ohio, for the use of the President and Trustees of Ohio University, Athens, Ohio, do hereby Grant, Convey, and Assign, to the said the State of Ohio, for the use of the President and Trustees of Ohio University, Athens, Ohio, the following real estate, to have and hold the same forever,

which real estate comprises the following described real estate, situated in the Township of Athens, in the County of Athens, and State of Ohio, situated in Farm Lot Nos. 112 and 121 of Section 26, Township 14, Range 14 N, bounded as follows by true bearings:

Beginning at an iron pin set in the North line of Lot No. 112 lying S 66 degrees 15' E 255 feet from the Northwest corner thereof;

thence S 3 degrees 12' W 303 feet to an iron pin;

thence S 3 degrees 32' E 202 feet to an iron pin corner from which points eastwardly described beginning;

thence S 3 degrees 15' W 220 feet to an iron pin in a fence line;

thence S 16 degrees 15' East 201.5 feet to an iron pin in a fence corner;

thence E 3 degrees 45' W 603 feet to an iron pin in a fence corner;

thence E 6 degrees 15' W 255 feet to an iron pin in a fence angle;

thence E 21 degrees 30' W 270.6 feet to an iron pin in a fence corner, passing the north line of Lot 112 at 15 feet;

thence S 34 degrees 19' W 211 feet to the place of beginning, containing a total area of 23.30 acres, more or less; 22.73 acres of which are within Lot 112, and 0.57 acre of which is within Lot 121.

Also conveying a perpetual easement and right-of-way twenty (20) feet in width for ingress, egress, and utility purposes; the centerline of which extends from a point lying S 34 degrees 19' W 303 feet and S 3 degrees 32' E 602 feet from the beginning point of the above described tract; thence measuring S 58 degrees W to the centerline of County Road No. 19.

Also conveying a perpetual easement and right-of-way twenty (20) feet in width for the purpose of erecting and maintaining two or more antennas for the relay of a high-antenna tower; the centerline of which extends from the same point of beginning as for the 20 foot easement above mentioned; thence running S 65 degrees 19' W 600 feet.

The grantors, if and when he should decide upon the necessity of fence along the Northwest and West sides of the above tract, and if at such time the above noted grantor is still the owner and possessor of the land adjoining the said Northwest and West sides, then hereby agree to abrogate the usual joint fencing arrangement and will erect such fence or part thereof entirely at his own expense.

References: Volume 222, Page 190, Record of Deeds of Athens County, Ohio.
CUSTOMER REQUEST

ADD SHELTER ZONE D5

APPROX OF EMS LOCATION SHELTER

REFLECTOR (EXISTING)
HORIZONTAL POLARIZATION 10" DIA. ANTENNA (EXISTING)

EQUIP. SHELTER 8'-0" X 16'-0"
HORIZONTAL POLARIZATION ANTENNA NO. 3
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Signing and approval information for the change notice.

Originated by: [Signature]
Date: [Date]

Approvals:
- R. Selby: [Signature] Date: 8 Jan 74
- D. Martini: [Signature] Date: 8 Jan 74
- [Signature]: 9 Jan 1974
OHIO UNIVERSITY
INTER-OFFICE COMMUNICATION

DATE February 23, 1974

TO Wm. Charles Culp, Vice President for Administrative Services

FROM Gifford B. Doxsee, Chairman, University Energy Conservation Committee

SUBJECT Reimbursement Rate for Use of Personal Automobiles

The University Energy Conservation Committee has approved, by a vote of 8 to 1, a motion to recommend, for consideration by the University Board of Trustees, that the reimbursement rate paid by Ohio University to those employees using personal automobiles on state business be raised from ten cents to twelve cents per mile.

The justification for this change is the rapid and substantial increase in the cost of gasoline.

NOTE: The above recommendation was approved by the Senior Administrators on February 28, 1974.
To: Those Listed Below*

From: Robert E. Mahn, Secretary

Re: March 11, 1974 Board Minutes

Enclosed for your file is a copy of the minutes of the March 11, 1974 meeting. This draft, which has been approved by President Sowle and the senior administrators, will be presented for approval by the Board at its May 20, 1974 meeting. Notice of approval will be sent soon after that date.

If approval is with changes, the changes will be sent for incorporation in your copy.

Robert E. Mahn, Secretary

REM: cd

enc.

* Chairman and Members of Board
  Mr. Hodes
  President Sowle
  Mr. Mahn
  Senior Administrators
  Archivist
  Resident Auditor
To: Senior Administrators, Resident Auditor and Archives
From: Robert E. Mahn, Secretary
Subject: Board of Trustees Minutes of January 24, 1974

At its March 11 meeting the Board approved the minutes of January 24 as previously distributed. You may wish to substitute the attached signature sheet for the unsigned sheet in your copy.

REM ed
enc.

Robert E. Mahn
RESOLVED: That the 1974-75 budgets of expected income and expenditures as presented in Exhibits I, II, III, and IV, as amended by Part I of President Sowle's memorandum to the Board of Trustees dated March 9, 1974, are hereby appropriated subject to the following provisions:

(1) The Vice President and Treasurer with the approval of the President, may make adjustments in Instructional and General Operating Expense Allocations (Schedule IV), providing the total of such expenditures does not exceed available unrestricted income and surplus or $41,855,260, whichever is less.

(2) Should available unrestricted income and surplus exceed $41,855,260, the expenditures of such additional income shall be subject to approval by the Board of Trustees.

(3) Expenditures of Restricted Income shall not exceed the amounts made available for such purposes.

FURTHER RESOLVED: That, with the greatest reluctance, the 1975-76 Fiscal Plan as presented in Exhibit V is hereby approved, with the further direction to the administration to take all steps necessary to attempt to achieve adequate support for public higher education and Ohio University in the forthcoming legislative biennium, which support, in the case of Ohio University, would amount to at least $6,825,000 beyond the current projections for the first year of the new biennium. In such efforts, the administration should seek to enlist the support and assistance of all who are interested in this vital cause. In this connection, the Board of Trustees commits itself to participate fully in this effort.

FURTHER RESOLVED: That the Board of Trustees recognizes that the Budget for 1974-75 and the Fiscal Plan for 1975-76 will require not only the release of some support personnel but also the non-renewal of some probationary faculty members in some areas and the termination of some tenured faculty members in some areas. Accordingly, the Board of Trustees directs the President and other officers of the University, acting in accordance with established policies and procedures, to effect, through appropriate notice, such staffing alterations as may be necessary.
BUDGET RESOLUTION

1974-75 Budget and 1975-76 Fiscal Plan

RESOLVED: That the 1974-75 budgets of expected income and expenditures as presented in Exhibits I, II, III, and IV, as amended by Part I of President Sowle's memorandum to the Board of Trustees dated March 9, 1974, are hereby appropriated subject to the following provisions:

(1) The Vice President and Treasurer with the approval of the President, may make adjustments in Instructional and General Operating Expense Allocations (Schedule IV), providing the total of such expenditures does not exceed available unrestricted income and surplus or $41,855,260, whichever is less.

(2) Should available unrestricted income and surplus exceed $41,855,260, the expenditures of such additional income shall be subject to approval by the Board of Trustees.

(3) Expenditures of Restricted Income shall not exceed the amounts made available for such purposes.

FURTHER RESOLVED: That the 1975-76 Fiscal Plan as presented in Exhibit V is hereby approved.

FURTHER RESOLVED: That the Board of Trustees recognizes that the Budget for 1974-75 and the Fiscal Plan for 1975-76 will require not only the release of some support personnel but also the non-renewal of some probationary faculty members in some areas and the termination of some tenured faculty members in some areas. Accordingly, the Board of Trustees directs the President and other officers of the University, acting in accordance with established policies and procedures, to effect the necessary discontinuance.

(JFM - 3/4/74; revised - CRS - 3/9/74)
RESOLVED: That the 1974-75 budgets of expected income and expenditures as presented in Exhibits I, II, III, and IV, as amended by Part I of President Sowle's memorandum to the Board of Trustees dated March 9, 1974, are hereby appropriated subject to the following provisions:

(1) The Vice President and Treasurer with the approval of the President, may make adjustments in Instructional and General Operating Expense Allocations (Schedule IV); providing the total of such expenditures does not exceed available unrestricted income and surplus or $41,855,260, whichever is less.

(2) Should available unrestricted income and surplus exceed $41,855,260, the expenditures of such additional income shall be subject to approval by the Board of Trustees.

(3) Expenditures of Restricted Income shall not exceed the amounts made available for such purposes.

FURTHER RESOLVED: That the 1975-76 Fiscal Plan as presented in Exhibit V is hereby approved.

FURTHER RESOLVED: That the Board of Trustees recognizes that the Budget for 1974-75 and the Fiscal Plan for 1975-76 will require not only the release of some support personnel but also the non-renewal of some probationary faculty members in some areas and the termination of some tenured faculty members in some areas. Accordingly, the Board of Trustees directs the President and other officers of the University, acting in accordance with established policies and procedures, to effect the necessary discontinuances.

(JFM - 3/4/74; revised - CRS - 3/9/74)
WITH respect to the proposed budget for 1974-75 and the proposed fiscal plan for 1975-76 presented to you as a part of your agenda materials, I recommend the following adjustments:

(1) Increase the 1974-75 budget of the Vice President for Academic Services by $25,000. This additional allocation would permit the Admissions Office to add two persons who would be assigned on a full-time basis to recruiting activities.

(2) Increase the budget of the Vice President and Treasurer by $30,000. This additional allocation would be devoted to staffing and program improvements in the Women's Intercollegiate Athletics Program.

(3) Reduce the Reserve for 1974-75 by $55,000 to cover the increases described in (1) and (2), above.

For your information and, in the case of paragraph (5), below, for your consideration, I wish to present my response to those recommendations of the University Budget Committee (UBC) adopted on February 22, 1974, that require a response from me.

(1) In acting upon the Central Pool allocation proposals, the UBC recommended that further consideration be given to (i) including the Ohio College Association in the University Memberships account, (ii) including the salary and benefits on the President of Local 1699 in the Central Pool, and (iii) reducing all rental contract agreements for space as soon as possible. By copy of this memorandum, I am asking that the Senior Administrators review these matters and provide me with the group's recommendations by May 1, 1974.
(2) In acting upon the proposed budget for the Office of the President, which budget includes the Cutler Service Bureau, the UBC recommended that the question of possible financial savings due to increased centralization of service bureau operations receive further study. By copy of this memorandum, I am asking Vice President Culp to arrange for a further study of this issue and to present recommendations by July 1, 1974.

(3) In acting upon the proposed fiscal plan for 1975-76, the UBC urged that (i) curricular procedures employed to review proposals that reduce or eliminate academic programs fully utilize student involvement in all decisions and that (ii) faculty and administrative assistance be accorded those students who are affected by program changes in finding other academic locations for completion of their programs. With respect to (i), above, existing procedures require such involvement. With respect to (ii), above, I am, by copy of this memorandum, requesting that Executive Vice President Culbert take such steps as may be necessary to assure full compliance with the UBC's recommendation.

(4) In acting upon the proposed budget of the Vice President and Treasurer for 1974-75, the UBC recommended that (i) the Board (food cost) increase required in connection with the Intercollegiate Athletics Program (ICA) and (ii) the proposed increase for the Women's Intercollegiate Athletics Program (WICA) be absorbed within the control total proposed for ICA. I agree with recommendation (i). I disagree with recommendation (ii), and, as noted in paragraph I(2), above, I have recommended to the Board that $30,000 (as opposed to the $46,100 requested) be allocated for staffing and program improvements in the WICA program. To proceed otherwise, in my opinion, would result in non-compliance with an earlier resolution of the Board of Trustees regarding the maintenance of a competitive status in ICA.

(5) In acting upon the proposed budget for ICA for 1974-75, the UBC recommended (i) that I seek clarification from the Board of Trustees with respect to its earlier resolution regarding ICA, particularly with respect to the phrase "competitive level," and that similar guidance from the Trustees also be sought with respect to WICA, and (ii) that the administrative and financial structures of ICA be the subject of a special study. With respect to recommendation (i), I agree, and therefore I shall ask the Board to provide the requested clarification, as well as the additional guidance regarding WICA. With respect to recommendation (ii), I am, by copy of this memorandum, requesting that Vice President Milar arrange for the requested study and submit to me by July 1, 1974, the recommendations resulting therefrom.

(6) In acting upon the proposed budget of the Vice President for Administrative Services, the UBC, by a vote of 6 to 5, recommended that consideration be given to reducing the uniformed officer complement of the Security Office by approximately one-third. I disagree with this recommendation.
As indicated in his budget documents, Vice President Culp is planning some reduction in this area, albeit not of the magnitude suggested. Given current demands upon and expectations with respect to the Security Office, I believe it would be unwise and counterproductive to make reductions in this area beyond those planned by Vice President Culp.

(7) In acting upon the proposed budget of the Vice President for Administrative Services, the UBC recommended that I support Vice President Culp in investigating and implementing a consolidation of the use of University buildings and facilities (particularly during the Summer). That support will be forthcoming. In the near future, I will meet with Vice Presidents Culp and Culbert, among others, to review this matter.

(8) In acting upon the proposed budget of the Vice President for Academic Services, the UBC recommended that special support be provided for the Admissions Office in order to permit the addition of three persons who would devote their time to recruiting efforts. As noted in paragraph I(1), above, I have recommended to the Board an allocation that would permit the Admissions Office to add two persons who would be assigned on a full-time basis to recruiting activities.

(9) In acting upon the proposed budget of the Vice President for Academic Services, the UBC recommended special consideration for the Placement Office and the Library. Although I am sympathetic to these recommendations and believe these units should receive priority consideration in the event additional funds become available, I have concluded such special consideration is not possible within the resources currently projected.

(10) In acting upon the proposed budget of the Vice President for Regional Higher Education, the UBC recommended that the Office of Vice President for Regional Higher Education be abolished and that the activities falling within that area be assigned to others. In a discussion with the UBC following receipt of this recommendation, members indicated to me that such a change, in their opinion, would produce only minor dollar savings, but that it would be desirable from an organizational standpoint. Because of the lack of significant budgetary implications, I have elected not to deal with this matter here. Subsequently, I will review the recommendation in terms of the soundness of its organizational implications.

(11) In acting upon the proposed budget of the Executive Vice President and Dean of Faculties, the UBC recommended a study of the role of University College, with particular reference to the extent to which the counseling and administrative functions of that College might be integrated with other units. By copy of this memorandum, I am asking Executive Vice President Culbert to arrange for such a study and to provide me with the recommendations resulting therefrom by July 1, 1974.

cc: Members, University Budget Committee; Senior Administrators; Director of Public Information.
March 14, 1974

Mr. Robert Mahn  
President's Office  
Ohio University  
Athens, Ohio 45701

Dear Bob:

The enclosed is the statement I presented to the Board at the meeting held on Monday, March 11, 1974. As I asked when we talked by phone, I would like this reproduced in its entirety in the minutes, and would like the votes as cast indicated by the names of those who voted - for and against.

Thank you.

Regards,

William R. Morris  
Chairman  
Board of Trustees

WRM:jc

Enc.
and the president
and vice president
and secretary
and treasurer
and any other officers
necessary for the
proper conduct of the
association.

The annual meeting shall be held at least once a year, at a
place and time to be fixed by the board of directors.

These bylaws may be amended by a two-thirds
majority vote of the members present and voting at a
meeting called for the purpose.

Respectfully submitted,

[Signature]

[Date]
OHIO UNIVERSITY
Inter-Office Communication

DATE April 3, 1974

TO Senior Administrators
FROM Robert E. Mahn

SUBJECT Draft of March 11, 1974 Board Minutes

Please review and report corrections or desirable changes by April 5. You need not return the draft.

REM:ed