

MINUTES—OHIO UNIVERSITY, Board of Trustees - May 25, 1966

The chairman called the meeting to order at 2:15 p.m. On roll call the following members of the Board of Trustees were present: Edwin L. Kennedy, C. Paul Stocker, Fred H. Johnson, Wayne E. Brown, Joseph B. Hall, Don M. Casto, Russell P. Herrold, and John W. Galbreath. Duncan M. Baxter was unable to attend. In addition to the Trustees also present were: Milton J. Taylor, President of the Alumni Association; President Vernon R. Alden; Vice President Thomas S. Smith; Vice President Martin L. Hecht; Luverne F. Lausche, Business Manager; John F. Milar, Treasurer; James J. Whalen, Dean of Students; and Paul R. O'Brien, Secretary of the Board of Trustees.

It was moved by Mr. Hall, seconded by Mr. Brown, and unanimously carried that the minutes of the meeting of the Board of Trustees of March 16, 1966 be approved as distributed by the Secretary.

Mr. Stocker then presented the following resolution and moved its adoption. It was seconded by Mr. Hall and unanimously adopted.

WHEREAS, the Ohio University-Lancaster Branch Campus Development Fund Committee of Lancaster, Ohio, under the chairmanship of Milton J. Taylor and Gordon W. Herrold initiated a drive to obtain \$500,000 in cash and land to establish a permanent Ohio University Branch Campus in Lancaster, Ohio, and;

WHEREAS, this fund drive was successful beyond all expectations and cash and land valued at over three quarters of a million dollars has been obtained, and

WHEREAS, these funds along with the appropriation made by the Ohio Legislature and transferred to Ohio University-Lancaster Branch Campus by the Ohio Board of Regents will permit the construction of a permanent building to house the Ohio University Branch in Lancaster.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED: That the Board of Trustees of Ohio University acknowledge with deep appreciation the action of the people of the Lancaster community and the Ohio University-Lancaster Campus Board of Trustees on their successful drive to provide land and funds for a branch campus.

The cooperation of the citizens of the Lancaster community in contributing over three quarters of a million dollars should show accelerating results as the Lancaster Branch Campus expands and improves.

The Board of Trustees of Ohio University pledge their continued support to the Lancaster Branch Campus and congratulate all those citizens of Lancaster and the surrounding communities who have proven their dedication to higher education for the young men and women as well as continuing education for all of the citizens of their community.

The Secretary then presented the following letter received from Earl C. Seigfred, Dean of the College of Fine Arts:

OHIO UNIVERSITY
College of Fine Arts
Athens, Ohio

May 2, 1966

President Vernon R. Alden
Ohio University
Athens, Ohio

Dear President Alden:

Will you please convey to the members of the Board of Trustees my deep gratitude and that of my family for the honor they have bestowed upon me in naming the Space Arts Building Seigfred Hall. The action came as a complete surprise to me; the announcement was made at a very appropriate time when many of our friends were present for the Glass Seminar and could share the happy occasion with us.

It has been a great experience serving the College almost from its inception and watching it grow from year to year. The present faculty is strong and imaginative; under the leadership of Dean Jack Morrison the future seems very promising.

Thank you, President Alden and members of the Board for your splendid support. It has been a pleasure to be a part of Ohio University.

Cordially,

(Signed)
Earl C. Seigfred
Dean, College of Fine Arts

ECS:nb

Mr. Milar then presented a Statement of Income, Expense, and Reserves, for the nine month period ended March 31, 1966, which shows total receipts for this period of \$23,110,874, this is 79.92% of the estimated income for the year; and expense of

60

59

58

\$19,177,353, this is 70.14% of the budgeted expense for the year. After a discussion of this report, it was then moved by Mr. Hall, seconded by Mr. Herrold, and unanimously carried that the report be accepted.

The Chairman then called on President Alden for his report. President Alden reported that the public press has been running many stories about the present day college students. These stories show the students demonstrating in public for many different causes, their so-called beatnik dress and actions, and refer to the common use of drugs on college campuses.

The President reported this is not a fair representation of the present day college student, they are coming to the college campuses in larger numbers, most of them have more money to spend, and most of them are better prepared academically for college than ever before, and they are more willing to speak out on almost any subject.

To give the Trustees a more accurate picture of the Ohio University students attitude and moods, the President asked James J. Whalen, Dean of Students, to report on the student activities during the past year.

Dean Whalen presented a short movie, showing a cross section of the present student life, including classrooms, dormitories, libraries, fraternity houses, athletics, and the general student activities.

Dean Whalen then pointed out that it is not possible to put all students in one category. There are actually four general types of students at Ohio University. The first group are the bright, well qualified and highly motivated students. This group get elected to student offices, become involved in national or international issues, and are generally the leaders in "movements" of all kinds. These students do accomplish something besides getting an education while attending the University.

The second group is by far the largest group of students, they are the group who have come to the University to obtain an education. They know what they want, they attend classes, get better than average grades, and postpone any activities in national or international issues until after they have obtained their college education. This is the group that will someday be the so-called solid citizens of their communities, and they get very little mention in the public press.

The third group manages to get passing grades, they do not care about national or international affairs, and they have not determined what their future life shall be. This group complains about food, noise, crowded conditions, and they are usually the most enthusiastic participants in incidents such as St. Patrick's Day weekend.

The fourth group is a small group of students who are usually anti-social and require special handling. This group usually comes to the attention of the Dean of Students' Office through disciplinary channels. This group usually requires special assistance, either medical or psychiatric.

One of the big problems the Personnel Dean's Office has, is to give the students a feeling they are getting individual attention. As the University grows larger this becomes more difficult and students feel they are merely an IBM number or being run by a so-called rule book. The Student Personnel Office is making every effort to eliminate this feeling and give the student a feeling of individualism.

The students have been asked for advice in establishing a new absence policy, honor dormitories, and many other policies affecting the students. Their advice has been listened to by the personnel people, and in many cases followed.

Another problem is the environment of the students. Our overcrowded dormitories and dining facilities have created certain problems among the students. This, we are trying to eliminate as fast as possible.

The Student Personnel Office is also attempting to give the student a feeling of individualism by a creation of the campus green concept. This is an attempt to establish several smaller groups and give the student a feeling of belonging to a smaller group in which he can know the other members of the group, and take some active part in governing the group and in the social activities of this smaller group.

There has been no major problem with 'dope' among our students this year.

I am sure the Personnel Office will always have problems with students; however, this will give some slight idea of what steps we are taking to avoid the major problems and what we are doing to assist the student in his major objective at Ohio University, that is, obtaining a good education.

Mr. Brown reported that he had received many favorable comments from his acquaintances about the disciplinary action taken by Ohio University sometime ago with students participating in the St. Patrick's day demonstration. He further reported that he receives more inquiries about the conduct of our students at Ohio University than any other subject.

58

57

56

55

The student conduct is very much of a concern to him. Mr. Brown inquired if any of our so-called "lunatic fringe" students had come to Ohio University for the specific purpose of creating problems. Dean Whalen reported that he felt most of our problems are not created intentionally, but are the accidental kind. He further reported that members of his staff attempt to know what is going on in all student organizations, what their purposes are, and what the organizations are doing to accomplish these purposes.

Mr. Galbreath then inquired about the number of the incoming freshman class who have been personally interviewed by someone at the University. He feels that a personal interview with an incoming student would eliminate many of the anti-social students who cause us the trouble after they are on the campus. Mr. Reese, the Director of Admissions, reported that his office had interviewed over 3,000 incoming students and/or their parents. In addition, he does get a recommendation from the high school principal on all students before they are accepted.

Mr. Brown commented that since we have many more applications for admissions then we are able to accept he felt that we could spend more money in interviewing prospective students and eliminating some of the problem students before they come to the campus. This would be money well spent and would make the Dean of Students' job much easier.

Mr. Galbreath inquired if Dean Whalen saw the situation improving or getting worse at Ohio University. Dean Whalen pointed out that as the quality of our freshman class improves we will find more small active groups made up of the bright, articulate students who want to evaluate the faculty, change the dress rules, and various other projects. Dean Whalen pointed out that many of these projects are good but some of them are not good. As the quality of our students improves we must furnish a greater challenge for them to express their excess energy in the proper channels.

Mr. Brown then agreed that up to a certain point it was good to permit the students to demonstrate and express themselves and object to various rules and so-forth, but there comes a point that University officials must step in and assert the proper disciplinary authority. Dean Whalen then reported that his office has no intention of letting our discipline break down. He pointed out that we have suspended between 30 and 35 students from Ohio University this year.

Mr. Galbreath then inquired if students leading these demonstrations come to the University with this thought in mind or is it because their energies have not been directed in some other direction? Dean Whalen replied that in all demonstrations he has investigated he finds one or two students who definitely feel that the demonstration is necessary and they are protesting something for a very good intellectual reason, but most of the other students behind him in the demonstration are there because they have some personal problems or frustrations, or are having trouble passing their courses.

Mr. Kennedy then reported that he agreed with Mr. Brown that the administration should be flexible and tolerant for various student causes and demonstrations up to a certain point, but beyond that point it is necessary to move in with a firm hand and enforce definite University disciplinary rules.

President Alden then reported that our Admissions posture is changing. We no longer can take all the students who apply for admission because of the limitation of our physical facilities. He further reported that we are using our branches to accept students who live near the branches and are advising students living near branches operated by other universities to attend these branches. The administration of Ohio University and the Trustees will receive much more pressure to get students admitted to Ohio University in the future than we have in the past.

President Alden then introduced Mr. Jerry Reese, Director of Admissions, to report on the admissions this year at Ohio University.

Mr. Reese then introduced his staff as follows: Mrs. Evelyn Fisher, the Office Manager; Mr. Ed Sudnick, who will coordinate the admissions of the branches with the main campus; Mr. Frank Zammataro, who is in charge of our high school relations work; Mr. Lee Lemos, who visits high schools and also coordinates the transfer admission applications to the University; Mr. Robert Wolcott, who will be contacting outstanding or especially talented high school graduates.

Mr. Reese then reported that he had received over 37,000 inquiries requesting information about Ohio University. These were received from every State in the Union and many foreign countries, and that we had mailed out over 30,000 applications for admission forms, and to-date we have received back over 13,400 applications for the Athens campus or one of our branch campuses.

Mr. Reese then pointed out that next year we are going to make a very complete study of the high school graduates in our off-campus branch areas, so that every qualified student in the area is informed of the higher education opportunities near him. The admission policies for the branches is the same as we have for the main campus, but we are making a definite attempt to interest qualified students in branch areas to go to college. We hope to exceed the national average of high school graduates going to college in this geographic area.

55

54

53

52

MINUTES—OHIO UNIVERSITY, Board of Trustees - May 25, 1966

Mr. Reese then reported that his office this year visited over 500 high schools. The high school visitation is the place that some of the so-called problem students can be discouraged from coming to Athens.

Mr. Reese then pointed out that one of the primary sources of students for the main campus in the future will be the transfer student. These students will be transferring in from two year junior colleges or branch campuses. This year our transfer applications were up almost 80%, and they will probably continue to increase in the future.

The Admissions Office has been making a definite attempt this year to interest outstanding high school graduates in Ohio University, and we are getting more than our share of this high quality student. Evidence of this is the fact that it now seems evident that 95% of the entering class of 1966 will be from the top half of their high school graduating class, as compared to last year's 84%.

One of the penalties of this selective admission policy is disappointed parents whose youngsters have been refused admission to the University. This office attempts to explain the problems to the various parents; however, we are receiving pressures from many people to waive our policy for certain individuals, but we have held firm on this policy.

President Alden then reported that this year there is a drop in the size of the high school graduating classes, and most universities have noted a decline in the applications received. In fact, the so-called Ivy League applications have dropped 17% for this fall's class. However, here at Ohio University our applications have increased over a year ago.

President Alden then reported that during the last few years, through the Admissions Office, we have been attempting to improve the quality of our freshman class; and through Tom Smith's efforts we have been trying to improve the quality of the new faculty members we are recruiting. We are also attempting to lift the level of aspirations of the students we have, by the establishment of the Honors College.

One of the subjective criteria used in rating the quality of a university is the number of their graduates who enter graduate school and the number of students who win so-called prestige scholarships, such as the Woodrow Wilson Fellowship, Rhodes Scholarship, Danforth Scholarship, etc.

The President reported he had compiled some figures from various universities going back as far as 1958. He pointed out that over the years Antioch College has produced 7 or 8 Woodrow Wilson Scholars each year, Kenyon has produced 5 or 7, the University of Michigan has averaged around 24, Oberlin has been averaging about 18, and Ohio State has been averaging about 9 a year, while Ohio University has been averaging 2 or 3. We have asked Dr. Samuel Jasper to head up the development of an Honors College here. One of the objectives of this College is to encourage students interest in applying for these scholarships, and I would like to have Dr. Jasper tell you a little about the Honors College and his experiences with it.

Dr. Jasper reported that the Honors College program was approved by the Executive Committee of the University and what is now the Faculty Senate, and went into effect in the spring of 1964, with the first students entering the program in the fall of 1964. To be eligible for this program students must have achieved a 3.5 average or better in his freshman year. They are then interviewed and if they demonstrate a willingness to accept the challenge of this program they are accepted into the Honors College. This program consists of a three year effort. The sophomore year is a program of special courses in the student's area of interest; these courses are taught on the seminar approach and the class enrollment is limited to from 12 to 20 so that each student has an opportunity to participate and get to know the professor well. In the junior year, in addition to some regular courses, the student participates in an exploratory reading program that is supervised by his faculty advisor with whom he meets periodically. The student is encouraged to decide upon an area that he is really interested in and we attempt to get the student to work with the outstanding professor available in this area. In the fourth year the student prepares a special research project for a bachelor's thesis, in addition to some regular study in his area of interest. The student is subject to a comprehensive, usually oral, examination in the area by 3 or 4 members of the department in which he is working. When the requirements of the Honors College are completed the student receives a degree with honors in his area of interest.

This program has been growing by leaps and bounds. We started in 1964 with 23 students, and in 1965 we enrolled 64 additional students. Thus far for 1966, 81 students have been accepted into the Honors Program. To indicate the achievement of students in the Honors College, last year we had a total of 84 students in the program, the first semester 16 of the 84 had straight A averages. It is from these students we hope to obtain one or two Rhodes Scholars and to increase the number of other prestige scholarships awarded to graduates of Ohio University in the near future.

Another advantage to the student of being in the Honors College is having certain graduate courses apply toward his Baccalaureate Degree and also to receive credit for these courses in the Graduate College.

52

51

50

49

MINUTES—OHIO UNIVERSITY, Board of Trustees—May 25, 1966

In addition to the Honors College we are trying this year a pre-Honors College experience for selected freshman students. A list of the top high school graduates entering the freshman class has been sent to me by the Director of Admissions, and I have tentatively selected 40 of these students to contact regarding a pre-Honors College program to start this fall, and I hope to interview these 40 incoming freshman during their pre-college visit in July and August.

Vice President, Thomas S. Smith then presented the names of the following persons who have retired or are retiring in the near future with a recommendation that they be elected Emeriti by the Trustees.

<u>Name</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>Date of Retirement</u>	<u>Years Service</u>
Miss Mildred Chutter	Assistant Librarian Emerita	July 1963	36
Miss June Southworth	Assistant Librarian Emerita	July 1965	34
Mr. William Fenzel	Professor Emeritus of Accounting	July 1966	48
Mr. Vincent Jukes	Associate Professor Emeritus Dramatic Art and Speech	July 1966	31
Mr. William Herbert	Associate Professor Emeritus of Accounting, and Purchasing Agent Emeritus	Sept. 1966	41
Miss Viola Nelson	Assistant Professor Emerita of Education	July 1966	26
Dr. Earl Seigfred	Dean Emeritus, College of Fine Arts, and Professor Emeritus of Fine Arts	July 1966	25
Dr. Loren Staats	Professor Emeritus of Dramatic Art and Speech	July 1966	36
Miss Marion Kirk	Assistant Catalog Librarian Emerita	July 1966	19

It was then moved by Mr. Hall, seconded by Mr. Stocker, and unanimously carried that the above persons be elected to the Emeriti title indicated after their name.

Vice President Smith then presented an outline of graduate programs developed by the Graduate College in Linguistics, which would lead to the awarding of the following degrees: Master of Arts in General Linguistics, Master of Arts in Applied Linguistics, Master of Arts in Teaching English as a Foreign Language, and Doctor of Philosophy in the area of Linguistics.

Dr. Smith reported these proposed programs had been inspected and approved by the Chairman of Indiana University's Linguistic Department and the Chairman of the Princeton University's Linguistic Department. After a discussion of this proposal it was moved by Mr. Herrold, seconded by Mr. Casto, and unanimously carried that the Graduate College programs leading to the Master of Arts in General Linguistics, Master of Arts in Applied Linguistics, Master of Arts in Teaching English as a Foreign Language, and Doctor of Philosophy in the area of Linguistics be approved and that the Graduate College be authorized to grant the above degrees to persons satisfactorily meeting the requirements of these programs.

Dr. Smith then reported that the University Research Committee had approved 22 faculty research proposals costing approximately \$50,000. He pointed out that this was already in the proposed budget for next year and requested specific Trustee approval of the appropriation of \$50,000. It was then moved by Mr. Stocker, seconded by Mr. Galbreath, and unanimously carried that \$50,000 be appropriated to the Research Committee to encourage faculty research during the 1966-67 fiscal year.

Dr. Smith then reported that the Dean of the Graduate College and the Treasurer have recommended the awarding of 40 graduate scholarships for the summer session of 1966, with the stipulation that each scholarship holder must carry a full graduate load of 12 hours. After a discussion of this proposal, it was moved by Mr. Galbreath, seconded by Mr. Hall, and unanimously carried that the awarding of 40 graduate scholarships for the summer session of 1966 be approved with the stipulation that each scholarship holder must carry a full graduate load of 12 hours during the summer session which consists of two 5-1/2 week summer terms.

Mr. Casto then reported on a meeting of the Architectural Committee held on May 2, 1966 in Columbus, Ohio, in which the Architectural Committee recommended the firm of I. C. Disher to prepare plans and specifications for the air conditioning equipment for Shively Hall. They also recommended the firm of Milosvich and Trautwein of Worthington, Ohio, to prepare plans, specifications and provide construction supervision of the Ohio University Branch Building to be located in Lancaster, Ohio. After a discussion of these recommendations it was moved by Mr. Casto, seconded by Mr. Hall, and unanimously carried that the Board of Trustees confirm the recommendations of the Architectural Committee in recommending to the Director of Public Works that the engineering firm of I. C. Disher be employed to prepare plans and specifications for the air conditioning equipment for Shively Hall, and that the

49

48

47

46

Architectural firm of Milosovich and Trautwein be recommended to the Director of Public Works for employment to prepare plans, specifications and supervise construction of the Ohio University Branch Building in Lancaster, Ohio.

Mr. Lausche was then called upon for a progress report on the construction projects. He reported that satisfactory progress was being made on the construction of the Science Center, the Heating Plant, dormitories Nos. 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, and 15, and that site preparation has been started on the Beasley Center. He further reported that in spite of some minor labor problems the branch buildings at Zanesville, Portsmouth, Belmont County and Chillicothe were all progressing on schedule.

Mr. Lausche then called the Board's attention to a list of approximately 100 items which are listed as 'Summer Projects and Repairs.' We hope to accomplish as many of these projects as possible within the next year.

Mr. Lausche then called the Board's attention to the long-range campus plan prepared by Caudill, Rowlett and Scott. He pointed out this generally followed the Board's instructions in developing an academic core surrounded by dormitory buildings, and parking and recreation areas beyond the dormitories. It was further pointed out that until some definite progress on the river channel improvements and moving the railroad tracks is made this campus plan can not be definitely tied down.

Mr. Galbreath noted that this was just a plan and was subject to change at any time. It was the consensus of the Board's opinion that this long-range plan was acceptable generally and should be generally followed in future building locations.

Mr. Lausche then presented a proposed easement from Ohio University to the City of Athens for construction of a water line which would benefit all of our buildings south of the present river. After a discussion of this easement, it was moved by Mr. Galbreath, seconded by Mr. Hall, and unanimously carried that the following easement be granted the City of Athens.

EASEMENT TO THE CITY OF ATHENS, OHIO

KNOW ALL MEN BY THESE PRESENTS, that the President and Trustees of Ohio University, the grantor herein, in consideration of \$1.00 and other valuable considerations to it paid by the City of Athens, Ohio, the receipt of which is hereby acknowledged, does grant to the City of Athens, Ohio, its successors and assigns forever, an easement over and through the following described premises being the City of Athens, County of Athens and State of Ohio, said easement being 30 feet in width, being 15 feet on either side of the following described line:

Beginning at a point in the center of the Hocking River, said point being 64 feet north of the south line of Outlot 102 and 52 feet east of the east line of said Outlot 102; thence south $3^{\circ} 54'$ west a distance of 177 feet to a point; thence south $6^{\circ} 36'$ east a distance of 229 feet to a point; thence south $34^{\circ} 21'$ east a distance of 472 feet to a point; thence south $79^{\circ} 21'$ east a distance of 95 feet to a point; thence south $89^{\circ} 21'$ east a distance of 289 feet to a point; thence south $54^{\circ} 51'$ east a distance of 139 feet to a point; thence south $79^{\circ} 51'$ east a distance of 216 feet to a point; said point being 15 feet west of the westerly right of way line of Richland Avenue and 76 feet south of the northerly line of Outlot 10; thence south $8^{\circ} 13'$ west a distance of 611.5 feet to a point, said point being 13 feet west of the westerly right of way line of Richland Avenue and 19.5 feet south of the southerly line of Outlot 7, and containing 1.53 acres more or less.

Said easement is for the construction and maintenance of a 14" water line with the right to repair and replace said line.

This grant is made on the express condition that said City of Athens, Ohio, shall as far as possible after the construction of said water line and all subsequent alterations or repairs thereunto, restore said property to a similar condition as existed prior to construction.

TO HAVE AND TO HOLD said premises to said grantee, its successors and forever, for the uses and purposes hereinbefore mentioned.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF; the said Vernon R. Alden as President of Ohio University and Paul R. O'Brien as Secretary of the Board of Trustees of said Ohio University have hereunto set their hands this day of May, 1966.

Signed and acknowledged in the presence of:

OHIO UNIVERSITY

By _____
Vernon R. Alden, President

By _____
Paul R. O'Brien, Secretary of The Board of Trustees

46

45

44

43

MINUTES—OHIO UNIVERSITY, Board of Trustees—May 25, 1966

STATE OF OHIO)
ATHENS COUNTY) SS

Before me, a Notary Public, in and for said county, personally appeared Vernon R. Alden, President of Ohio University and Paul R. O'Brien, Secretary of The Board of Trustees of Ohio University, who acknowledged that they did sign the foregoing instrument as such President and Secretary in behalf of said Ohio University and did sign said instrument by authority of its Board of Trustees and that said instrument is their free act and deed individually and as such President and Secretary and the free and corporate act and deed of the Ohio University.

IN TESTIMONY WHEREOF, I have hereunto subscribed my name and affixed my official seal on the day and year aforesaid.

Notary Public

Mr. Lausche then presented the following letter from the Director of Public Works for the State of Ohio, recommending the awarding of contracts for the new Library to the following companies:

STATE OF OHIO
DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS
Ohio Departments Building
Columbus, Ohio

May 18, 1966

Dr. Vernon R. Alden, President
Ohio University
Athens, Ohio

Re: 66-39 Project No. Ohio 2-0101
Library
Ohio University
Athens, Ohio

Dear Dr. Alden:

On May 17, 1966 bids were received by this Department on the above captioned project. After careful consideration, we wish to recommend the award of contracts to the following contractors whose bids were the lowest and best received.

<u>Contractor</u>	<u>Bid</u>	<u>Estimate</u>
Sheaf Construction Co. 1818 Joyce Avenue Columbus, Ohio		
ITEM #1 - General Contract	\$3,759,000.00	\$3,175,384.00
Alternate G-2 (Substitution of Asphaltic Concrete Pavement)	-3,000.00	-9,314.00
Alternate G-3 (Omission of One (1) Elevator)	-27,000.00	-24,500.00
Alternate G-4 (Omission of Carpeting)	-150,000.00	-156,400.00
Total General Contract	\$3,589,000.00	\$2,985,170.00
The Gesling Company 1856 West Main Street Lancaster, Ohio		
ITEM #2 - Plumbing & Fire Protection Contract	\$ 86,750.00	\$ 115,464.00
The Gesling Company 1856 West Main Street Lancaster, Ohio		
ITEM #3 - Heating, Ventilating and Air Conditioning Contract	\$ 529,250.00	\$ 583,009.00
Electrical Contractors, Inc. 1343 North Holly Street Columbus, Ohio		
ITEM #4 - Electric Contract	\$ 405,646.00	\$ 472,068.00
Alternate E-4 (Substitution of Fixture Lenses)	-12,000.00	-17,000.00
Alternate E-7 (Omission of One (1) Elevator)	-150.00	-500.00
Total Electric Contract	\$ 393,496.00	\$ 454,568.00
Total Contracts Recommended	\$4,598,496.00	\$4,138,211.00

43

42

41

MINUTES—OHIO UNIVERSITY, Board of Trustees—May 25, 1966

Associate Architect's Fee:

\$1,000,000.00 @ 6% =	\$ 60,000.00	
\$1,000,000.00 @ 5-1/2% =	55,000.00	
\$2,598,496.00 @ 5% =	<u>129,924.80</u>	
Total Architect's Fee	\$ 244,924.80	\$ 244,924.80
Less Amounts Previously Encumbered	<u>- 239,219.25</u>	
Additional Amt. Required	\$ 5,705.55	

State Architect's

Adm. Expenses

1st Million	\$ 6,500.00	
\$3,598,496.00 @ .25%	<u>11,496.24</u>	
Total State Architect's Fee	\$ 17,996.24	\$ 17,996.24
Less Amounts Previously Encumbered	<u>15,210.96</u>	
Additional Amt. Required	\$ 2,785.28	

Contingencies	<u>\$ 48,582.96</u>
---------------	---------------------

Total Recommended	\$ 4,910,000.00
-------------------	-----------------

Please note that Item #1 (General Contract) is in excess of the estimate of cost and is recommended for award subject to the approval of the State Controlling Board.

Only two (2) bids were received for the general construction work. The low base bid of Sheaf Construction Company is \$207,000.00 below the second bidder. The Project Architect's contacted other General Contractors in the Cleveland, Cincinnati, Dayton, Wooster and Columbus area and they all declined to submit a bid due to other commitments and the tremendous amount of construction work being bid. Based on the above, it is the opinion of this Department that readvertising of the general contract would not result in better bids.

If you concur in the above recommendations, please furnish this Department with the necessary encumbrance records and one (1) copy of Controlling Board Release of Funds and permission to award the general contract without competitive bidding.

Very truly yours,

Alfred C. Glenow
Director

Mr. Lausche pointed out that the General Contractor had bid approximately 20% higher than the estimate but the Director of Public Works recommended the acceptance of this bid as it was over \$200,000 below the second bidder and due to the present amount of new construction work available throughout the State it was felt that no money could be saved by rebidding the general contract.

After a discussion of the availability of funds to accept these bids, it was moved by Mr. Hall, seconded by Mr. Brown, and unanimously carried that the Director of Public Works be requested to enter into contracts with the contractors listed above in the amounts indicated for the construction of the Library.

Mr. Lausche then presented three bids that have been received by the University Purchasing Agent for the demolition of Boyd Hall and the Women's Gymnasium. The University Purchasing Agent recommended the awarding of the contract to the low bidder, Stalder Wrecking Company, The Plains, Ohio.

After discussion of this proposal, it was moved by Mr. Stocker, seconded by Mr. Galbreath, and unanimously carried that the Stalder Wrecking Company, The Plains, Ohio, be awarded the contract for demolition of Boyd Hall and the Women's Gymnasium at a price of \$2500.00.

Mr. Lausche then presented a recommendation from the Director of Public Works to award a contract to the Bergen Brothers, Inc. of Marietta, Ohio, for \$25,440.00 for the installation of air conditioning in Shively Hall. After a general discussion of this recommendation it was moved by Mr. Casto, seconded by Mr. Brown, and unanimously carried that the Bergen Brothers, Inc., 307 Third Street, Marietta, Ohio, be awarded a contract for the installation of air conditioning in Shively Hall in the amount of \$25,440.00.

The Chairman then called on Mr. Milar for a report on our Urban Renewal. Mr. Milar reported the following:

On May 3, Mayor Sheppard signed the Loan and Grant Contract which should be executed and returned by the Urban Renewal Administration in the near future.

Concurrently, and prior to June 1, the Urban Renewal Administration acquisitions staff will concur on prices to be offered property owners.

41

40

39

Offers should be made and acquisitions started on June 1. The local Urban Renewal Office will concentrate on the 40 individual lots comprising Parcel No. 3 in the Race Street area on which our Botany and Zoology Buildings will be located.

It is hoped that these acquisitions can be made and demolition contracts let by the end of September. There is, however, a great deal of concern by the local office over the availability of relocation housing in Athens at a time when other growth demands are at a peak.

Mr. Milar further reported that he anticipated no insurmountable problems with this project now.

Mr. Milar then reported on land acquisitions. He reported that the land for the Convocation Center site owned by Ohio University Fund, Inc. in the Woodland-Richland Avenue area had been purchased by Ohio University for \$476,302.00 in accordance with the action taken by the Board of Trustees at their October 16, 1962 meeting.

Mr. Milar then reported that the Lillian Pence property located on Woodland Avenue had been acquired for \$10,500. After a discussion of this purchase, it was moved by Mr. Casto, seconded by Mr. Brown, and unanimously carried that the action of the administrative officials in purchasing the Lillian Pence property on Woodland Avenue for \$10,500 be approved by the Board of Trustees.

Mr. Milar then presented a copy of a letter from the Attorney General to the Director of Public Works, in which the Attorney General recommended the purchase of the V. J. Stanson property, located on Woodland Avenue, for \$19,600.

OFFICE OF ATTORNEY GENERAL
STATE OF OHIO
COLUMBUS

May 23, 1966

Mr. Alfred C. Glenow
Director
Department of Public Works
Ohio Departments Building
Columbus, Ohio

Re: V. J. Stanson, et al.
Common Pleas Court No. 23918
Athens County, Ohio

Dear Sir:

The above styled case was filed in the Common Pleas Court of Athens County, the 6th day of April, 1966, to acquire the fee simple title by and through the Superintendent of Public Works of the State of Ohio for Ohio University. The owners timely filed and answered as required by Section 163.08, Revised Code.

The answer raised some preliminary questions concerning the agency's authority to appropriate and the compliance with Section 163.04, Revised Code. There is very little doubt that these questions would ultimately be determined in favor of the condemning agency. It is the opinion of the writer that the trial court's rulings on these preliminary questions are final appealable orders; therefore, there is always a possibility of a time lag in acquiring title to the property.

Considering that the subject property and the Hawk property are the only remaining properties to be acquired and the fact that there was no question raised except compensation in the other case, it would probably be prudent to settle the subject case.

The subject has an approved appraisal in the amount of \$17,000 and the owners demand is \$19,600. I understand that there was an offer made prior to filing in the amount of \$18,700. This is 10 per cent in excess of the appraised fair market value. The amount over the appraised fair market value is \$2600 or approximately 16 per cent above the appraised value.

Another consideration is that the contractor has been working on the site for some time. This could become of some embarrassment to the State of Ohio in the future if the acquisition of the title to subject property is delayed much longer.

Therefore, I suggest that the above facts be considered and believe it to be in the best interest of the State of Ohio to accept this offer of settlement.

Very truly yours,

William E. Saxbe
Attorney General

Walter J. Howdyshell
Assistant Attorney General

39

38

37

After further discussion of this property and the letter from the Attorney General it was moved by Mr. Brown, seconded by Mr. Casto, and unanimously carried that the administrative officials of Ohio University be authorized to purchase the V. J. Stanson property located on Woodland Avenue at a price of \$12,600.

Mr. Milar then reported that the Hawk property also located on Woodland Avenue was in the process of being appropriated in the Common Pleas Court of Athens County and that trial date had been set for June 13. There was a further discussion of this property, and it was then moved by Mr. Casto, seconded by Mr. Hall, and unanimously carried that the administrative authorities of Ohio University be authorized to acquire the Hawk property located on Woodland Avenue at the price determined by the Common Pleas Court of Athens County.

Mr. Milar then reported that the appropriation proceedings on the Rauch property was proceeding, but would probably not be completed for sometime yet as attorneys for Mr. Rauch have questioned the authority of the Director of Public Works to appropriate this property. It was determined to proceed in the regular manner with the appropriation.

Mr. Milar then presented a sale and lease proposal from Phi Epsilon Pi Fraternity for their property located at 46 East Union Street, with a lease back arrangement to the fraternity until the site is needed for construction purposes. Mr. Milar pointed out that this property had been appraised by Cecil Neff of Columbus, Ohio, at \$47,500.

After a discussion of this proposal, it was moved by Mr. Hall, seconded by Mr. Herrold, and unanimously carried that the administrative officers of Ohio University be empowered to purchase the property of the Phi Epsilon Pi Fraternity located at 46 East Union Street at a price not to exceed \$50,000, and with authority to lease back to the Phi Epsilon Pi Fraternity for a period not to exceed three years renewable on a year to year basis after that until Ohio University has definite plans to demolish and construct on the site. The rental agreement shall provide a net 6% return to Ohio University and it is understood that Phi Epsilon Pi shall pay all taxes, utilities, insurance, maintenance and shall indemnify and hold Ohio University harmless from their use and occupancy of the premises.

Mr. Lausche then presented a request for an easement from the Columbia Gas of Ohio that would permit them to lay a gas pipe line in the area of the South Green. This line will serve our new Science buildings and other construction we plan in the South Green Area.

After a discussion, it was moved by Mr. Galbreath, seconded by Mr. Hall, and unanimously carried that the Columbia Gas of Ohio be granted the following easement and the Secretary of the Board of Trustees be authorized to sign it in the name of the Board.

ROADSIDE RIGHT OF WAY

For and in consideration of One Dollar (\$1.00) to them in hand paid, receipt of which is hereby acknowledged OHIO UNIVERSITY (hereinafter called the Grantors) do hereby grant to COLUMBIA GAS OF OHIO, INC. (hereinafter called the Company), its successors and assigns, the right to lay a pipeline together with service connections along the public highway over and through the premises hereinafter described, and to maintain, operate, repair, replace and remove same, together with values and other necessary appurtenances, on lands in Lot _____ Section Athens Township, Athens County, Ohio, situated in Qr. Twp. No. _____ Township No. _____ Range No. _____ bounded and described as follows:

Gas pipeline to be constructed on the Ohio University property from the new Engineering and Science Building to University Terrace Street, Thence continuing in a westerly direction along and parallel to the B. & O. Railroad to the junction of Race Street. Said line is not to exceed ten (10) feet south of the B. & O. Railroad right-of-way.

With the right of ingress and egress to and from the same. Grantors may fully use and enjoy the said premises, except for the purpose hereinbefore granted to the said Company. The Company may replace or change the size of its pipes, without interruption to service.

In witness whereof, the Grantors have hereunto set their hands this _____ day of _____ A.D., 19____.

Signed and acknowledged in the presence of:

OHIO UNIVERSITY BOARD OF TRUSTEES

There then followed a discussion of the necessity to demolish Tupper Hall when the new Library is constructed as it would be located very close to the Library and would obstruct the traffic flow to the Library. Mr. Lausche reported it would be necessary to shore up this building when the Library was built due to the location so near the Library excavation, and the cost of shoring this up would be \$22,000.

After a further discussion, it was moved by Mr. Casto, seconded by Mr. Galbreath, that due to the physical condition of Tupper Hall and the congestion in this area of the campus after the construction of the new Library, that Tupper Hall be razed. On roll call the following votes were recorded: Brown, aye; Casto, aye; Galbreath, aye; Hall, aye; Herrold, aye; Kennedy, aye; Stocker, aye; Johnson, nay.

Mr. Milar then presented a proposal to extend the due date on Interim Financing on the CH-OHIO-173(D) Loan Agreement from June 1, 1966 to September 1, 1966, and an interest rate increase from 2.95% to 3.40%.

After a discussion of this proposal Mr. Johnson moved, seconded by Mr. Hall, that the following resolution be approved:

EXTENSION OF INTERIM FINANCING ON CH-OHIO-173-D

WHEREAS, the University has issued a \$32,50,000 interim financing note to the City National Bank and Trust Company of Columbus, which note is payable on or before November 30, 1965; and

WHEREAS, said interim financing note was extended to February 1, 1966 by action of the Board of Trustees at their meeting of November 11, 1965, and further extended to June 1, 1966 by action of the Board of Trustees at their meeting of March 16, 1966, and the extensions agreed to by the City National Bank and Trust Company of Columbus; and

WHEREAS, said interim financing note is payable from the proceeds of Housing and Dining Revenue Bonds, Series E and said bonds will be delivered on or about September 1, 1966; and

WHEREAS, this Board desires to extend the payment date of said note to September 1, 1966, and the City National Bank and Trust Company has consented to such extension provided the interest rate is increased from 2.95% to 3.40%;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Trustees of The President and Trustees of the Ohio University that the City National Bank and Trust Company of Columbus is hereby requested to extend the payment date of the \$3,250,000 interim financing note payable from the proceeds of the Housing and Dining Revenue Bonds, Series E from June 1, 1966 to September 1, 1966, and this Board does hereby approve such extension and an increase in interest rate from 2.95% to 3.40% and authorizes the officers of this Board and the University to execute any instruments and do all other necessary acts to effect such extension.

On roll call the following votes were recorded: Brown, aye; Casto, aye; Galbreath, aye; Hall, aye; Herrold, aye; Johnson, aye; Kennedy, aye; and Stocker, aye.

Mr. Milar then explained a proposed resolution authorizing the Executive Committee to take final action with regard to Housing and Dining Revenue Bonds Series E and modifications of the Series E Bond Resolution and Second Supplemental Trust Agreement. After this resolution was explained, it was moved by Mr. Stocker, seconded by Mr. Brown, that the following resolution be adopted:

RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE TO TAKE FINAL ACTION WITH REGARD TO HOUSING AND DINING REVENUE BONDS SERIES E AND MODIFICATIONS OF THE SERIES E BOND RESOLUTION AND SECOND SUPPLE- MENTAL TRUST AGREEMENT

WHEREAS, on September 17, 1964 this Board approved a resolution authorizing Housing and Dining Revenue Bonds Series E and a Second Supplemental Trust Agreement; and

WHEREAS, on November 11, 1964, this Board approved a resolution authorizing a Fourth Supplemental Trust Agreement which modified an original Trust Agreement dated January 1, 1962 and the first supplement thereto dated January 1, 1963; and

WHEREAS, the University has authorized and issued Housing and Dining Revenue Bonds Series F dated January 1, 1965; and

WHEREAS, on January 5, 1966, this Board approved a resolution repealing its resolution of September 17, 1964 authorizing Series E Bonds and Trust Agreement, and approved a revised Second Supplemental Trust Agreement and Series E Bond Resolution to reflect the issuance of Series F Bonds and the modifications of said Fourth Supplemental Trust Agreement; and

35

34

33

32

MINUTES—OHIO UNIVERSITY, Board of Trustees—May 25, 1966

WHEREAS, the revised Series E Bond Resolution and Second Supplemental Trust Agreement have been submitted to the Department of Housing and Urban Development, and the Department may request changes therein which may require approval by the University prior to the next meeting of that Board:

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Trustees of The President of the Board of Trustees of The Ohio University as follows:

Section 1. Unless and until this Board of Trustees shall further act to abrogate the authority herein granted to the Executive Committee of the Board of Trustees pursuant to Article VI Section 5 of the By-Laws of this Board of Trustees, the Executive Committee of the Board is hereby vested with full power to take final action without the necessity of any further approval by the Board (a) to change the Series E Bond Resolution and Second Supplemental Trust Agreement as approved January 5, 1966 in any manner requested by the Department of Housing and Urban Development and to take all actions on behalf of the Board of Trustees and The University as may be necessary to give effect to such changes and (b) to act on behalf of the Board of Trustees by amending or supplementing the Series E Bond Resolution and Second Supplemental Trust Agreement so as to reflect any such changes.

On roll call the following votes were recorded: Brown, aye; Casto, aye; Galbreath, aye; Hall, aye; Herrold, aye; Johnson, aye; Kennedy, aye; and Stocker, aye.

Mr. Milar then explained the necessity of action before the next meeting of the Board of Trustees on Loan Agreement CH-OHIO-202(D) after it has been approved by the Department of Housing and Urban Development. After a discussion of the authority needed, it was moved by Mr. Brown, seconded by Mr. Baxter, that the following resolution be approved:

RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE OF THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES TO APPROVE A LOAN AGREEMENT WITH THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT FOR DORMITORY PROJECT NO. CH-OHIO-202(D) AND TO TAKE FINAL ACTION WITH RESPECT TO MODIFICATIONS OF A FIFTH SUPPLEMENTAL TRUST AGREEMENT AND A BOND RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING HOUSING AND DINING REVENUE BONDS SERIES G AND H.

WHEREAS, the University has heretofore made application to the United States of America, Department of Housing and Urban Development, for a loan in the sum of \$4,000,000 to finance a part of the cost of construction of Dormitory No. 10 in the West Green Dormitory Development and Dormitories Nos. 11, 12, 13, 14, and 15 in the East Green Dormitory Development, which project has been designated by the Department of Housing and Urban Development as No. CH-202(D); and

WHEREAS, said loan has been approved by the Department but the loan agreement has not been received by the University for approval by the Board at this meeting; and

WHEREAS, on March 16, 1966 this Board passed a resolution approving a Bond Resolution and Trust Agreement between the University and The City National Bank & Trust Company of Columbus, Columbus, Ohio, authorizing and securing the Ohio University and its Board of Trustees Housing and Dining Revenue Bonds Series G and H; and

WHEREAS, said Bond Resolution and Trust Agreement have been submitted to the Department of Housing and Urban Development and such department may request modifications thereof prior to the next meeting of the Board;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Trustees of The President and Trustees of The Ohio University as follows:

Section 1. Unless and until this Board of Trustees shall further act to abrogate the authority herein granted to the Executive Committee of this Board of Trustees, pursuant to Article VI Section 5 of the By-Laws of this Board, the Executive Committee of the Board is hereby vested with full power to take final action without the necessity of any further approval by the Board (a) to approve a Loan Agreement in the amount of \$4,000,000 by The University and the United States of America, Department of Housing and Urban Development, for Project No. CH-OHIO-202(D) to be entered into pursuant to the application for such loan heretofore authorized by this Board, upon the terms and conditions offered by the Government and to exercise the full power of the Board of Trustees, without further approval by the Board, to do all things necessary and appropriate on behalf of the Board to enter into such loan agreement and authorize the execution thereof; and (b) to authorize revisions of the Series G and H Bond Resolution and Fifth Supplemental Trust Agreement described in the preamble hereto authorizing and securing bonds to be issued as evidence of said loan described in (a) above in any manner requested by the Department of Housing and Urban Development.

On roll call the following votes were recorded: Brown, aye; Casto, aye; Galbreath, aye; Hall, aye; Herrold, aye; Johnson, aye; Kennedy, aye; and Stocker, aye.

Mr. Milar then presented two proposals for the purchase of our Interim Financing Convocation Center Notes in the amount of \$7,000,000. One from the Chemical Bank of New York at an interest rate of 4-3/8% and the other from the Ohio Company at an interest rate for \$4,000,000 of 3-7/8% and \$3,000,000 at 4%, with the stipulation that the Ohio National Bank of Columbus will be appointed Paying Agent for the notes, also as Trustee of

32

31

30

MINUTES—OHIO UNIVERSITY, Board of Trustees - May 25, 1966

the Bond Issue, which will be sold at a later date for the purpose of paying this note issue. There was a discussion of these two proposals, and it was then moved by Mr. Hall, seconded by Mr. Brown, that the following resolution be adopted:

RESOLUTION

Pertaining to the Award of \$7,000,000 The Ohio University Convocation Center Notes of 1966

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Trustees of The Ohio University, as follows:

Section 1. This Board of Trustees does hereby approve the award of The Ohio University Convocation Center Notes of 1966 authorized by Resolution adopted January 5, 1966 as supplemented by Resolution adopted March 16, 1966, in the principal amount of \$7,000,000 to THE OHIO COMPANY, in accordance with their proposal dated May 25, 1966, a true copy of which is attached hereto and made a part hereof, and this Board does hereby approve the terms of such proposal in all respects, including the interest rate therein provided, and does hereby further supplement the aforesaid Resolutions to reflect the terms of such proposal.

Section 2. In addition to the provisions of the aforesaid Resolutions as to the deposit and investment of the proceeds of such notes, said proceeds may also be invested in bank certificates of deposit, subject to any applicable law pertaining to the securing of the payment of such certificates of deposit.

Section 3. It is also understood that the attached letter of explanation signed by the Treasurer is the parties interpretation of the paragraph in the Ohio Company proposal which reads as follows: "It is further understood that the Ohio Company shall have the first right to negotiate with the University for the purchase of bonds in anticipation of which the \$7,000,000 Convocation Center Notes of 1966 are issued."

THE OHIO COMPANY
INVESTMENTS

Main Office
51 North High Street
Columbus 15, Ohio

Mr. John F. Milar
Treasurer
Ohio University
Athens, Ohio

May 25, 1966

Dear Mr. Milar:

For \$7,000,000 Convocation Center Notes of 1966 of The Ohio University, to be issued pursuant to Resolution No. _____ duly adopted by the President and Board of Trustees of The Ohio University on March 16, 1966, to be dated June 15, 1966, to mature June 15, 1968, to be redeemable at the option of the University on June 15, 1967, or any interest payment date thereafter at par, with interest payable semi-annually beginning December 15, 1966, we offer to pay the sum of \$7,000,000 and accrued interest for Notes bearing interest at the rates set forth below and to be delivered as follows:

\$4,000,000 of said Notes are to bear interest at the rate of 3-7/8% per annum and are to be delivered to us as soon as practicable after the acceptance of this offer, and

\$3,000,000 of said Notes are to bear interest at the rate of 4% per annum and are to be delivered to us at such date as the funds are needed by the University for the purpose of constructing the project for which the Notes are issued, but not later than December 1, 1966 unless such date is extended by us in writing.

This offer is contingent upon The Ohio National Bank of Columbus, Columbus, Ohio, being appointed Paying Agent for the Notes and also as Trustee of the bond issue which is to be sold at a later date for the purpose of paying this Note issue.

It is further understood that The Ohio Company shall have the first right to negotiate with the University for the purchase of the bonds in anticipation of which the \$7,000,000 Convocation Center Notes of 1966 are issued.

If this proposal is accepted, we are to be furnished simultaneously with delivery of the Notes a certified transcript (including the usual "no litigation" certificate) of the proceedings relating to the issuance of said Notes and also the unqualified approving opinion of Messrs. Squire, Sanders & Dampsey, bond attorneys of Cleveland, Ohio, as to the legality of said Notes.

The cost of printing the Notes in such denominations as we may request, and the cost of the approving opinion are to be borne by the University.

Respectfully submitted,
THE OHIO COMPANY

By: (Signed - Dennis E. Murphy)
Senior Vice President

30

29

28

27

MINUTES—OHIO UNIVERSITY, Board of Trustees - May 25, 1966

OHIO UNIVERSITY
Athens, Ohio

May 27, 1966

The Ohio Company
51 North High Street
Columbus, Ohio

Attention: Mr. Dennis E. Murphy
Senior Vice President

Dear Mr. Murphy:

We accept your offer of \$7,000,000 for our Convocations Center Notes subject to interpretation of the paragraph on Page 2 of your letter referring to "the first right to negotiate with the University for the purchase of bonds..." to mean that if Ohio University chooses to advertise these bonds for public sale the paragraph does not pertain; and that further this paragraph shall not be construed to mean that the University must unequivocally negotiate and sell the bonds to the Ohio Company. We do interpret the paragraph to mean, however, that Ohio University would in good faith give the Ohio Company an opportunity to demonstrate that their offer to purchase would be a competitive one and would give them first right to make a proposal prior to the decision to advertise for public sale.

Very truly yours,

(signed - John F. Milar)
Treasurer

On roll call the following votes were recorded: Brown, aye; Casto, aye; Galbreath, aye; Hall, aye; Herrold, aye; Johnson, aye; Kennedy, aye; and Stocker, aye.

Mr. Milar then presented the following resolution concerning the new Music Building. It was then moved by Mr. Hall, seconded by Mr. Brown, and unanimously carried that the following resolution be approved:

RESOLUTION CONCERNING NEW MUSIC BUILDING

WHEREAS, it has been determined by the faculty and administration of Ohio University that the constructing and equipping of a new Music Building is most urgent to meet the critical need for music and classroom facilities, and is necessary to permit the acceptance of additional students, and is necessary to provide music practice and classroom facilities for the expansion of academic programs, and

WHEREAS, the General Assembly of the State of Ohio has recognized the need for these additional facilities in an appropriation of \$1,884,500 for the construction of said facility, and

WHEREAS, architectural services have been secured for the purpose of developing plans and specifications for said facility, such plans and specifications indicating that the amount appropriated by the General Assembly of the State of Ohio is inadequate to provide music practice and classroom facilities consistent with the rapidly increasing student body and faculty, and the expansion of programs of study, and

WHEREAS, the Congress of the United States passed the Higher Education Facilities Act of 1963, which makes available financial assistance for the construction of qualified college and university educational buildings.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the President of the University be authorized to approve final plans and specifications for the proposed music practice and classroom facility, and that said officer be authorized to make application to the United States Department of Health, Education, and Welfare for financial assistance for the constructing and equipping of the proposed Music Building in its entirety, and to provide the federal government with the necessary items of "assurance" required by the Higher Education Facilities Act, and any other certifications that may be required by any federal or state agency; and that the President be authorized to serve as the official representative of the University in all matters pertaining to such application; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the President of the University be authorized to do any and all things necessary for the implementation of construction contracts and the completion of the project as may be deemed necessary by agencies of the federal government or the State of Ohio.

Mr. Milar then presented a resolution concerning the new Library Building. It was then moved by Mr. Hall, seconded by Mr. Brown, and unanimously carried that the following resolution be approved:

27

26

25

RESOLUTION CONCERNING NEW LIBRARY BUILDING

WHEREAS, it has been determined by the faculty and administration of Ohio University that the constructing and equipping of a new Library building is most urgent to meet the critical need for Library facilities, and is necessary to permit the acceptance of additional students, and is necessary to provide study and classroom facilities for the expansion of academic programs, and

WHEREAS, the General Assembly of the State of Ohio has recognized the need for these additional facilities in an appropriation of \$3,180,000 for the construction of said facility, and

WHEREAS, architectural services have been secured for the purpose of developing plans and specification for said facility, such plans and specifications indicating that the amount appropriated by the General Assembly of the State of Ohio is inadequate to provide Library facilities consistent with the rapidly increasing student body and faculty, and the expansion of programs of study, and

WHEREAS, the Congress of the United States passed the Higher Education Facilities Act of 1963, which makes available financial assistance for the construction of qualified college and university educational buildings.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the President of the University be authorized to approve final plans and specifications for the proposed Library facility, and that said officer be authorized to make application to the United States Department of Health, Education and Welfare for financial assistance for the constructing and equipping of the proposed Library building in its entirety, and to provide the federal government with the necessary items of "assurance" required by any federal or state agency; and that the President be authorized to serve as the official representative of the University in all matters pertaining to such application; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the President of the University be authorized to do any and all things necessary for the implementation of construction contracts and the completion of the project as may be deemed necessary by agencies of the federal government or the State of Ohio.

Mr. Milar then presented a resolution concerning Educational Television Facilities. It was moved by Mr. Hall, seconded by Mr. Brown, and unanimously carried that the following resolution be approved:

RESOLUTION CONCERNING EDUCATIONAL TELEVISION FACILITIES

WHEREAS, the Radio and Television Department of Ohio University is in the process of preparing an application for federal assistance for the expansion of television facilities as provided under the Educational Television Facilities Act. Section 1, Item 13 of the application form specifies that we indicate that the filing of this application has been approved by the governing body of the University and that we include a certified copy of the minutes of the meeting in which such action was taken. This section also requires the naming of a representative authorized to file the application.

Because of our location, we may also apply for partial support of this project under the Appalachian Regional Redevelopment Act.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the President of the University is authorized to file an application with the Health, Education and Welfare Department of the Federal Government under the Educational Television Facilities Act and the Appalachian Regional Redevelopment Act for financial assistance to obtain television broadcasting equipment, in order to permit our Television Department to better meet its educational and community responsibilities.

Mr. Milar then presented a resolution concerning New Educational TV and Theatre Building. It was moved by Mr. Hall, seconded by Mr. Brown, and unanimously carried that the following resolution be approved:

RESOLUTION CONCERNING
NEW EDUCATIONAL TV AND THEATRE BUILDING

WHEREAS, it has been determined by the faculty and administration of Ohio University that the constructing and equipping of a new Educational TV and Theatre Building is most urgent to meet the critical need for educational television facilities, and is necessary to permit the acceptance of additional students, and is necessary to provide study and classroom facilities for the expansion of academic programs, and

WHEREAS, the General Assembly of the State of Ohio has recognized the need for these additional facilities in an appropriation of \$1,865,000 for the construction of said facility, and

WHEREAS, architectural services have been secured for the purpose of developing plans and specifications for said facility, such plans and specifications indicating that the amount appropriated by the General Assembly of the State of Ohio is inadequate to provide educational television facilities consistent with the rapidly increasing student body and faculty, and the expansion of programs of study, and

25

24

23

WHEREAS, the Congress of the United States passed the Higher Education Facilities Act of 1963, which makes available financial assistance for the construction of qualified college and university educational buildings.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the President of the University be authorized to approve final plans and specifications for the proposed educational television facility, and that said officer be authorized to make application to the United States Department of Health, Education, and Welfare for financial assistance for the constructing and equipping of the proposed educational television building in its entirety, and to provide the federal government with the necessary items of "assurance" required by the Higher Education Facilities Act, and any other certifications that may be required by any federal or state agency; and that the President be authorized to serve as the official representative of the University in all matters pertaining to such application; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the President of the University be authorized to do any and all things necessary for the implementation of construction contracts and the completion of the project as may be deemed necessary by agencies of the federal government or the State of Ohio.

Mr. Milar then presented a resolution concerning the Science Research Building. It was moved by Mr. Hall, seconded by Mr. Brown, and unanimously carried that the following resolution be approved:

RESOLUTION CONCERNING NEW SCIENCE RESEARCH BUILDING

WHEREAS, it has been determined by the faculty and administration of Ohio University that the constructing and equipping of a new Science Research Building is most urgent to meet the critical need for research and classroom facilities, and is necessary to permit the acceptance of additional students, and is necessary to provide study and classroom facilities for the expansion of academic programs, and

WHEREAS, the General Assembly of the State of Ohio has recognized the need for these additional facilities in an appropriation of \$309,000 for the construction of said facility, and

WHEREAS, architectural services have been secured for the purpose of developing plans and specifications for said facility, such plans and specifications indicating that the amount appropriated by the General Assembly of the State of Ohio is inadequate to provide research and classroom facilities consistent with the rapidly increasing student body and faculty, and the expansion of programs of study, and

WHEREAS, the Congress of the United States passed the Higher Education Facilities Act of 1963, which makes available financial assistance for the construction of qualified college and university educational buildings.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the President of the University be authorized to approve final plans and specifications for the proposed Science Research facility, and that said officer be authorized to make application to the United States Department of Health, Education, and Welfare for financial assistance for the constructing and equipping of the proposed Science Research Building in its entirety, and to provide the federal government with the necessary items of "assurance" required by the Higher Education Facilities Act, and any other certifications that may be required by any federal or state agency; and that the President be authorized to serve as the official representative of the University in all matters pertaining to such application; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the President of the University be authorized to do any and all things necessary for the implementation of construction contracts and the completion of the project as may be deemed necessary by agencies of the federal government or the State of Ohio.

Mr. Milar then presented a resolution concerning the new Botanical Science Research Building. It was then moved by Mr. Hall, seconded by Mr. Brown, and unanimously carried that the following resolution be approved:

RESOLUTION CONCERNING NEW BOTANICAL SCIENCE RESEARCH BUILDING

WHEREAS, it has been determined by the faculty and administration of Ohio University that the constructing and equipping of a new Botanical Science Research Building is most urgent to meet the critical need for research and classroom facilities, and is necessary to permit the acceptance of additional students, and is necessary to provide study and classroom facilities for the expansion of academic programs, and,

WHEREAS, the General Assembly of the State of Ohio has recognized the need for these additional facilities in an appropriation of \$250,000 for the construction of said facility, and

WHEREAS, architectural services have been secured for the purpose of developing plans and specifications for said facility, such plans and specifications indicating that the amount appropriated by the General Assembly of the State of Ohio is inadequate to provide research and classroom facilities consistent with the rapidly increasing student body and faculty, and the expansion of programs of study, and

23

22

21

WHEREAS, the Congress of the United States passed the Higher Education Facilities Act of 1963, which makes available financial assistance for the construction of qualified college and university educational buildings.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the President of the University be authorized to approve final plans and specifications for the proposed Botanical Science Research facility, and that said officer be authorized to make application to the United States Department of Health, Education, and Welfare for financial assistance for the constructing and equipping of the proposed Botanical Science Research building in its entirety, and to provide the federal government with the necessary items of "assurance" required by the Higher Education Facilities Act, and any other certifications that may be required by any federal or state agency; and that the President be authorized to serve as the official representative of the University in all matters pertaining to such application; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the President of the University be authorized to do any and all things necessary for the implementation of construction contracts and the completion of the project as may be deemed necessary by agencies of the federal government or the State of Ohio.

Mr. Lausche then presented architectural drawings showing the architects concept of the appearance of the Science Research Building and the Botanical Science Building. There was a general discussion of these renderings by the members of the Board of Trustees, and it was then moved by Mr. Brown, seconded by Mr. Herrold, and unanimously passed that the general architectural concept presented by Mr. Lausche of the Science Research Building and the Botanical Science Research Building be approved. 21

President Alden then reported that the Athens City School Board was again interested in selling their present athletic field, and inasmuch as it is surrounded on two sides by Ohio University property it should be acquired if possible by Ohio University. It was then moved by Mr. Galbreath, seconded by Mr. Hall, and unanimously carried that the administrative authorities of Ohio University be authorized to employ competent appraisers and determine the value of this property.

Vice President Hecht was then called upon for a report on his activities. He reported as follows:

AIRPORT: The preliminary report on the airport study by Barrett, Cargo, Withers and Associates, Ltd., consulting firm in Chillicothe, Ohio, has been accepted by Ohio University and the Ohio Department of Public Works. Three sites have been identified within a 10 mile radius of Athens as suitable ones for a new airport. One of these sites is our present airport. As soon as the FAA people have made an on-site visit, the engineers will proceed with the completion of the study which calls for developing an airport plan at one of the sites.

The 800 foot extension to our runway has been determined by the Ohio Department of Public Works to cost \$50,000. This figure does not include an extension of our taxiways. Negotiations are taking place with Governor Rhodes for funding this project. Any help in this respect will be greatly appreciated. The Governor has publicly stated that the 800 feet should be added to our runway. 20

FLOOD CONTROL: I recently appeared before the House and Senate sub-committees on Public Works appropriations in behalf of our Athens flood protection plan. \$175,000 for planning and design is in President Johnson's budget, and in the Committee appropriations budget of both the House and Senate. Our project is backed by National Rivers and Harbors Congress and the Ohio Valley Improvement Association in addition to support by various Congressmen. Appropriation for construction is expected in 1967 and the completion date of the project is still estimated by the Corps of Engineers for the spring of 1969.

HIGHWAYS: The Athens bypass system has been determined to be the east bypass system which will include the Appalachia Highway, rimming our campus around the south and east greens. We favor this plan and there will be some objections and possibly some legal moves by residents in the east end of Athens to try to delay the project. Starting and completion dates have not been announced by the Ohio Department of Highways although the plan itself was publicly announced by the Governor when he was in Athens. The Appalachia Highway from Jackson County to Albany is scheduled to begin this fall.

HOSPITAL: The local community drive for a new \$3,000,000 community hospital is making good progress. The doctors have pledged \$125,000 to the campaign and the university campaign will begin this month. Estimated completion date for the hospital is spring of 1969.

A proposal is being prepared, under our direction, to the Appalachia Commission for a demonstration regional health center in conjunction with the new community hospital. Such a center has great opportunities for Ohio University in training and research as well as great opportunities for a community in the area to have a strong medical services program. 19

This proposal will be submitted to the Commission in June and we should know sometime in July what the opportunities are for us to gain support for such a center.

RAILROAD: A contract has been awarded, with the approval of the Ohio Department of Public Works, to Auble, Burgess and Mitchell for a feasibility study of the relocation of the B. & O. Railroad. This was authorized by the Board in the amount of \$3,000. This engineering firm has a branch office in Athens but has its base operation in Cincinnati. The study will take 60 days but should be completed in time for the beginning of the Corps of Engineers work in designing the new river channel. 18

Mr. O'Brien then reported that the Board of Regents Master Plan for the State of Ohio has not yet been released by the Board of Regents and will probably be released at their June meeting. When it is released it will be distributed to the Board of Trustees for their information.

Mr. O'Brien then reported that in order to be able to enforce parking and traffic regulations on campus property it is necessary for the Board of Trustees to adopt traffic rules and regulations applying to the campus, and file these rules and regulations with the Secretary of State in Columbus, Ohio.

Mr. Brown then presented the following resolution:

RESOLUTION

BE IT RESOLVED that the following Motor Vehicle, Traffic and Parking Regulations be, and they hereby are, adopted as the Rules of The Board of Trustees of The Ohio University regulating and controlling the use of motor vehicles on the land and property of The Ohio University located in the City of Athens, County of Athens, and State of Ohio:

1.0 Statement of Intent and Purpose.

These motor vehicle, traffic and parking regulations of The Ohio University are enacted by The Board of Trustees of The Ohio University to facilitate the work of the faculty, staff and students, as well as other persons, on the lands and property of The Ohio University.

In general, it is the basis of these rules that the lands and property of The Ohio University and the use thereof are governed by The Board of Trustees of The Ohio University pursuant to the power and authority granted to The Board of Trustees of The Ohio University in Chapter 3337 of the Ohio Revised Code, and that motor vehicle, traffic and parking regulations are to be enacted pursuant to the direction and authority contained in Section 3345.04 of the Ohio Revised Code. Furthermore, the motor vehicle laws of the State of Ohio as set forth in Title 45 of the Ohio Revised Code are applicable and enforceable upon the lands and property of The Ohio University. Therefore, except where specifically modified herein, all applicable provisions of Title 45 of the Ohio Revised Code will be enforced on the lands and property of the Ohio University at all times.

2.0 Definitions.

2.1 General. The definitions of the various terms used in these regulations shall be the same as those provided in Chapters 4501, 4503, 4505, 4507, 4509, 4511, 4513, 4515, and 4549, of the Ohio Revised Code, as presently enacted or as amended from time to time hereafter, except such modifications thereof as are herein made specifically applicable to these regulations and the subject thereof, and, in such cases, the definition specified herein shall be applicable and applied.

2.2 "Land and Property of the University" shall mean all lands and all property belonging to The Ohio University and all property over which The Ohio University may have control.

2.3 "University" and "Board of Trustees" shall mean The Ohio University and The Board of Trustees of The Ohio University, respectively.

2.4 "Street or Highway" as used herein, or in Title 45 of the Ohio Revised Code as applicable to any part of the lands and property of the University shall also mean such portions of the land and property of the University as shall be improved and open for the use of, and upon which motor vehicles are permitted, from time to time, by virtue of these regulations.

2.5 "Roadway" as used herein, or in Title 45 of the Ohio Revised Code as applicable to any part of the lands and property of the University shall also mean such portions of the land and property of the University as shall be improved and open for the use of, and upon which motor vehicles are permitted, from time to time, by virtue of these regulations.

2.6 "Parking Zones" shall mean those portions of streets, highways or roadways on which the parking of vehicles may be permitted and regulated by the Board of Trustees or the Director of Security of the University.

2.7 "Parking Lots" shall mean those portions of the land and property of the University which shall, from time to time, be set aside for the parking of motor vehicles.

2.8 "Parking Area" shall mean any portion of the land and property of the University which shall, from time to time, be set aside for the parking of motor vehicles.

2.9 "Faculty Member" shall mean all persons employed by the University holding academic rank and privileges as defined and provided in the Rules of the Board of Trustees and the Handbook for the Faculty of the University.

For the purpose of these regulations the definition of "Faculty Member" shall include Administrative Officers of the University. When doubt arises as to the status of an individual the Parking Committee shall have the power to determine the status of a given individual.

2.10 "Staff Member" shall mean all other employees of the University who are not faculty members.

18

17

16

2.11 "Student" shall mean all persons who are registered as students of the University under any classification as to course, subject matter, or as full or part-time or special, as well as all persons who may not be registered as students but who attend courses regularly taught as part of the curriculum of the University.

2.12 "Visitor" shall mean all persons who are not either faculty members, staff members, or students.

3.0 Director of Security

3.1 Director of Security. The position of Director of Security has heretofore been created and is existing as an administrative position of the University and in addition to other powers which may have been conferred upon it shall have the powers and authority conferred upon it by these regulations.

The Director of Security may, by rule approved by the Board of Trustees:

3.2.1 Regulate the stopping, standing, or parking of vehicles on the roadways designated herein as those parts of the land and property of the University upon which vehicular traffic may be permitted;

3.2.2 Regulate traffic, by means of police officers and traffic control devices, on parts of the land and property of the University upon which vehicular traffic may be permitted;

3.2.3 Regulate or prohibit processions or assemblages on the parts of land and property of the University upon which vehicular traffic may be permitted;

3.2.4 Designate particular roadways as one-way roadways and require all vehicles thereon be moved in one direction.

3.2.5 Designate any roadway as through roadway and require all traffic to stop before entering or crossing the same, or designate any intersection as a stop intersection and require all vehicles to stop at one or more entrances to such intersections;

3.2.6 Regulate the use of roadways by vehicles.

No rules of the Director of Security enacted under these regulations shall be effective until the same shall have been approved by The Board of Trustees and until signs giving notice of such rules are posted upon, or at the entrance of the roadway or part thereof affected as may be most appropriate; provided, however, that in the event the Director of Security shall deem a particular rule to be necessary and proper and to be an answer to an emergency in which action must be taken to protect life and property, the rule so promulgated shall be effective on a temporary basis immediately upon the posting of signs giving notice of such rule or upon the posting of officers to direct obedience of such rule, and said rule shall remain in effect on such temporary basis until the Board of Trustees shall act thereon in its next regular session or meeting.

4.0 Parking Committee

4.1 Parking Committee. The Parking Committee has heretofore been created and is existing as a duly constituted committee of the University. In addition to other powers which may have been conferred upon it shall have the powers and authority conferred upon it by these regulations.

4.2 Powers of the Parking Committee. The Parking Committee shall have the power to regulate the assignments of motor vehicles to parking areas on the land and property of the University under and pursuant to these regulations and to orders of The Board of Trustees of the University from time to time given. The Parking Committee shall have such other and further powers as are specifically conferred upon it from time to time by The Board of Trustees by order and by direction through the President of the University or by virtue of these regulations.

5.0 Vehicular Traffic Regulations

5.1 Vehicular Traffic and Parking Prohibited.

All vehicular traffic is prohibited, and no person shall drive, operate or control, park, or otherwise use a motor vehicle upon the land and property of the University, except in the places and areas, and in the manner subject to the provisions of the regulations and rules of The Board of Trustees, the Director of Security, or the Parking Committee as hereinafter provided or may be hereafter provided.

5.2 Vehicular Traffic Permitted. Vehicular traffic shall be permitted on certain roadways which have been improved and designed for vehicular traffic and which are designated and located on a map of such land and property of the University, a copy of which is attached hereto and made a part thereof, as follows:

5.2.1 Drives and Roadways, unnamed, located in the East Green area and marked on said map. Certain roadways in the area have been designated and marked as one-way roadways and all traffic shall move in one direction only.

5.2.2 Roadway running in a southerly direction from E. Mill Street parallel to B. & O. Railroad, unnamed, but marked on said map.

16

15

14

5.2.3 Roadway to Rumac Community Center, unnamed, but marked on said map.

5.2.4 Drives and Roadways, unnamed, located in The College Green and marked on said map.

5.2.5 Drives adjacent to Baker Student Center, Seigfred Hall and Hudson Health Center, unnamed, but marked on said map.

5.2.6 Drives and Roadways, unnamed, located in the West Green area and adjacent Athletic Fields, and marked on said map. Certain roadways in the West Green area have been designated and marked as one-way roadways and all traffic shall move in one direction only.

5.2.7 Drives and Roadways, unnamed, located in the area of Porter Hall, Grover Center, Bird Arena and Peden Stadium and marked on said map.

5.2.8 Drives and Roadways, unnamed, in the area of the Service Shops, new Power Plant and Garage marked on said map.

5.2.9 Drives and Roadways, unnamed, located in the area of the East State Street married Student Housing and Trailer Park.

From time to time, and subject to the rule of the Director of Security, other areas of the land and property of the University may be opened to vehicular traffic under the control and direction of police officers and other employees designated by the Director of Security.

5.3 Ohio Motor Vehicle Laws and Traffic Regulations Applicable. Whenever and wherever vehicular traffic is permitted, or whenever and wherever any person is permitted to drive, operate or control, park, or otherwise use a vehicle upon the land and property of the University, the provisions of Title 45, of the Ohio Revised Code, as presently enacted or as amended hereafter from time to time, shall be applicable and enforced, except where herein specifically modified.

5.4 Speed Limits. All the said roadways and streets and highways designated for vehicular traffic on the land and property of the University are hereby declared to be School Zones as the same are designated in Chapter 4511, of the Ohio Revised Code, and the prima facie speed limit thereon shall be 20 miles per hour.

6.0 Parking Regulations

6.1 Parking Prohibited. No person shall park any motor vehicle or let any motor vehicle stop or stand on the land and property of the University except in areas and at the time and under the conditions set out in these regulations or by order of the Board of Trustees, the Director of Security or the Parking Committee.

6.2 Parking Permitted. Parking of motor vehicles may be permitted by rule of the Director of Security or the Parking Committee, approved by the Board of Trustees in and on the following areas of the land and property of the University as designated on the map of said lands and property of the University attached hereto and made a part hereof in 5.2 above.

6.2.1 On Roadways. Parking may be permitted on roadways where vehicular traffic is permitted by marking areas at the curb or edge of said roadways or by posting of signs at the entrance of said roadways pursuant to order of the Director of Security. No person shall stand or park a vehicle along side any section or portion of a curb officially painted yellow to prohibit parking.

6.2.2 On Parking Lots. Parking may be permitted on parking lots designated on the aforesaid map (attached hereto and made a part hereof in 5.2 above). In general these lots are:

A. In the College Green area adjacent to Ewing Hall, Carnegie Hall, Ellis Hall, Baker Center, Space Arts Building, Hudson Health Center, Agriculture Building, Music Hall, Scott Dormitory, Copeland Hall, Morton and Super Hall.

B. In the West Green area adjacent to Porter Hall, Bird Arena, Grover Center, Peden Stadium and south and west of the West Green Dormitory Complex.

C. Areas adjacent to the Industrial Arts Building, the Power Plant, Service Shops and Garage.

D. In the East Green area adjacent to McCracken Hall, the Ullom and Wolfe Street Apartments and north of Lakeview Apartments.

6.3 Parking Lots and Areas. The Parking Committee is empowered to divide the available parking areas on the land and property of the University into areas and permit parking by persons therein as follows:

6.3.1 Faculty Parking Areas. Faculty parking areas may consist of areas along roadways and certain parking lots, and the same shall be reserved for faculty members and certain staff members.

6.3.2 Faculty and Staff Parking Areas. Faculty and Staff parking areas may consist of areas along roadways and certain parking lots and shall be reserved for faculty members and staff members.

14

13

12

MINUTES—OHIO UNIVERSITY, Board of Trustees—May 25, 1966

6.3.3 Student Parking Lots. Student parking areas shall be parking areas reserved for students and certain faculty and staff members.

6.3.4 Pay Parking Facilities. Certain parking areas may be designated as pay parking facilities and a schedule of fees for parking shall be established for such parking. Parking in such areas may be open to all persons, or limited by the Director of Housing and/or the Parking Committee.

6.3.5 Visitor Parking Areas. Visitor parking areas shall be areas that have been designated and marked for visitor parking.

6.3.6 Football Parking. Public parking of motor vehicles while drivers or operators thereof attend football games or other athletic events on the land and property of the University may be permitted under such regulations and controls and for such fees as the Division of Athletics may establish.

6.3.7 Signs. Whenever the Parking Committee or the Director of Security makes or promulgates a rule providing that any area be limited to certain parking, said rule shall be effective when proper signs giving notice that parking is limited to certain persons or times have been erected in the area, or police officers of parking personnel are present and directing parking and traffic thereto and therefrom.

6.4 Registration of Vehicles and Permits Required. No faculty member, staff member, or student shall drive, operate or control, park, let stand, or otherwise use a motor vehicle on the land and property of the University even where permitted by these regulations, without first registering said motor vehicle with the Director of Security or his designee and obtaining a permit therefrom for the parking of said vehicle in a designated parking area. Visitors shall not be required to register or to obtain permits, but shall be required to park in designated areas and pay any fees required.

7.0 Faculty and Staff Registration.

7.1 Registration and Application. Registration of motor vehicles as required herein shall be made on forms prescribed and seeking information required by the Parking Committee.

7.2 Permits. Parking permits shall be issued to applicants in accordance with the particular classification of the applicant, and the criteria for such issuance established by rule of the Parking Committee. Such permits shall be evidenced by a decal to be placed upon the motor vehicle so registered in accordance with the rules prescribed by the Director of Security.

7.3 Permit Year. Such permits, when issued, shall expire on the June 30th next ensuing after issuance and shall be void thereafter.

7.4 Additional Motor Vehicles. A registrant who has already registered and obtained a permit for a motor vehicle may register and obtain permits for additional vehicles which may be used on the land and property of the University, upon registration thereof. It is expressly provided, however, that only one of the said motor vehicles shall be used on land and property of the University at any one time.

7.5 Special Permits. Special permits, allowing the holders thereof to park in areas other than those for which they already hold permits or are entitled to park under the rules of the Parking Committee may be issued in accordance with procedures established by rule of the Parking Committee and shall be effective for the period specified by the Parking Committee. Such permits may be issued after a showing generally of one or more of the following conditions:

A. Proof of physical disability to the satisfaction of the Parking Committee.

B. Official University duties or special working conditions that might indicate such special permit should be granted.

C. Such other special conditions approved by the Parking Committee.

7.6 Fees at Parking Facilities. Parking in Pay Parking Facilities, which are or may be established as provided in 6.3.4 hereof, shall be at the rates established from time to time by the appropriate administrative officers and/or University Committees.

8.0 Student Registration

8.1 Registration. Any student operating a motor vehicle in or about the Athens community must register his vehicle. This regulation includes student-owned vehicles, vehicles belonging to parents or relatives (including wives or husbands), vehicles belonging to friends, rental agencies, and dealers. This regulation applies to any student driving a family car registered in the name of a faculty or staff member. Registration regulations apply to permanent residents of Athens, married and graduate students and commuters. Such registration shall be made with the Director of Security or his designee.

8.2 Decals. Registration decals shall be issued to students by the Office of Security upon the filing of the registration form and the payment of the required fee.

12

11

8.3 Decal Year. Such decals when issued shall expire on the June 15th next ensuing after issuance and shall be void thereafter. Summer permits shall expire on the September 1st after issuance and shall be void thereafter.

8.4 Registration Fees. The fee for registration of student motor vehicles is \$5.00 for the academic year - September to June. If registered in the second semester the fee is \$3.00 for the remainder of the academic year. A temporary permit for a period of two weeks may be obtained for a fee of \$1.00. The fee for summer registration is \$1.00. A student who changes cars for any reason is not required to pay an additional fee providing he complies with the provisions set forth in part 9.0 hereof.

8.5 Special Decals. Special Decals, allowing holders thereof to park in areas other than those designated as student parking areas or other areas to which they are entitled to park under the rules set forth herein may be issued in accordance with procedures established by rule of the Parking Committee.

9.0 Display of Decals. Decals for the current decal year, when issued, shall be displayed in the lower right hand corner (Passenger Side) of the windshield of the motor vehicle for which issued, and no registrant shall fail to do so. If a motor vehicle for which a decal shall have been issued is transferred, the decal shall be removed from the vehicle and the remnants presented for issuance of a new decal for the new vehicle. Students who fail to present such remnants will be charged \$2.00.

9.1 Permits for Rental Parking Spaces must be displayed as provided in 9.0 hereof.

9.2 Parking Outside Designated Areas. No person shall park a motor vehicle on the land and property of the University outside the area designated for which he has a permit issued pursuant to these regulations or in which he may be permitted to park such a vehicle in accordance with these regulations. No person permitted to park in a metered area pursuant to these regulations shall park a motor vehicle in such area longer than the time for which he has paid a fee. No person parking in a rental Parking Facility shall fail or refuse to pay the prescribed fee therefor.

9.3 Regulated Parking Times. Parking shall be permitted in areas designated herein only at the following times and under the following conditions:

9.3.1 Parking regulations shall be enforced at all times whether University classes are in session or not.

9.3.2 From the hours of 6:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m., Monday through Friday, after 12:00 noon on Saturday and all day Sunday, Faculty permit-holders may park in any lot.

9.3.3 Staff Permits. Staff permit holders shall be limited to designated permit zones at all times.

9.3.4 Student parking shall be limited to student parking lots and limited time parking zones except no student shall drive or park on the College Green or use areas reserved for visitors.

9.3.5 On days of home football games there shall be no parking of vehicles in the stadium area after 12:01 a.m. except as permitted, and at the fees prescribed by the Division of Athletics.

9.3.6 Parking on the roadways of the West Green shall be limited to fifteen minutes at all times. On the roadways of the East Green parking shall be limited to fifteen minutes between the hours of 8 a.m. and 8 p.m. Parking in the drive in front of Grover Center shall be limited to fifteen minutes. All permit holders, students and visitors may park in these areas.

9.3.7 Parking will be permitted for a period of 20 minutes for faculty and staff permit holders and visitors on University business in the area adjacent to southeast side of Ewing Hall. Faculty and Staff permit holders and visitors having business with the University will be permitted to park for a period of 30 minutes in the designated spaces adjacent to Haning Hall.

9.3.8 Certain parking areas, by rule of the Parking Committee, made from time to time, may be reserved for parking only by faculty members and staff members at all times or at special times. In the event such rules are promulgated, said rules shall be effective upon the placement of signs giving notice of such reservation and limitation on parking at the parking areas so selected.

9.4 Parking at Short Courses, Workshops, & Conference Meetings. The Director of Security shall establish rules by which arrangements shall be made to provide parking for visitors who are invited to attend and take part in short courses, workshops, and other conference meetings. In general, said rules shall provide that individuals or departments planning and arranging such meetings shall inform the Director of Security at least ten days prior to the commencement of the scheduled event, as to the location of the event, the number of persons involved, the approximate number of vehicles involved, and such other information requested. Upon receipt of the said information, arrangements shall be made to provide parking areas for the persons participating, and appropriate permits will be issued designating the areas in which those persons shall park their vehicles.

10

9

10.0 Procedure.

10.1 Police Powers. The Director of Security and his officers are authorized and directed to enforce these regulations by arrest on sight of anyone found violating the laws and regulations of the State of Ohio or these regulations, or, in the alternative, to serve notice of such violations either upon the person found violating the same or upon the motor vehicle found in violation of the same. Said notices, if served, shall provide notice of the violation claimed, the location of the violation, and the time of the violation. Such notices, if served, may be of the type and form requiring the appearance in the proper court of this state of the person responsible for the violation or responsible for the vehicle found in violation. Disposition of this type notice shall follow rules of the court. 9

10.2 Election to Pay Penalty. A person upon whom such notice is served or the owner of a vehicle upon which such notice is served, may, if he elected to do so within forty eight hours of service of such notice, Saturdays, Sundays, and Holidays excluded, pay the penalty for such violation so prescribed in order to avoid further prosecution for such violation. Such penalties shall be paid to the Office of the Director of Security of the University at such hours and at such places as the Director of Security shall by rule prescribe. If such payment of the prescribed penalty is made, further prosecution of such violation shall be waived by the University.

10.3 Election for Appeal of Notice. Any person upon whom or upon whose motor vehicle a notice of violation has been served may, within forty eight hours of such service, Saturdays, Sundays, and Holidays excluded, elect to file an appeal on the service of the notice or on the merits of the claimed violation, by filing such appeal on a form prescribed by, and pursuant to procedures established by, the Director of Security. Said form shall contain, among other things, identification of the violation notice and any facts pertinent to the merits of the claim on which the applicant bases his appeal.

10.3.1 Faculty and Staff. If the person filing an appeal be a Faculty or Staff member then his appeal shall be considered by the parking committee.

10.3.2 Students. If the person filing an appeal be a student then his appeal shall be considered by the Student Vehicle Violation Committee and shall follow the rules prescribed by the committee.

10.3.3 Others. If the person filing an appeal be any person other than a student, then his appeal shall be considered by the Parking Committee and shall follow procedures prescribed by rule of the Parking Committee.

10.3.4 Subject Matter of Appeal. Such appeals as may be filed hereunder shall be directed only to the merits of service of the violation notice or whether such violation as claimed was committed by the person upon whom, or by the motor vehicle upon which, the violations notice was served. In such appeals, the Director of Security shall present a prima facie case of service and of the violation by showing the findings of the violation and the service of the notice; the burden to show the failure of service or the merits of the non-violation shall then shift to the person filing the appeal. If the facts developed appear to show that the violation was committed by the motor vehicle in question, but that the owner or registrant thereof contends that he is not responsible for said violation, such defense shall be available only if the owner or registrant shall disclose and prove who the driver of the motor vehicle or the person who committed the violation was.

10.3.5 Disposition of Appeal. Upon consideration of the appeal the Student Vehicle Violations Committee or the Parking Committee shall dispose of the case by: 8

A. Finding that the violation was committed as charged and determining the penalty to be assessed, whereupon the finding and assessment shall be certified to the Director of Security for enforcement in due course. In such event, the person charged may elect to pay the penalty as provided in 10.2 hereof to avoid further prosecution.

B. Finding that the violation was not committed as charged and in such event the notice of violation shall be withdrawn.

10.4 Effect of Failure to Pay Penalty or to Request a Hearing. If a person who is served with a notice of violation, or upon whose vehicle a notice is served, fails to elect to pay the penalty prescribed or fails to elect to file an appeal, as provided in 10.3 hereof, the University may elect to treat such failure on the part of such person as an admission of said violation and a consent to the assessment of the prescribed penalty, and the University may proceed to enforce the same pursuant to 10.5 hereof.

10.5 Enforcement by Director of Security. If a person upon whom a violation notice is served, or the owner of a vehicle upon which a violation notice is served, shall fail, within 48 hours, Saturdays, Sundays, and Holidays excluded, after such service, to pay the prescribed penalty or to request a hearing as herein provided, or after such hearing shall have been determined against him shall fail to pay the penalty prescribed therein, the Director of Security shall be empowered and directed to pursue any of the following procedures for the prosecution of the violation:

10.5.1 Students. If the accused person be a student, the Director of Security may: 7

A. Certify the violation and the prescribed penalty to the Registrar and the Registrar shall withhold such student's registration for semesters subsequent to the certification or his graduation from the University, or both, until the prescribed penalties have been paid; or

B. Refer the violation and the prescribed penalty to the Dean of Students office for disciplinary action and revocation of registration and driving privileges; or

C. Prosecute the violation in a proper court of this state by filing with said court an affidavit charging the offense and following procedures in such cases made and provided.

10.5.2 Faculty Members and Staff Members. If the accused person be a faculty member or a staff member, the Director of Security may:

A. Certify the violation and the prescribed penalty to the Parking Committee and the committee may, at its' discretion revoke the parking privileges for said person. The committee shall withhold vehicle registration and permits for said person in subsequent registration and permit years until the penalties shall have been paid; or

B. Prosecute the violation in a proper court of this state by filing with said court an affidavit charging the offense and following procedures in such cases made and provided.

10.5.3 Other Persons. If the accused person be a person other than a student, faculty member, or staff member, the Director of Security may prosecute said violation in a proper court of this state by filing with said court an affidavit charging the offense and following procedures in such cases made and provided.

10.6 Ultimate Disciplinary Action. In any event, and in addition to other powers of enforcement, any person violating the provisions of these regulations, if he be a faculty member, staff member, or student, shall be subject to such disciplinary action by University authorities as may be provided by the Rules of the Board of Trustees, the Rules of the Faculty of the University, or the Student Rules, as may be deemed appropriate by the disciplinary authorities as provided in the said rules. The Director of Security shall have the authority and is directed to initiate such disciplinary procedures in cases provided in these regulations or in such cases as are deemed appropriate under the rules.

10.7 Presumptions. If any motor vehicle be found upon the lands and property of the University in violation of any of the regulations of the State of Ohio, or its traffic laws, or of these regulations, the owner thereof or the registrant thereof, if the vehicle be registered under these regulations, shall be held prima facie to be the person driving, operating or controlling, parking or otherwise using the said vehicle and committing the violation. Furthermore, if such motor vehicle be owned by any member of a family to which a student, faculty member or staff member shall belong, the said student, faculty member, or staff member shall be held prima facie to be the person driving, operating, controlling, parking or otherwise using the said motor vehicle and committing the violation.

10.8 Impounding Procedures. In addition to other powers of enforcement, the Director of Security shall have the power and is directed to impound motor vehicles in the following instances:

10.8.1 If a motor vehicle is parked in front of a fireplug, within thirty feet of a Stop sign or traffic control sign; or

10.8.2 If a motor vehicle is parked in such a way as to block a driveway, to block a service entrance, or to create a hazard to public safety; or

10.8.3 If an improper state license tag, or if no state license tag is displayed on the vehicle; or

10.8.4 If a motor vehicle is parked in an area for which "No Stopping Anytime" signs are erected; or

10.8.5 If more than three unpaid or unsettled violation notices have been issued against the motor vehicle; or

10.8.6 If a motor vehicle registered by a student and permitted to park in student parking areas shall be parked in a faculty, staff, or visitor parking area contrary to these regulations; or

10.8.7 If a motor vehicle is parked in a designated football parking area on days of home football games, contrary to these regulations; or

10.8.8 If the owner of a motor vehicle has failed or refused to pay fees charged to any pay parking facility.

In the event a motor vehicle is so impounded, the Director of Security shall assess an impounding fee against such motor vehicle and such motor vehicle shall not be released until such impounding fee is paid.

11.0 Prohibitions and Penalties.

7

6

5

11.1 Giving False Registration Information. No person shall give false information when registering a motor vehicle or applying for a permit or decal as provided in these regulations, and if such information is given by any person, the registration and permit of such person shall be referred to University authorities, pursuant to 10.6 hereof, for such disciplinary action deemed appropriate.

11.2 Defacing, Reproducing Parking Permits or Using Revoked Permits. No person shall reproduce, deface or use a parking permit or decal contrary to these regulations and no person shall use a permit or decal after the same has been revoked pursuant to these regulations. Any person doing so shall be issued a citation to the proper court of this state for such offense, or referred, pursuant to 10.6 hereof, to proper University authorities for such disciplinary action deemed appropriate, or both.

11.3 Vehicle Not Registered. Any person driving, operating or controlling, stopping, parking, or otherwise using a motor vehicle and who is required by these regulations to register the same and procure a permit therefor, contrary to 6.4 hereof, shall be assessed a penalty of \$25.00, or referred to proper University authorities for disciplinary action deemed appropriate, or both.

11.4 Driving or Parking Outside Permitted Areas. Any person driving, operating or controlling, parking, stopping, or otherwise using a motor vehicle outside permitted areas contrary to the provisions of 5.1 and 6.1 hereof, shall be permitted to pay the prescribed penalty to avoid prosecution, or shall be prosecuted pursuant to 10.5 hereof.

11.5 Permit Not Displayed. Any person failing to attach and display his permit decal in violation of 9.0 hereof shall be permitted to pay a penalty of \$5.00 to avoid prosecution, or shall be prosecuted pursuant to 10.5 hereof.

11.6 Penalties Not Prescribed Elsewhere. Any person violating any provision of these regulations or a State law or traffic regulation for which a penalty is not provided elsewhere herein, shall be permitted, in order to avoid prosecution, to pay the penalty for such violation as shall be provided and set forth for such violation in 11.7 below, or shall be prosecuted for said violation pursuant to 10.5 hereof.

11.7 Prescribed Penalties. As provided in 10.2 these regulations or of State law or traffic regulations are provided as those which a person accused of violation shall be permitted to pay to avoid further prosecution for said violation:

11.7.1 Faculty and Staff

A. The first citation for violation of the regulations will be considered a warning and automatically excused by the Office of Security.

B. All subsequent violations shall be \$1.00 each.

C. Faculty and Staff members who receive more than 8 unexcused violations during the regular school year, September 15, to June 30, or 4 violations during the summer July 1, to September 15, will be referred to the Parking Committee for possible revocation of Parking privileges.

11.7.2 Students. Penalties for violations of these regulations shall be as follows:

First offense	1.00
Second offense	2.00
Third offense	3.00
Fourth offense	4.00
Fifth offense	5.00
Sixth offense	6.00 - driving privileges revoked for balance of school year.

For students registering cars during second semester:

Schedule of fines same as above except driving privileges revoked on fourth offense.

Penalties automatically double if not paid within 48 hours, Saturdays, Sundays, and Holidays excluded.

11.7.3 Others. The penalty for violation of these regulations for persons other than faculty, staff, or students shall be \$1.00.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the rules and regulations of the Parking Committee and the Director of Security in interpretation of and pursuant to the authority contained in the aforesaid Motor Vehicle, Traffic and Parking Regulations and contained in the two pamphlets and maps, entitled, respectively, "Faculty and Staff Motor Vehicle Regulations," "Student Motor Vehicle Regulations", which are attached hereto and made a part hereof are approved and made a part of the said Motor Vehicle, Traffic and Parking Regulations of the Ohio University, and.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Secretary of the Board of Trustees of The Ohio University is hereby authorized and directed to file this resolution as the Motor Vehicle, Traffic and Parking Regulations of The Ohio University as promulgated by the Board of Trustees

5

4

3

MINUTES—OHIO UNIVERSITY, Board of Trustees - May 25, 1966

of The Ohio University with the Secretary of State of Ohio and to do and file such other and further papers and documents as may be necessary and proper to comply with Ohio Revised Code, Section 111.15, and to make these regulations binding and in force according to law.

The said Paul R. O'Brien, as Secretary of the Board of Trustees of The Ohio University further certifies that the foregoing is a true copy of the resolution of the said The Board of Trustees of The Ohio University adopted as an act of said The Board of Trustees of The Ohio University on the day and at the meeting above noted and that the same are a part of the official proceedings of the said Board and that the same have not been modified, amended, or repealed and remain in full force and effect.

IN WITNESS THEREOF, the said Paul R. O'Brien, Secretary of the Board of Trustees of The Ohio University has signed his name and caused the Seal of The Ohio University to be affixed hereto on the _____ day of May, 1966.

Paul R. O'Brien, Secretary
Board of Trustees of The Ohio
University

ATTEST:

Chairman of The Board of
Trustees of The Ohio University

Attachment: (pamphlet)

FACULTY AND STAFF MOTOR VEHICLE REGULATIONS

Impounding Procedures: All vehicles parking on University property must bear current license plates. Vehicles on University property without current and/or valid license plates will be removed to an impound area designated by the Office of Security and, in addition to the towing charge, a fee of 25 cents per day charged for each day the vehicle is unclaimed. The registered owner of such vehicle will be sent one notice by mail and if not claimed within the period prescribed by law, such vehicle will be sold under the provisions of the Revised Code of Ohio.

Addition to Faculty and Staff Motor Vehicle Regulations: The following regulation was adopted by the Parking Committee 6-11-65.

Ohio University Personnel who receive more than 8 unexcused violations during the regular school year, September 15 to June 30, (4 violations during the summer July 1 to September 15) will be referred to the Parking Committee for possible revocation of parking privileges.

General Information:

The Motor Vehicle Regulations of Ohio University are intended to benefit all faculty, administrative staff and non-academic personnel through control of the parking of motor vehicles.

Standard traffic regulations and definitions as enacted into the Motor Vehicle Laws of the State of Ohio will be enforced on University property at all times.

The speed limit on all grounds of Ohio University is 20 miles per hour.

The University assumes no responsibility for the care or protection of any vehicle or its contents at any time it is operated or parked on University property.

A University police officer may have a motor vehicle removed or immobilized if found parked in violation of regulations. The cost of such removal or immobilization will be at the expense of the owner or operator.

Parking Regulations:

Motor vehicles operated by faculty, administrative staff and non-academic personnel on the grounds of Ohio University are subject to the following regulations regarding registration, operation, and parking as prescribed by the Parking Committee of Ohio University. Parking regulations will be enforced at all times.

Regulations require all faculty members, administrative staff and non-academic personnel to register any motor vehicle they expect to park on University property.

The holder of a permit for a particular area cannot give permission to park in his assigned area to anyone else.

The registrant of a motor vehicle is presumed to be responsible for any violations incurred by that motor vehicle.

Driving or parking motor vehicles on sidewalks, lawns and other areas not designed for driving or parking is prohibited.

All signs on University property for the regulation and control of traffic and parking must be observed.

SECTION I - Registration

Part I

Faculty and Administrative Staff - Vehicles may be registered and permits obtained at the Office of Security at no charge to the registrant.

Non-Academic Personnel - Vehicles may be registered and permits obtained at the Business Manager's Office at no charge to the registrant.

Part II

The registration year for permits is from July 1 to June 30. The permit must be placed in the lower right hand corner of the windshield (passenger side) after the expired permit has been removed. State law prohibits the placing of the permit elsewhere on the vehicle glass.

A duplicate sticker will be issued to those persons who drive two cars. Under no circumstances, however, are the two cars to be on University Property simultaneously.

Whenever there is a transfer of motor vehicles, the old sticker must be removed and destroyed and a new sticker will be issued.

SECTION II - Parking Areas

Part I - Faculty and Administrative Staff

Permits are issued for a particular area which is assigned generally on the basis of seniority and location and in accordance with procedures established by the Parking Committee. Permits are valid only in assigned areas between 6:00 a.m. and 4:00 p.m. Monday through Friday, and 6:00 a.m. to 12:00 noon Saturday. After 4:00 p.m. week days and 12:00 noon Saturday, holders of faculty permits may park in any University parking area.

Baker Center: From 11:30 a.m. to 12:45 p.m. Monday through Friday it will be permissible for holders of faculty permits to park in the Baker Center parking lot if space is available.

Part II - Non-Academic Personnel

Permits are issued for a particular area which is assigned generally on the basis of location to place of work and in accordance with procedures established by the Parking Committee. Permits are valid only in assigned areas and in student lots.

It is not permissible for those employed on the Main Campus to be picked up or discharged on the Main Campus by drivers of either private or public motor vehicles.

Part III - Special Parking Areas

A. Main Campus: Holders of faculty and staff permits who have University business to conduct on the Main Campus, that requires use of their vehicle, may park for a period of 20 minutes in the area located at the southeast corner of Ewing Hall.

B. Grover Center: Parking is permitted for all permit holders in the drive in front of Grover Center for a period of 15 minutes.

C. Parking is permitted for all permit holders in the 30 minute zone behind the Speech Building.

VIOLATIONS

Vehicles parked in violation of regulations will be subject to a traffic citation. Registrants of such vehicles will be subject to the following penalties.

Penalties:

1. The first citation for violation of the regulations will be considered a warning and automatically excused by the Security Office.
2. All subsequent citations will be subject to a fine of \$1.00 for each citation.
3. Fines will be collected in the Security Office and must be paid within the time limitations set forth on the citation. One notice will be sent to those who are delinquent in paying fines.
4. Registrants who have delinquent traffic violations, and who have not responded to a notice of their delinquency, will be referred to the Parking Committee for possible revocation of parking privileges.
5. Any registrant who has had parking privileges revoked by the Parking Committee, and subsequent to such revocation parks on University property, will be subject to towing of his vehicle and/or a citation to the Athens Municipal Court.
6. A registrant who receives a citation and believes there is justification for the unauthorized parking may appeal in writing to the Director of Security giving the reasons for his action. If these involve performance of University business requiring emergency or unusual use of an unauthorized area the Director of Security may excuse the violation.

The Director of Security at his discretion may refer appeals to the Parking Committee for consideration.

Parking for Guests, Workshops, and Conferences, etc.

Guests, persons attending workshops, and conference participants will be required to display a parking permit if they desire to park on University property.

Those individuals and/or Departments who plan to have guests, conduct night classes, workshops, conferences, or hold meetings to which persons from off-campus are invited are request to inform the Security Office by letter or by phone at least ten days prior to the opening of the contemplated event, as to the location of the event, number of persons involved approximate number of automobiles involved, etc. Upon receipt of this information, arrangements will be made by the Security Office to provide parking areas for those persons participating, and if necessary, appropriate permits will be issued designating the area in which they will park.

It is essential that these arrangements be made in advance in order to avoid any embarrassment to those coming to such events at the invitation of the University.

Note: THESE REGULATIONS APPLY TO MOTORCYCLES AND MOTOR SCOOTERS.

(Attachment) STUDENT MOTOR VEHICLE REGULATIONS

For the purposes of these regulations, a motor vehicle is defined as any vehicle propelled or drawn by any form of motor or engine, said vehicle having two or more wheels and capable of transporting one or more persons.

Vehicle regulations of Ohio University currently in effect or hereafter established, as well as standard traffic regulations and definitions as enacted into the Motor Vehicle Laws of the State of Ohio will be enforced on University property at all times.

The speed limit on all grounds of Ohio University is 20 miles per hour.

Driving or parking motor vehicles on sidewalks, lawns and other areas not designed for driving or parking is prohibited.

All signs on University property for the regulation and control of traffic and parking must be observed.

The University assumes no responsibility for the care or protection of any vehicle or its contents at any time it is operated or parked on University property.

A University police officer may have a motor vehicle removed if found parked in violation of regulations.

The cost of such removal will be at the expense of the owner or operator. Any vehicle parked in violation of regulations may be immobilized.

Section 1 - Registration of Student Motor Vehicles

A. All students operating a motor vehicle in or about the Athens community must register their vehicle and are subject to the Student Motor Vehicle Regulations. This regulation includes student owned vehicles, vehicles belonging to parents or relatives (including wives), vehicles belonging to friends, rental agencies, and dealers. This regulation applies to any student driving a family car registered in the name of a faculty or staff member. Registration regulations apply to permanent residents of Athens, married and graduate students and commuters.

B. Fee

The fee for registration of student motor vehicles is \$5 for the academic year - September to June, if registered in the second semester the fee is \$3 for the remainder of the academic year. A temporary permit for a period of two weeks may be obtained for a fee of \$1.

A student who changes cars for any reason is not required to pay an additional fee providing he complies with the provision of Section 1, Part D, Paragraph 3.

The registration fees must be paid during registration week of the semester. If a vehicle is brought to Athens after registration week of the semester, it must be registered within twenty-four hours, Saturday and Sunday excluded, at the Office of Security.

C. Summer Registration of Automobiles

All motor vehicles brought to Athens during the summer, June to September, must be registered at the Office of Security, at a fee of \$1.00.

D. Registration Permit

Upon payment of the fee and registration of the vehicle, each student is given a registration permit. This permit must be displayed in the lower right hand corner (Passenger Side) of the windshield. Ohio law forbids the attachment of the permit anywhere else on the vehicle.

Permits must be permanently attached.

Scotch tape or other temporary methods of attachment are not allowed. No excuses are accepted for failure to properly attach or display the permit. Out-of-state students whose home state laws forbid the attaching of permits to the windshield are not excused from this regulation. If it is necessary to remove the permit, each permit will be replaced without cost upon presentation of a portion of the original to the Office of Security.

All mutilated permits must be replaced.

Any permit will be replaced free of charge upon presentation of the remnants of the old permit. Failure to do this will result in a charge of \$2.00 for a new permit.

Section 2 - Student Parking Regulations

A. Parking

Students are prohibited from parking at anytime on University property, except in areas which have been designated for student parking (see map). No student cars allowed on main campus at anytime.

B. Health Center

Student parking is not permitted at Hudson Health Center at anytime.

C. Grover Center

Students may park in the drive in front of Grover Center. Parking limit is fifteen minutes.

D. East and West Greens

Unrestricted parking at unmarked curbs is permitted on East Green Streets between 8 p.m. and 8 a.m. Between the hours of 8 a.m. and 8 p.m., a 15 minute stop is permitted on East and West Green Streets. NOTE: The parking limit on the West Green is 15 minutes at all times.

E. Jefferson Hall, West Green and Berry Hall Lot

Parking in the Jefferson Hall Garage, West Green Garages and Berry Hall Lot is by pre-paid fee only. A rented space cannot be sublet nor can any person renting a space give permission to others to park in said space. No repair work of any kind or car washing is permitted in these areas.

F. Washing of Cars

The areas designated on the East and West Greens are the only areas where car washing is permitted. The time limit of 30 minutes must be observed.

G. Stadium

No parking is permitted in stadium parking areas after midnight on nights preceeding home football games.

Section 3 - General Provisions

A. A student owner, operated, and/or registrant of a motor vehicle is responsible for any violation incurred by that motor vehicle.

B. These regulations govern the operation and use of motor vehicles as well as the parking. Violations of traffic regulations or driving conduct prejudicial to the best interest of the University may result in revocation of driving privileges.

C. All parking regulations are enforced on week-ends, holidays, between semesters, during vacation periods, and during the summer months.

Section 4 - Penalties

A. All fines are assessed by the Office of Security according to the following schedule if paid within 48 hours (Saturday, Sunday, and holidays excluded). Fines automatically double if not paid within 48 hours.

First offense	1.00
Second offense	2.00
Third offense	3.00
Fourth offense	4.00
Fifth offense	5.00
Sixth offense	6.00 - driving privileges revoked for balance of school year.

For students registering cars during second semester:

Schedule of fines same as above except driving privileges revoked on fourth offense.

Reckless or unsafe operation of a vehicle results in a fine of \$5.00, as does failure to display registration permit. If registration permit is improperly displayed the fine is 50 cents. Failure to register a vehicle carries a fine of \$25.00 in addition to the registration fee.

B. Fines are payable in the Office of Security, between 8:30 a.m. and 11:30 a.m. Monday through Saturday and between 1:30 p.m. and 3:30 p.m. Monday through Friday. Fines are not accepted during other hours.

C. Failure to answer a summons in regard to a traffic violation will result in appropriate university disciplinary action, and/or citation to Municipal Court.

MINUTES—OHIO UNIVERSITY, Board of Trustees—May 25, 1966

Section 5 - Appeals

A. In the event of an appeal of a "Traffic Violation Notice," such appeal must be made on the prescribed form available from the Office of Security within 48 hours of the violation.

IMPOUNDING PROCEDURES

All vehicles parked on University property must bear current license plates. Vehicles on University property without current and/or valid license plates will be removed to an impound area designated by the Office of Security and, in addition to the towing charge, a fee of 25 cents per day charged for each day the vehicle is unclaimed. The registered owner of such vehicle will be sent one notice by mail, and if not claimed within the period prescribed by law, such vehicle will be sold under the provision of the Revised Code of Ohio.

NOTE: THESE REGULATIONS APPLY TO MOTORCYCLES AND MOTOR SCOOTERS.

It was then moved by Mr. Brown, seconded by Mr. Hall, and unanimously carried that the above resolution be adopted.

President Alden then discussed the importance to higher education of the Hayes Fulbright Act, more commonly known as the Fulbright Act, and the benefits received from this act by various faculty members of Ohio University. President Alden pointed out that 1966 is the 20th anniversary of this act, and suggested that it would be appropriate to have a convocation honoring Congressman Wayne L. Hayes of Ohio sometime after the November election in which Congressman Hayes would be honored for his interest and sponsorship of this act in the House of Representatives, and would be awarded an honorary degree. President Alden also reported that Congressman Hayes has been helpful to Ohio University in our Nigerian project and also in other Federal projects.

There was a discussion of this suggestion, and it was then moved by Mr. Hall, seconded by Mr. Casto, and unanimously passed that Congressman Wayne L. Hayes be honored for his sponsorship of the Hayes-Fulbright Act at a Convocation to be held at Ohio University after the November election, and that he be awarded the honorary degree of LL.D.

The Chairman then called the roll of members for comment.

Milton Taylor, President of the Alumni Association and their representative at the Board of Trustees meetings, thanked the members present for arriving early for the luncheon honoring the Lancaster Branch Fund Raising Committee. He also thanked the Board of Trustees and expressed his appreciation for being permitted to meet with them for the past two years.

Mr. Casto then suggested that as many members of the Board of Trustees as possible be present at the various branch cities when the branch buildings are dedicated. This suggestion was accepted by the Board, and they agreed that at least one or two members would be present at every dedication.

Mr. Johnson then moved, and it was unanimously seconded that Edwin L. Kennedy be thanked and congratulated for his year of service as Chairman of the Board of Trustees.

The Chairman then called for election of officers. It was moved by Mr. Johnson, and unanimously seconded that John W. Galbreath be elected Chairman of the Board of Trustees for the 1966-67 fiscal year.

It was then moved by Mr. Brown and unanimously seconded that Fred H. Johnson be re-elected Vice-Chairman of the Board of Trustees for the 1966-67 fiscal year.

It was then moved by Mr. Johnson and unanimously seconded that Paul R. O'Brien be re-elected Secretary of the Board of Trustees for the 1966-67 fiscal year.

Mr. Galbreath then moved, and it was unanimously seconded that Vernon R. Alden be re-elected President of Ohio University for the 1966-67 fiscal year at an annual salary of \$40,000 plus an expense allowance of \$10,000 payable quarterly. In addition he will be furnished a house - all utilities, including heat, light, telephone and water; a full-time cook; a housekeeper and gardener, as needed; an auto or airplane for official University trips; full reimbursement for any travel expense incurred on University business; and all insurance and retirement benefits available to faculty and employees of Ohio University.

Mr. Hall moved, seconded by Mr. Brown, and unanimously carried that Thomas S. Smith be elected Vice-President for Academic Affairs for the fiscal year 1966-67, and that Martin L. Hecht be elected Vice-President for Development for the fiscal year 1966-67.

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned.

Edwin L. Kennedy, Chairman

Paul R. O'Brien, Secretary

Presentation by Dr. James J. Whalen, Dean of Students, to the Ohio University Board of Trustees at their meeting held on May 25, 1966.

A few weeks ago President Alden indicated that he thought it would be a good idea if I would talk to you on the general topic concerning the mood of our students. This is a very popular topic these days for a lot of questions are being asked about students and just as many answers are being published in a number of papers and national magazines. People are trying to figure out what makes the student tick - what makes him take up a serious cause or a not so serious cause - in general makes them do what they do?

If we're going to talk about students, however, I think we must first answer the question - which students? I spent much time cogitating on the best method of tuning you in on Ohio University and its students - a scene that you are only able to see for a few hours a couple of times each year. So about a week ago, I asked Mr. Kyle Hill and a couple of members of my staff to scout the campus and through the medium of pictures bring the students into this room. It is not an MGM production, but I hope it does give you a feeling for the subject. (Movie shown)

We have seen a little bit of Ohio University and a little bit of the population of the student body. Part of the answer then to which students is obvious - Ohio University students. But we must take it beyond this. Let me try to describe for you some of the kinds of students that I believe exist on most college campuses.

Let us begin with the group of students that is highly motivated and deeply involved in their education and in both national and international issues. These are the students that get themselves elected to office with the intention of seeing changes made, in an orderly and well thought out way, or they may get themselves elected to office with the idea that from a certain visible position on the campus

they will wield the power that will "put the administration in its place" so to speak. Or if they don't seek office, they may form a committee to develop an honor dorm or to put out a student guide to courses, or to have a say in the design of their dormitories. Sometimes these committees are very, very good and do a good job of collecting information and presenting a proposal. And sometimes, and perhaps equally as often, they make a lot of noise on the basis of very little real information. Or again, these active highly motivated students may take on a project of tutoring children in the country schools who may need a little extra help. They may picket a visiting speaker to force an issue on civil rights or, as in the case of General Maxwell Taylor's visit, we may find there are students picketing both sides of an issue.

These students may very quietly go about their education getting the best of what a university can offer and leaving the initiation of change to others. At any rate, we do have a large segment of very qualified students who are bright and work hard and who care deeply about the goals of the university and the society at large. Sometimes, we can only applaud their efforts and sometimes we wish they would think just a little longer before they burst into activity or print, that lacks the proper planning necessary when seeking change. But at least these are the students who care enough to do something.

A second group of students seems almost oblivious to what the university or the nation is all about. They go to class and take notes and pass tests and they graduate. They live in dorms without causing any apprehension or praise on the part of the Head Resident. Some of these are very solid citizens who know why they are here and simply want to get on with it. They know that they are going to

be engineers or doctors or chemists and as long as they can get the information and knowledge they need to do the job after graduation, they are satisfied and could care less about the latest campus cause and, in fact, may be totally unaware that any issue is being raised and thought over by their fellow students. They are the type who will face the issue of civil rights and Viet Nam when they get out of school, or so they feel. Right now they have only one concern and that is to get a decent education. True, some of these students will be active in the residence hall government or they might be active in a musical group or a departmental club or intramural sports or even a varsity team, but as I say, campus and national causes don't excite them very much. Some of these students will one day be the solid citizens in their community putting their weight behind civic improvements and exercising their voting rights. These are the large mass of students and we hear very little, perhaps not enough about them in the Press.

A third group, really on the edge of the second group, are the students who do not only not care about causes and political battles, but who really lack a strong sense of purpose. Somehow they manage a basic enough grade point average to remain in school, but for the most part they don't know why they are here and they tend to spend an undue amount of time just "messaging around" either in the dorm or at the Union Bar and Grill. These are the students who complain that they can't study, that the dorms are too big, the lounges are too small, the food is bad, their roommate is noisy, and the administration doesn't care about them. I am not saying that all students who complain are students who do not do good work, for that wouldn't be true. But, by and large, the students who do have a hard time deciding about what a college education means or what they will do after they graduate, also tend to grumble. They lack the satisfaction that goes along with

a sense of purpose, and being unsatisfied generally, they are also dissatisfied in particular. My guess would be that these are the most enthusiastic participants in such unfortunate incidents as the St. Patrick's Weekend. They are easily enticed by the sale of gin jugs and the offers of green beer.

And finally, there are the few really disoriented people - the lunatic fringe. These students come to us through disciplinary channels. They have made a serious disturbance in the dormitory, or they had alcohol on university property, or they have stayed out from their halls all night, or stolen or cheated, or been involved in some serious misdemeanor. Again, not all students who get into trouble could be classed as belonging to the lunatic fringe. Perfectly average or even bright students can make very serious mistakes, but there certainly is at every large university the student who, for one reason or another, becomes antisocial or is antisocial before he gets here.

I think it is probably obvious from what I have said that we see mostly the very highly motivated active student and the antisocial student. The first group comes because they have to tell the Dean of Students what their proposals are or what they plan to do as representatives of student organizations. The second group because they come to us for disciplinary action or the like.

It may also be obvious from the foregoing remarks that when academic deans or presidents or faculty are asked about students, they almost have to respond, "Which students?"

But by beginning the response in this way, I do not want to seem to side step the issue. I feel strongly that we need to keep perspective on the large range of students who go about their business and don't get noticed and who are just as real as the more vocal ones. And, I feel that to generalize too hastily about

"students" does a disservice to the individuals concerned and to the educational institutions that are working hard to make a worthwhile contribution to society in many different ways.

However, we must be aware that if national magazines are publishing and if university administrators are being asked about students, there must be a reason for this attention being given to them. It's probably true that some of this attention is self generating, that publicity gives students a sense of their power and, therefore, a desire to exercise it more which breeds more publicity. However, there must be a root beginning. Some say that, in fact, the root is mass education, the belief on the part of the American educational system that almost everyone is entitled to a college education, which then results in overcrowding in classrooms and residence halls and results in a failure to treat students as individuals. This failure to treat them as individuals causes unrest and a demand to have some say in the policies which students feel govern them so impersonally.

Mr. Paul E. Darlington, of the University of Michigan School of Education, has stated it very well. He says, "It is the spaceless, impersonal, automated character of the university which leads to one of the two major themes of student unrest - the Theme of Alienation. Seemingly, the forgotten man in the educational enterprise, the student wants to be heard and not just seen. In his unrest, he seeks to remind the faculty of his individual, personal dispositions, problems, and needs. He wants to become an active agent in his education. And he tells the administration that his activities should include having a voice in the conduct of important university affairs."

It has been said that students feel that if they are to be governed by policy rather than by any form of individual attention, then they expect to have a say in

what the policy will be. That is, as it becomes increasingly more difficult to talk things over with a faculty member, administrator, etc., the feeling arises that "they are running us by a rule book, and if that's going to be the case, we're going to demand to help set the rules."

This may or may not be a valid statement. Certainly, our own campus has experienced very specific student demands this year. Students this year have reviewed and made recommendations for a new absence policy. They have sought to begin two new mens' honor dormitories. They have done a series in the student newspaper on what they perceive as inadequacies in their residence halls. They have put out the first student sponsored and administered Student Guide to Courses, for they want to evaluate their instructors. They have voted to extend hours for women returning to the dormitory. They have set up a committee to check to see if dormitory monies are being spent well or . . . well as they see it. The student newspaper has demanded and taken the right to endorse a candidate for President of Student Government this year over and against the recommendation of the Campus Affairs Committee that they not do it. The candidates for president both campaigned on the issue that next year Student Government would work for financial independence for students -- the right to decide on how certain student fees will be spent. In addition, Student Government wants complete responsibility for determining what the standards of conduct on campus will be and what the extra-curricular policy will be.

How serious are these demands, where do they spring from, and what are we doing to promote mutual understanding?

Let me say that I believe it is always the wise course to take these demands seriously. It is never a very intelligent course of action to look the other way and

hope that things settle down of themselves or assume the students will lose interest or forget over the summer. Some institutions have done this and have lived to regret it. Howell Taylor says, "The mark of a true university is whether or not it takes its students seriously. Many of our modern universities fail to measure up to this mark." Unrest is contagious and although it may be a small group now, as our excellence increases, we will see greater articulation of unrest.

To answer the question concerning where these demands spring from, I think we have to look at the two major variables in this institution. These variables are: The Students and the environment. We have said something about the kinds of students we have and the internal problems they bring. We should now say something about the environment to which they come.

What about the environment? Let's take an honest look at some of the problems we have in this area. We do have a situation of overcrowded dormitories and overcrowded dining hall facilities. We are working as hard and fast on this as we can, and if we had more builders and less rain, we would probably be in better shape. But nonetheless, we have crowded conditions. We have, too, the problem of a threatened loss of identity in the mass of students that live, eat, and work together. This is the problem faced by every large university today. This loss of individuality has been all too clearly recognized by the students who chose as the cover of their handbook an IBM machine. When asked about the appropriateness of this image, the student editor responded "they might as well accept that they are numbers." She was talking about her fellow students.

And what are we doing to minimize this affect? What positive programs do we have to keep the individual student alive?

Well, we do let them help make the rules. We do try to go more than half

way in letting students have a say in what the rules governing them will be. For instance, the last ten weeks of this semester, there has been a moratorium on the dress regulations. The rules governing dress in the cafeterias have been suspended at the request and recommendation of a student committee, who believe that their fellow students could dress appropriately without having to be told so in so many words. So far, the results have been not bad. The warmer weather may tempt some to relax standards a bit - perhaps more than we would like and if this is the case, it will appear in the evaluation of the experiment which will take place very soon. We have had continuing discussions for the last six weeks on the matter of financial responsibility. We will continue these discussions and we will help the students to have more fiscal responsibility. If they are going to have a Student Government, they should have one and they should not play at it. We will, however, have to point out that in seeking to administer certain funds, they may be overlooking the fact that certain ages are required for certain contractual arrangements, that sometimes there are terrible burdens in assuming fiscal responsibility and inform them of the possible consequences of a major loss. This issue is going to take a great deal of thought and many hours of work, but it is the kind of thing we must be ready to do as part of the educational process. If we cannot experiment in this university setting and permit errors, where then will they learn? But in addition to responding to immediate issues as they arise, the Personnel Staff sees its role to be the providing of programs and services for the various kinds of students on our campus that will help them develop their potential, before issues arise.

The bright, highly motivated student will find that there is opportunity for him to participate in a faculty fellows program in the residence hall, to belong to

an honorary group, to be a member of Student Government, to be Resident Assistant in the residence hall. The student will also find that the university has also provided speakers to stimulate him - like those who appear in the Kennedy Lecture Series. He will find that the university has also provided the Honors College, The Ohio Plan, and a number of departmental honors programs. As the percentage of very capable students on the campus increases, we will have to make certain that these programs are given careful attention and, no doubt, we will have to add to them and strengthen the ones we have. For the unchallenged bright student will be very critical of any failure to keep the academic momentum going.

And, these are some of the things we do for the bright and active student. For the less active student who knows what he wants to be - a teacher or an engineer or a business man - the university provides the facilities for a good education. In addition, the Personnel Program provides, through the residence halls, various programs of enrichment. These can be anything from cultural and scholastic programs, to intramural and athletic programs. They include the availability of a faculty fellow or resident assistant to counsel on personal and academic difficulties, and in general, serve as a contact person when an individual student has questions he needs answered.

And finally, the student who lacks a sense of direction is served by the Psychological Services Center where he may obtain vocational and occupational guidance and testing, as well as counsel in the area of personal adjustment. These students are also served by a variety of members of the residence hall staff and sometimes it is the participation in one of over 200 student groups - whether it is one of publications, religious organizations, a departmental club, or a military or athletic group that makes the difference between a student of this kind finding

himself or remaining a drifter. I would never underestimate the importance of these various student activities as an aid to helping a student organize his life towards some meaningful goal.

Let me also comment on the lunatic fringe because these people may also remain within bounds with the help of the Psychological Services Center or the Mental Hygiene Clinic. Sometimes participation in the particular club or committee that is working toward the solution of a special problem will also be of interest to the student and may help him maintain an adequate adjustment. However, when they do get out of bounds, their action is reviewed both by student courts and a student faculty administration committee. Sometimes the only course of action is to identify an individual and remove him or her from the campus. Sometimes, on the other hand, with patient effort, we can help them to see their responsibilities to the university community. We prefer the latter.

These, then, are some of the ways in which we work with the problems that students bring to the campus as a part of their individual background and personalities. This is one of the variables that Student Affairs Programs are designed to deal with.

I have been discussing again some of the programs that we have provided in the university environment for the student. We have done some other things in this area to make the environment as conducive as possible to good work and healthy relaxation. Let me mention some of these.

I think the most important development now going forward and stimulated by the Student Affairs Program is the creation of the Campus Green concept. That is, in an attempt to keep everything from bubbling at some centralized point, we find that student needs and student problems are more manageable when we develop

several major areas of activity. And, we feel that friction and discontent are less likely to develop when a student has a limited and recognizable area that he can identify with, where he can get to know the staff, and can develop the confidence that matters which affect him directly will be taken care of on the site and will not become lost in the shuffle of what could become an unwieldy structure when the day of 20,000 students has arrived. It is vital when a university is growing rapidly to plan not for today but for tomorrow and for five years from now. Major breakdowns in universities occur when students feel they are talking to a remote administration that is so far removed from them that they aren't hearing. We can't and don't intend to grant every student demand, but we do intend to do everything that is reasonable and humanly possible to hear them, and to grant requests when they are reasonable and stem from a sincere desire to improve the university for all who study and work in it. The Dean of Students cannot hear every rumble at its source and hear it when it can best be attended to. But, a Green Director can have his hand on the pulse of the Green, can solve the problem in his area, and if necessary, can confer with the Office of the Dean of Students on issues that require his attention.

In addition, it is possible that some of our social activities might be more successful and less potentially disturbing to the community if they can take place on the Green rather than uptown. We need then to develop attractive recreational facilities on the Green, Centers that are real alternatives to the uptown bars and the BBF's. This is not an easy task. We need to take seriously the challenge of 20,000 students in a community that is estimated at somewhere between 12,000 and 15,000. To manage this group at all will be a problem, but to manage it creatively will be a real challenge. We can do it, but it is not just going to

happen without creative thinking from all of us.

We feel that the development of individual Greens is a step in this direction on the part of our Student Personnel group. For the past two months, I have had a person on my staff working and doing research concerning the development of the South Green. We are attempting to discover the best educational plans and ideas from our students, our faculty, and our administrators. It will take much planning and much courage. We also have hopes that other programs may also move in this direction. I hope that someday registration will take place on these Greens, and that some classes will be taught on the Greens, and perhaps some faculty might even live on the Greens. And, in addition to recreation facilities, we might develop small libraries on the Greens. We might eventually find that one of the Greens lends itself to an engineering - science program and another to the liberal and fine arts and so on. I do not mean that we would divide students completely on the basis of interest, but rather we could place a little more emphasis on certain programs on certain of the Greens.

We are an old and honored institution. It would not be feasible and not desirable to pull up stakes and start over on a new path. But, if we are to maintain our position in the mainstream, and if more importantly we are to improve it and be recognized as an institution in the forefront of higher education, we will have to keep our eyes open and our minds alert to the latest developments in higher education, and be prepared to be flexible in our programming. We want to preserve the best in our traditions. We want also to anticipate the needs of a rapidly changing student body.

Along this same line, let me mention an example, that may illustrate what I consider to be the difference between foresighted planning and a band-aid

approach.

We recently had an incident that involved members of two races. It was an ugly incident and it was necessary for us to take action in two ways. Discipline, yes, but we have to be, and we were, careful not to use discipline as a response in kind. That is, the incident burst forth from anger and emotion. As administrators, we are not permitted the privilege of simply responding in kind - becoming emotionally involved to the point where we too quickly respond, "Get them out!" In some cases, we have to do just that and may have to do more of it. But before we do, we must take the time, sometimes it means a week or ten days, to get the facts, all of them or as many as can be culled from an emotionally charged situation. We must, as administrators, look at the big picture - a large campus where people do bring predispositions and prejudices and to which we must bring an educational approach. When we get the facts, we then process the problem through the proper channels, talking to the students involved, to their friends who are concerned and who come to the office to express their concern, by cooperating with the civil authorities, and in this case, actually holding a meeting that included members of both races to try to get some kind of perspective on the case. We enlisted student help and enlisted the help of student leaders who desire responsibility in so many areas, and can be encouraged to step forward and give aid to avert a campus crisis.

I believe with a combination of well thought out action and a little luck, a major upheaval was avoided and I am grateful to a staff that literally worked around the clock to see that this university was not embarrassed and that reason above all prevailed. But, isn't there more that we can do? I discussed this illustration because I wanted to talk about foresighted planning. Fortunately, we

1. The first part of the report

2. The second part of the report

3. The third part of the report

4. The fourth part of the report

5. The fifth part of the report

6. The sixth part of the report

7. The seventh part of the report

8. The eighth part of the report

9. The ninth part of the report

10. The tenth part of the report

11.

12.

13. The thirteenth part of the report

14. The fourteenth part of the report

15.

16. The sixteenth part of the report

17. The seventeenth part of the report

18. The eighteenth part of the report

19.

20.

21. The nineteenth part of the report

22. The twentieth part of the report

23.

were able to bandage this wound, but should we not be planning for the future? I believe we may very well have underground the ruin of additional racial friction. We really don't know, but I think we must prepare at this time for what could be. We must prepare quietly and unobtrusively, but preparing and not burying our heads in the sand. This is a problem for the student and for all of us and if we cannot solve this kind of problem in a university environment, I hold out little hope for its solution outside such a community! We need to plan ahead and I would suggest that even in such an areas as this - racial relations - the Green concept could go a long way to help us. We can have more understanding, more controlled inter-play between the races if we break our large student body down into smaller units and talk these things out. We must encourage activities where both races are involved, and get to know each other, really knowing each other. It will be a long process, but we must try and we must make a beginning and we must search our minds for solutions and programs and ideas - in advance of the difficulty. Soon, these problems will grow too large for a band-aid. And, whatever we come up with, our plans are going to be facilitated more easily and more rapidly, I believe, if we work with Green Directors and if Green Directors work with smaller groups, than if we merely seem to impose a wholesale policy on a large and overcrowded campus.

I have talked about the mood of the students and about some of the problems we must deal with. I have discussed some of the internal problems that the student brings with him to the campus and how other problems arise from the university environment itself. And, I do not mean to tell you that the day we have all the facilities, we will see the end of student disturbances. It will certainly help towards that end, but we must remember that we are dealing with young people

and the other is the

the other is the

the other is the

the other is the

the other is the

the other is the

the other is the

the other is the

the other is the

the other is the

the other is the

the other is the

the other is the

the other is the

the other is the

the other is the

the other is the

the other is the

the other is the

the other is the

the other is the

the other is the

the other is the

who are very idealistic. And, it is good that they are idealistic, but they do want action and not words, and we as adults often feel the same way. We must recognize that young people, though idealistic, tend also to be rather self-centered and sure that they know all the answers. They are equally certain that we as older people are somewhat soft-headed. None of these facts are new to you. In order to mature, the younger person has to assert himself to see himself as capable of standing on his own feet. We may wish they would at all times be polite about it, and courteous about it, and considerate and reasonable, but these, unfortunately, are the virtues of maturity, and they are in the process of maturing. And, it is here perhaps that the Personnel Staff of a university finds the crux of its work. It is here that patience and wisdom and insight are called on, and it is here that we must mediate between the student and the administration, and the student and the faculty, and the student and the town! Sometimes we are very successful. Long hours of discussion, student meetings, work with individuals and organizations also help. And, sometimes things are handled in our own house, so to speak, and no one knows about our success. But, you will forgive us if it does not always happen this way. The university, as parent, is a concept that is fading in these days, but there remains a relationship that is not totally divorced from the old in loco parentis idea. Our students too sometimes embarrass us, but few parents are unacquainted with that experience.

I think we can agree that if we take our job as educators seriously and if it is true that state universities will be responsible for a higher and higher percentage of students being educated, then it is in state institutions where excellence must be found. And, we must come up with the ideas to meet that challenge. We want

1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000

1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000

1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000

1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000

to be a positive and creative force for just these goals remembering, however, that our students are not on strings and that they do not respond to our every suggestions or even our direction!

The action is at Ohio University and it is exciting action. We are participating fully, and I wouldn't wish to be anywhere else. Thanks very much.

100-10000

100-10000

100-10000