

## MINUTES—OHIO UNIVERSITY, Board of Trustees - January 17, 1969

These are the minutes of the meeting the Board of Trustees held at the Ohio University Inn on Friday, January 17, 1969.

Chairman Johnson called the meeting to order at 11:10 a. m. with the following members present in addition to the Chairman: C. Paul Stocker; Russell P. Herrold; Duncan M. Baxter; and Edwin L. Kennedy. In addition to the trustees, the following administrative officials were present: President Vernon R. Alden; Vice Presidents James J. Whalen, Martin L. Hecht, and Robert L. Savage; Treasurer John F. Milar; University Architect L. F. Lausche; Assistant Provost Edward M. Penson; and Paul R. O'Brien, Secretary to the Board.

There were no communications, petitions or memorials.

The Chairman then called on Vice President Whalen for a report on the responsibility accounting system.

Vice President Whalen reported that the responsibility accounting system is now operating and by February, we will be receiving current reports on this system. It will be a good management tool and will help in developing and controlling budgets. The technical work on this system is done and Gordon Sleeper, Assistant Treasurer, has developed a budget office that will make good use of this new system.

The Chairman then called upon the President for his report. President Alden stated that the enrollment and housing report would be sent to the trustees by Vice President Whalen within the next few days.

The President then reported that he felt an attitude of hostility is developing between the university presidents and the Board of Regents over the proposed legislation which the Board of Regents is supporting in the State Legislature as well as the budget which proposes to eventually eliminate the subsidy for out-of-state students. The President reported that in the past, prior to the meeting of the Board of Regents, the Chancellor had discussed with the state university presidents the action that the Regents would consider at their next meeting. The Chancellor did not hold such a meeting prior to the January meeting of the Regents. However, some of the proposals had been discussed by Dr. Millett with other groups - faculty representatives, students, etc. The Chancellor has announced that the budget distributed at the last meeting of the Regents is already out of date and a new one is in the process of being prepared. The revised budget should be available by February 1, 1969. Under the present Regents' budget, Ohio University will receive an increase of approximately \$700,000 per year in state-appropriated funds. The President reported that this year's instructional and general budget at Ohio University's main campus totalled approximately \$28 million, compared to \$38 million in requests submitted by budgetary heads. This large discrepancy in requests and amount available cannot continue without reducing the quality of our education. The State must provide a higher level of support and not pass on increased costs to students.

The President then reported that the present Regents' budget consists of subsidies as follows:

	F.T.E. 1967-69	F.T.E. 1969-71
Lower Division	\$ 350	\$ 450
Upper Division	\$1000	\$1005
Technical	\$ 350	\$ 750
Masters	\$1500	\$1800
Ph. D.	\$4800	\$3750

The Board of Regents have also recommended a limitation on graduate enrollment as follows: Ohio University at present has 817 enrolled for a master's degree, the Regents recommend 950 for 1969-70 and 1150 for 1970-71. Ohio University presently has 519 enrolled in the Ph. D. programs, the Board of Regents recommendation is 550 for 1969-70 and 550 for 1970-71. This limitation comes at a time when we are just beginning to develop our graduate school and may be quite a handicap to the further development of this area of Ohio University.

The President then explained that the Ohio State Director of Finance had proposed that the subsidy granted the state universities in Ohio be eliminated for out-of-state students. This was discussed with Ohio's university presidents and a compromise was worked out for the year 1969-70 in which 75% of the subsidy for out-of-state students would be provided and for the year 1970-71, this would be reduced to 50% and thereafter, only 5% of the out-of-state students would be subsidized by the legislative appropriation.

The Chairman then read the following report prepared by Mr. Merris, who was unable to attend the meeting. After a discussion of this report, it was moved by Mr. Stocker, seconded by Mr. Kennedy and unanimously carried that this report be adopted and Ohio University maintain 20% out-of-state students previously recommended by the Board of Regents.

82

81

80

79

(Report read by Chairman Johnson)

In response to recent statements in the press, the Chairman of the Ohio University

In response to recent statements in the press, the Chairman of the Ohio University Board of Trustees, Mr. Fred H. Johnson, appointed a subcommittee of the Board to investigate the implication that Ohio University has encouraged the enrollment of out-of-state students in such numbers as to prevent Ohio students from being enrolled or that because of the admission of increased numbers of out-of-state students Ohio University has closed enrollment of Ohio students prior to the day of registration for that academic term.

An examination of enrollment records in the Admissions Office of Ohio University reveals that Ohio University has never closed off admissions to Ohio residents before registration day and that qualified Ohio residents have never been denied admission to Ohio University. Admissions figures for the past quarter provide a typical pattern for this process at Ohio University. On the Athens campus, as of October 18, 1968, the date of the final enrollment figures, 5,449 Ohio residents had initiated admission applications. Of these, 5,349 Ohio residents were granted admission. The difference of 100 between the figures, less than 2 per cent, represents incompleting applications and non-qualified applicants. In no case was a qualified Ohio applicant rejected.

In its enrollment of out-of-state students, Ohio University has held to the 20 per cent quota established by the Ohio State Board of Regents. Also, as part of its concern that Ohio students not be kept from enrollment, Ohio University maintains very high selection standards for out-of-state students. In general, an out-of-state student must have graduated from the top one-third of his high school graduating class and have earned College Board scores in the vicinity of 1050 to be eligible for enrollment. All Ohio students who graduate from qualified Ohio high schools are eligible for enrollment.

Because of its concern that educational opportunities be brought to the people of Ohio, Ohio University has pioneered in both the development of branch campuses and in home study programs. Beginning in 1946, the Ohio University branch program has grown to enroll a total of 5,308 students at the present time. Of these students 46, or less than 1 per cent, are non-residents. As on the Athens campus, these branch campuses have never closed enrollment prior to registration day. On the contrary, they have aggressively pursued the enrollment of Ohio students.

In addition to these branch campuses, Ohio University maintains the only home study-correspondence study-program among the public colleges and universities in Ohio. Begun in 1924, the Ohio University Correspondence Division presently enrolls over 3,000 students in over 130 different courses of study taught by approximately 100 faculty. In addition to the approximately 1,500 service men who take these courses under an arrangement with the United States Armed Forces Institute, 20 Ohio colleges and universities have approved these courses for their students.

In summary:

- (1) Ohio University has never either on its Athens or its branch campuses closed admission to Ohio students before the day of registration for the term involved.
- (2) Ohio University on its Athens campus has always held to the 20 per cent quota of out-of-state students established under the Master Plan of the Board of Regents.
- (3) There is no evidence that an Ohio resident desiring admission to Ohio University was denied that admission so that a non-resident student could be admitted.

(end of report)

The President then reported that in addition to the support indicated above, the Board of Regents were recommending \$250,000 special support to Ohio University for physics research projects and \$64,000 for a special education project.

The President also called the Board's attention to the fact that the recommended appropriations would require a tax increase of some kind and in addition, the Board of Regents will recommend an increase in student fees.

The Director of Finance is also recommending that the total higher education appropriation be made to the Board of Regents rather than to the individual universities as in the past. This recommendation will eliminate direct appropriations to the state universities and will require any state funds received to be granted through the Board of Regents.

In order to partially offset the recommended fee increases, the Board of Regents are also proposing a grant to students from low income families. Students whose family income is under \$5000, attending a school whose instructional fees are under \$1000, would receive \$300 per year. Students in the same family income bracket attending a university

79

78

77

76

or college whose instructional fee is over \$1000 would receive a grant of \$600. Students whose family income is between \$5000 and \$7500, attending a university or college whose instructional fees are under \$1000, would receive \$150 per year, while those attending a college or university whose instructional fees are over \$1000 per year would receive a grant of \$300.

President Alden also reported that the Board of Regents' plan to submit a bill establishing five district branch universities to supervise all the branches established in the state rather than leave them under the jurisdiction of the university that had started and established the branches. It is the opinion of the Board of Regents that the university-- established branches have been oriented too much in the direction of university education and they hope by this new administrative set-up to encourage technical education in the branches. It is probable that the people in various branch cities will object to this change and it is certain that the faculty for the branches will be much harder to recruit if they are not directly tied to an already established university.

The Board of Regents also propose to introduce a bill to establish within the teachers' retirement system a special division for university faculty and administrators. They feel, by segregating the university personnel, their retirement benefits could be increased because most public school teachers are female and have a greater life expectancy; also, many public school teachers retire at an earlier age than do university faculty.

The President also reported that a bill has been introduced, supported by the Board of Regents, which would make the President of a state university, a student and a faculty member non-voting members of the boards of trustees of various state universities. The state university presidents submitted an amendment to this proposal to make these additional members voting members of the Board of Trustees and also suggested that the Chancellor be added to the Board of Regents as a voting member and that a faculty member and a student be added to the Board of Regents as voting members.

The President then suggested that since many of the legislative proposals of the Board of Regents this year are controversial, he felt that students, faculty and administrators as well as alumni will be objecting to many of these proposals. He suggested that communication with legislators be centralized and coordinated through the President's office.

Duncan Baxter then inquired whether any other states are limiting support to out-of-state students. The President replied that Pennsylvania does in a limited way and Massachusetts now limits support for out-of-state students. He also reported that there are just about as many Ohio students going out of state for their education as there are out-of-state students coming in at the present time. Mr. Kennedy reported that he felt that this action by the legislature may bring retaliation from other states.

The Chairman then indicated that this legislative session will be an interesting one and the vast amount of information submitted to the legislature may be confusing to the members. He also reported that he endorsed the President's position on the fee increase and felt it was very important that the objections to the various phases of legislation be coordinated through the President's office.

Duncan Baxter then reported that he felt the branch communities will be strongly against any change in the branch administrative set-up.

Vice President Savage reported that the previous ceiling of \$436,000 established by the federal agencies for research grants at Ohio University had now been raised to \$500,000. He also reported that this year, for the first time, Ohio University had been able to obtain a continuing contract with the Federal Aviation Agency. In the past, these contracts have been for one year only, and future planning could not be done because of the shortness of the contracts. We now have a contract for five years with FAA at \$100,000 per year which should permit better planning in our FAA research projects.

Mr. Hecht was then called upon for a report of the activities in his area. He reported that the first phase for the new airport had gone out for bids on December 27, 1968. These bids will be opened January 26, 1969. The estimated cost of the first phase of this airport is \$972,980 of which FAA (Federal Aviation Agency) will contribute \$486,490 and the ARC (Appalachia Regional Commission) will contribute 30% or \$291,894, and Governor Rhodes has agreed to finance the balance of \$194,596 from special state appropriations. Mr. Hecht reported it would require approximately nine months to complete construction on this project so that it should be completed in December of 1969. He further reported that the second phase of the airport is already being considered and we have submitted proposals to the FAA and ARC for funds for Phase Two.

Mr. Hecht then reported that the Hocking River project had gone out for bids on January 7 of this year and that bids would be opened February 6. Estimated cost of this project is approximately \$9.7 million of which the federal government will contribute 65% and the Hocking Conservancy District will contribute the other 35%. The Hocking Conservancy District funds will come from assessments against land owners in the benefitted area. Approximately 80% of this benefit cost will be charged to Ohio University, and we shall request a special bill in the legislature to obtain these funds separately from our regular appropriation.

76

75

74

73

Mr. Hecht then reported that the O'Bleness Memorial Sheltering Arms Hospital project is well on its way toward completion and plans to have the building available in September are still firm.

73

The Chairman commended Vice President Hecht for his efforts in these projects and pointed out that most people felt they were far-fetched dreams when they were initiated. However, Mr. Hecht has followed through, and it appears that the dreams will become reality in the very near future.

Mr. Hecht then pointed out that it would be necessary to transfer some of the Albany airport land from the Ohio University Fund, Inc. to Ohio University in the near future. Since we do not know at this time exactly what the price will be and what parcels will be required, it was suggested that the Executive Committee of the Board of Trustees be authorized to approve the purchase of the lands necessary in the Albany area.

After discussion of this proposal, it was moved by Mr. Kennedy, seconded by Mr. Herrold and unanimously carried, that the Executive Committee of the Board of Trustees be authorized to act for the Board of Trustees in approving the purchase of specific parcels of land in the Albany area for the construction of the new airport.

Dr. Edgar Whan, who is director of the Honors program and dean of the Cutler College, was then asked to present a report. Dr. Whan reported that these two programs were established for a special type of student, those who desire to participate in small classes and special courses, directed toward in-depth studies of their specialties. It also encourages the student to participate in creative and imaginative ways.

72

The Cutler Program was designed to meet special requirements of students with special talents - experience or incentives. The program for the Cutler students is designed individually for each student and is determined by the student and his advisors. The faculty of both the Honors and Cutler Colleges are ordinarily senior faculty members with special interest in their areas.

Dr. Whan then introduced Miss Margaret Myers, a Senior in the Honors College, who reported to the Trustees covering her experience in the Honors College. She explained the two types of honors; one, a general honors course and the other, a departmental honors. She was very enthusiastic about the Honors College, and regretted that more students were not able to participate in this worthwhile activity.

Dr. Whan then introduced Paul Felton, a Freshman in the Cutler program, who gave a report to the Trustees on his experience in the Cutler program. He was also enthusiastic about the program and regretted that more students were not able to participate in this program.

Chairman Johnson thanked both students for their fine presentation and agreed that an effort should be made to interest more students in these programs.

The Chairman then read a resolution prepared by Mr. Morris commending football team and Coach Hess for their successful season. Mr. Baxter then moved, and it was unanimously seconded that the following resolution be adopted:

71

WHEREAS, William R. Hess, alumnus and head football coach at Ohio University, and dean of the Mid-American Conference football coaches, has just completed his eleventh season at Ohio University; and

WHEREAS, Mr. Hess' Ohio University coaching record is 67 wins, 40 losses and 2 ties, and 4 Mid-American Conference championships; and

WHEREAS, Mr. Hess was named Coach of the Year for the State of Ohio in 1960 and again in 1968 as well as Mid-American Conference Coach of the Year in 1968; and

WHEREAS, Mr. Hess has had two undefeated Ohio University teams in regular season competition, one in 1960 (10-0) and most recently in 1968 (10-0); and

WHEREAS, Mr. Hess and his undefeated 1968 team represented Ohio University, the Mid-American Conference, and the State of Ohio in the post-season Tangerine Bowl in Orlando, Florida, on December 27, 1968, and represented well even though losing 49-42 to the University of Richmond;

THEREFORE, be it resolved that the Board of Trustees of Ohio does hereby commend Mr. Hess for his outstanding record in 1968 and for the exemplary conduct of his staff and team on and off the field which is a tribute to his character, his intelligence and to his devotion to the development of young men through competitive inter-collegiate athletics.

70

Mr. Kennedy then reported for the Honorary Degree Committee. He preferred not to mention the names being considered as these persons have not yet been contacted. However, he moved, seconded by Mr. Herrold, unanimously carried, that the Honorary Degree Committee be authorized to award an honorary degree to the four names under consideration and, in addition, to select a suitable speaker for the June Commencement, if it seems appropriate to the Committee and to the University administration.

Mr. Kennedy then reported that the Detroit Steel Corporation had donated a DC-3 airplane to the University, and he presented the following resolution for consideration. It was moved by Mr. Kennedy, seconded by Mr. Stocker, unanimously carried with Duncan Baxter not voting, that the following resolution be adopted:

WHEREAS, Ohio University operates a School of Aviation and provides transportation for administrators, faculty, and students of Ohio University; and

WHEREAS, the Detroit Steel Corporation, at the suggestion of Duncan Baxter, has donated to Ohio University a fully equipped DC-3 airplane; and

WHEREAS, this type of airplane was badly needed in our transportation division,

NOW, therefore, be it resolved that the Board of Trustees of Ohio University recognize with grateful appreciation this fine gift made by the Detroit Steel Corporation, and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that Ohio University pledges to make use of this airplane to further the University's overall educational objectives, and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Secretary of the Board of Trustees be authorized to send a copy of this resolution to the management of the Detroit Steel Corporation.

In the absence of Mr. Caso, Mr. Herrold presented an easement to the Columbus and Southern Ohio Electric Company and moved for approval of the following easement; seconded by Mr. Kennedy and unanimously carried.

#### EASEMENT

THIS EASEMENT, made and entered into this \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_ 1969, pursuant to the provisions of Section 123.01 (A) (9), Revised Code by and between THE STATE OF OHIO, through the Department of Public Works and the Director of the Department of Public Works, and the Governor of Ohio, duly approved by the Attorney General of Ohio, acting for and on behalf of said State, Party of the First Part, and Columbus & Southern Ohio Electric Company, Party of the Second Part, WITNESSETH:

WHEREAS, the Party of the Second Part applied to the state of Ohio, Ohio University for an easement over the hereinafter described State land for the following improvement, 2 Pole structure with anchors AE 290 - #40700; and

WHEREAS, said Ohio University by Board Action On \_\_\_\_\_ 19\_\_\_\_\_ approved the granting of said easement; and

WHEREAS, The Party of the First Part, having considered such application of said Second Party, duly resolves to grant said easement for a period of 15 years, upon the terms and conditions and under the restrictions as hereinafter set forth.

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of the mutual covenants herein contained and the payment of \$180.00 by the Party of the Second Part, and for other good and valuable consideration, the receipt of which is hereby acknowledged, the Party of the first Part hereby grants to the Party of the Second Part, its successors and assigns, the easement hereinafter described, together with the right and privilege to said Second Party, its successors and assigns, to occupy and use said easement for the constructions, operation, maintenance, repair and replacement of the improvement described herein for a term of fifteen (15) years effective this date, and under the conditions and restrictions hereinafter provided, to wit:

#### DESCRIPTION:

Situated in the State of Ohio, County of Athens, Township of Canaan, and further described as follows:

70

69

68

67

MINUTES—OHIO UNIVERSITY, Board of Trustees - January 17, 1969

Being a right-of-way 30 feet in width the centerline of which is described as follows:

Beginning at a point in the southerly right-of-way line of U. S. Route 50 where the centerline of the existing Columbus & Southern Ohio Electric Company right-of-way easement intersects same; thence southerly along the prolongation of the existing Columbus and Southern Ohio Electric Co. right-of-way sixty (60) feet to the end.

THIS EASEMENT IS GRANTED SUBJECT TO THE FOLLOWING CONDITIONS AND RESTRICTIONS:

1. This right-of way easement is granted with the understanding that said Improvement shall be constructed, operated, maintained, repaired, reconstructed or replaced in accordance with good engineering practice, and the Party of the Second Part agrees, for itself, its successors and assigns, to indemnify and save harmless the Party of the First Part from all damages and claims thereof arising out of any act, omission or neglect by the Party of this Second Part or any of its agents during the period of construction, operation and maintenance as herein authorized.

2. This easement is granted on the condition that no special assessment to pay the direct cost of constructing said Improvement, shall be made against the Party of the First Part, its successors or assigns, or the within named property and no cost of any kind shall accrue to said Party of the First Part, its successors or assigns except such usage charges as are contracted for by the Party of the First Part.

67

3. The improvement shall be constructed on the line and to the grade and within the easement limits as shown on the attached plat prepared by Columbus and Southern Ohio Electric Company.

4. The Party of the Second Part shall, for a period of one year from completion of construction, provide necessary fill and make such repairs and replacements as may be needed by reason of settlement or similar causes in areas which have been disturbed by said construction.

5. It is understood and agreed that in any portion of the right-of-way easement that is now grassed lawn, the sod for the width of the trench and the temporary construction area shall be removed, stored and replaced, providing it is of sufficient depth to assure regrowth when replaced, or in lieu thereof, the top soil shall be replaced and the area seeded.

6. All field tile, sewers or other public utility underground installations or property of the State of Ohio encountered in the construction of said Improvements shall be replaced if disturbed, and the Party of the Second Part shall notify Ohio University when drainage lines or any other unusual conditions are encountered in the field.

7. The Party of the Second Part, its successors and assigns, agrees to replace in kind, rather than money, the loss of or damage to all trees, shrubs, fences or any other structures, the amount of such loss or damage to be determined through mutual agreement between the Party of the First Part and Columbus and Southern Ohio Electric Company.

8. In the event that it should become necessary, in order to operate, enlarge, repair, reconstruct or maintain said Improvement, to disturb the surface of the ground, the Party of the Second Part shall restore the same to its former condition or pay for all damage done thereto.

9. The rights granted herein shall not be construed to interfere with or restrict the Party of the First Part, its successors or assigns, in the use of the premises with respect to the construction and maintenance of property improvements along and over the premises herein described so long as the same are so constructed as not to impair the strength or interfere with the use and maintenance of said Improvement. Provided, however, that in the event the State of Ohio, or Ohio University, decides to construct a building or buildings in such location as to interfere with said improvement, its operation and maintenance, then said Party of the Second Part shall relocate and reconstruct said improvement, or part thereof, without expense to the State of Ohio.

66

65

10. It is understood and agreed that the Party of the First Part shall have the right to use said Improvement as provided in Section 2 of these conditions and such usage shall be restricted only by the accepted usage limitations of a property owner or such restrictions as are contained herein.

THE STATE OF OHIO

By \_\_\_\_\_  
James A. Rhodes, Governor

Witnesses as to Signature of  
The Director of Public Works:

By \_\_\_\_\_  
Alfred C. Gienow, Director  
Department of Public Works

PARTY OF THE FIRST PART

Witnesses as to signature of  
Party of the Second Part:

By \_\_\_\_\_  
PARTY OF THE SECOND PART

APPROVED : \_\_\_\_\_ 19\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
Attorney General of Ohio

The Chairman then called the Board's attention to action taken relative to the Ohio University campus plan at the September 17, 1964, meeting and again at the May 25, 1966, meeting. He reported that neither of these actions would meet the requirements of the Housing and Urban Development Agency and proposed the following resolution.

It was then moved by Mr. Kennedy, seconded by Mr. Baxter and unanimously carried that the following resolution be adopted.

WHEREAS; at the September 17, 1964, meeting of the Board of Trustees of Ohio University, a preliminary campus plan proposed by Caudill, Rowlett and Scott was approved, and

WHEREAS; again at the May 25, 1966, meeting of the Board of Trustees the completed campus plan was informally approved by the Board of Trustees, now

THEREFORE, be it resolved that the campus planning study dated January, 1966, prepared by Caudill, Rowlett, and Scott be formally approved with the understanding that this is a plan and like all plans is subject to change.

Mr. Stocker then reported on the new Albany airport and that it would be necessary to obtain permission to locate a beacon light on property not owned by the University. Mr. Stocker then presented an easement prepared by the University attorney to cover this beacon light and a roadway to service it with the amount of the easement consideration blank with the understanding that the administrative authorities of the University would fill this in with a reasonable amount when it was negotiated. It was then moved by Mr. Stocker, seconded by Mr. Kennedy and unanimously carried that the following easement for right-of-way and aviation purposes be approved.

EASEMENT FOR RIGHT-OF-WAY AND AVIATION PURPOSES

In consideration of the sum of One Dollar (\$1.00), the receipt whereof is hereby acknowledged, and the mutual covenants hereinafter set forth, the grantors herein, William and Dorothy Steut, husband and wife, address, Route 3, Albany, Ohio, together with their heirs, successors or assigns, do hereby grant unto the Ohio University, its

65

64

63

president and board of trustees, its successors or assigns; hereinafter called the grantee, so long as the same is used for the purposes herein contemplated, the right and easement to construct, maintain, repair, and operate a roadway to a pole or tower supporting an aviation beacon light, together with such facilities, fixtures and appurtenances as it may require or deem proper therefor, through, upon, over and/or under the property situated in Section 12 of Columbia (T. 9N - R. 15W) Township, Meigs County, Ohio, and known as 162.13 acres, more or less as the same is more particularly described in the deed dated 1/10/58 from Howard & Carrie Belle Stout to Wm. & Dorothy Stout and recorded in Volume 195, page 49 of the Meigs County deed records.

Said roadway shall be constructed within a twelve (12) foot wide strip of land, the center-line of which shall be as follows: Beginning at a point in Columbia Township road No. 13 and the grantors south property line, said point being approximately north 400 feet and N 38° W 700 feet from the interior quarter section corner of the said section 12; thence meandering in a general directions of N 52° E 2200 feet more or less to a high elevation lying approximately West 1470 feet and South 500 feet from the NE corner of the NE quarter of the said section 12, at which said high point the pole and beacon shall be erected and maintained.

63

The grantee shall have the right of ingress to and egress from the site occupied by said roadway and beacon, and the right to do any and all things necessary, proper or incidental to the successful operation and maintenance thereof; including the right to trim any trees which may now or hereafter interfere with the construction, maintenance and/or operation of said roadway and beacon within the 12 foot width of easement. It is provided that the roadway and beacon shall be so located and constructed as not to interfere with the grantors shall have the full right to use of said roadway and easement for purposes not inconsistent with grantees enjoyment of the rights hereby granted.

Grantee hereby agrees to pay for any damages to the stock, crops, fences or structures of the grantors, and to protect grantors against any and all claims for loss or damage to grantors, or which may be made against grantors by any other person or persons, resulting from damage done by grantee or its employees in the construction, maintenance and operation of the said roadway and beacon.

Grantee hereby agrees to pay for, install and maintain a standard 10 foot farm gate at the southwest end of the said roadway in the north right-of-way line of the said twp. road No. 13; said gate when used by the grantee to be left in the latch closed and/or locked position unless mutually agreed otherwise. Grantee further agrees to pay for, install and maintain a 10 foot standard gate, including necessary over or underground conductor, in the grantors present electric fence where the roadway passes through it at a point NW 700 feet more or less from the aforementioned first gate; said second gate when used by the grantee to be left in the position (open or closed) as found at the time of pass through, unless mutually agreed otherwise.

62

Grantee hereby agrees, as further consideration, to pay to grantors, their successors or assigns running with the land, the annual sum of \_\_\_\_\_ Dollars (\$ \_\_\_\_\_) on or before the 10th day of July, 1969 (for the period July 1, 1969 to June 30, 1970 incl.) and each succeeding 10th day of July so long as the easement is being used for the purposes (right-of-way and/or aviation beacon) herein described and contemplated. In event that land covered by this easement is divided, subdivided or succeeds to two or more titleholders, the annual payment shall be made only to the party having a fee title to the area occupied by the said pole and beacon.

In Witness Whereof, the parties hereto have subscribed their names this \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 1969.

61

Signed and acknowledged in the presence of: GRANTORS

\_\_\_\_\_  
William Stout

\_\_\_\_\_  
Dorothy Stout

GRANTEES

\_\_\_\_\_  
Vernon Alden, President of Ohio University

60



Paul O'Brien, Secretary,  
Board of Trustees of  
Ohio University

Mr. Stocker was then called upon for a report of the Presidential Selection Committee. He reported that four members of the Selection Committee of the Trustees met with the Athens Advisory Committee yesterday. The Athens Advisory Committee has received between 75 and 100 nominations for consideration. They have classified these nominations into three classes; one group that will be further considered, the second group for which they are now attempting to secure additional information and a third group which does not meet our specifications and is no longer being considered. Mr. Stocker reported that 30 to 40 names have survived the initial screening. Mr. Herrold, a member of the Committee, also pointed out that they are not limiting the nominations to those presently submitted. They are soliciting additional nominations.

60

Mr. Herrold then moved, and it was unanimously seconded, that the following resolution be adopted:

BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Trustees of Ohio University wishes to convey its deepest regrets over the passing of Mrs. Fred H. Johnson.

ELIZABETH EVELYN JOHNSON might well serve as a model for us all. She sought beauty whether in art, in music or in the flowers she loved to have around her. She loved people and was sensitive of their needs. As with many women, she felt her primary duties to be those of wife and mother. She was a devoted wife who shared her husband's dreams, enjoyed his confidences and accompanied him on his journeys, even near the end of her life when it was difficult for her to travel. She bore and reared three children, guiding them in her belief that authority must be earned and that it carries great responsibility for the welfare of others. She taught her children to temper their independence with responsibility. They continued to seek her counsel even after their own marriages.

Elizabeth Johnson was a member of the Class of 1925 at Ohio University and she continued her interest and love for her Alma Mater and the Hocking Valley throughout her life.

The Chairman then called the roll of members for comments. There were no comments from members.

The Chairman then reported that he has been attempting to establish a definite procedure in order to develop a better line of communication between the trustees and the students. He also plans to establish a better line of communication between faculty and the trustees. He hopes this procedure can be worked out to the satisfaction of all in the very near future.

59

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned.

  
Fred Johnson, Chairman

  
Paul R. O'Brien, Secretary

58