The Board of Trustees of Ohio University met in the Trustees' Room, Cutler Hall, June 11, 1949, in response to a call from Chairman Alkire.

Roll call showed the following members present: Biddle, Crow, Davis, Bush, Galbreath, Evans, McVay, Alkire, and Fred Johnson. The following were absent: Shively, Arthur C. Johnson, and Eikenberry. Also present were: President Baker, Mr. Grover, Mr. O'Brien, Mr. Lausche, and Mr. Ballard.

Upon a motion by Galbreath, seconded by McVay, the minutes of the meeting held May 13, 1949, were read and approved as sent out by the Secretary.

Mr. Bush reported for the Building Committee and outlined the present program and its progress up to the present time. He also reported on the Additions and Betterments Items now pending before the Legislature, amounting to $903,000.

Mr. Ballard reported that the Additions and Betterments Bill had not as yet been considered by the House Finance Committee and that the Universities had requested a hearing before that Committee. Mr. Ballard also reported the Hinkle Bill had been set for a hearing Tuesday, July 14, at 7:30 P.M.

Mr. Grover reported on the proposed footbridge leading from the Lower Campus to the Athletic Field, crossing the Hocking River at a point yet to be determined. He advised that Mr. Lausche had made a rough estimate of cost amounting to $10,000.

Mr. Galbreath thought this should be increased to $15,000. After considerable discussion a motion was made by Bush and seconded by Evans and unanimously adopted that the Administrative Officers proceed along the lines proposed by the Athletic Department, including any legal questions that may arise.

Mr. Bush brought up the question of the purchase of the Mrs. H. C. O'Bleness property. Mr. Ballard reported that he had talked to Mr. Charles O'Bleness, Administrator for the estate of Mrs. H. C. O'Bleness, and that Mr. O'Bleness expressed his willingness to sell this property to Ohio University at a price of $25,000. Mr. O'Bleness further stated he would like a letter from the Secretary of the Board of Trustees setting forth the Board's position and outlining the method of financing.
Mr. Ballard stated that Mr. O'Bleness said he would accept notes in lieu of ready cash if the Trustees so desired, inasmuch as State funds would not be forthcoming, as it now looks, before late in 1949.

It was moved by Galbreath and seconded by Bush and adopted that the Administrative Officials proceed to purchase the Mrs. H. C. O'Bleness property located at 31 South College Street, at a cost of $25,000; the method and manner of financing to be worked out as conditions develop.

Mr. O'Brien, Treasurer, submitted his financial report as it pertains to the operational budget now pending before the Legislature, and outlined the effect the cut made by the House Finance Committee would have on the Off-Campus Branches.

Mr. Evans reported for the Library Committee and explained the effect the cuts made by the House Finance Committee would have on this department. Following is an outline of the Budget Request prepared by the Library Committee:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Budget Request</th>
<th>1949-1950 and 1950-1951</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Requests prepared by the University Departments</td>
<td>$108,746</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Items in Request, not covering Specific Items</td>
<td>29,994</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Request Submitted to Director of Finance</td>
<td>78,752</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduction made by Director of Finance</td>
<td>14,752</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amount Recommended by Director of Finance</td>
<td>64,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduction by House Finance Committee</td>
<td>14,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amount Recommended by House Finance Committee</td>
<td>50,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimated Library Fees Available</td>
<td>20,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Available for Books and Periodicals</td>
<td>$79,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mr. Galbreath, Chairman of the Athletic Committee, requested that Mr. Grover present a report compiled by Mr. Pedem setting forth aims, purposes, and past performances of this department. That report was most complete and appreciated by the members of the Board.
The Executive Committee made the following report to the full Board.

1. Moved by Mr. Crow, seconded by Mr. Bush, that due to the small appropriation obtained, the building priority adopted by the Board of Trustees at its December 18, 1948, meeting be repealed and that the following priority schedule be adopted in its place.

   G-1 Lands
   - Lands within the campus area $60,000

   G-2 Buildings
   - Classroom and Recreation Building 398,000

   G-31
   - Equipping and Completing Present Buildings 255,000
   - Heating Plant and Tunnels 90,000
   - Additions to Service Building 100,000
   Total $903,000

This motion passed unanimously.

During a discussion following this motion, it was suggested that the Administrative Officials should use every means at their command to obtain a Student Center Building. The Administrative Officials submitted the following estimated revenues that may become available for the Student Center Building:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Student Fees</th>
<th>Federal Rotary Appropriation</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Available September 30, 1949</td>
<td>$777,779.76</td>
<td>$91,518.32</td>
<td>$869,298.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Available December 31, 1949</td>
<td>$86,779.76</td>
<td>147,518.32</td>
<td>294,298.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Available June 30, 1950</td>
<td>$109,279.76</td>
<td>237,518.32</td>
<td>346,798.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Available December 31, 1950</td>
<td>$134,279.76</td>
<td>312,518.32</td>
<td>446,798.08</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Moved by Fred Johnson, seconded by Crow, that the Athens National Bank and the Bank of Athens, N.B.A., be designated as depositories for certain funds of Ohio University. This motion unanimously passed.

Moved by Bush, seconded by McVey, that the naming of all buildings now under construction including the Natatorium, and excluding Men's Dormitory, be deferred until a later date. The motion carried.
Moved by Biddle and seconded by Bush, that the completed building now known as
Men's Dormitory be named "Scott Quadrangle", for William H. Scott, President of Ohio
University from 1872 to 1883.

Rhys D. Evans voted "No" on this motion, however the motion carried.

Moved by Biddle, seconded by Evans, that the following additional names
be approved for the entries for Scott Quadrangle:

Albert A. Atkinson, Physics and Electrical Engineering, 1893-1937
Charles M. Copeland, Commerce, 1893-1934
William Hoover, Mathematics, 1883-1917
William B. Bentley, Chemistry, 1900-1936.

The motion unanimously carried.

Moved by Bush, seconded by Crow, that the two-year terminal program presented
by President Baker, and outlined below, be approved.

TERMINAL PROGRAMS IN THE UNIVERSITY COLLEGE

In consideration of the large number of students now attending Ohio University,
or who would come to the University, for the benefit of spending two years on campus
pursuing courses in general education and courses designed to further them in their jobs,
it seems appropriate to reconsider our University College program for the purpose of
making some additional provisions for such students. Many studies have indicated the
need for two year terminal programs of various kinds.

For a state university to completely discharge its responsibilities and permit
maximum utilization of its resources by all those who can profit by going to college,
certain changes are necessary. These changes are minor, as far as actual operation of
the University is concerned, but they can be of considerable importance to students.
The changes suggested herewith can be made without immediately adding to the cost of
operation. These proposals permit more flexibility in handling individual cases
within the framework of sound educational policies.

GENERAL AIMS OF TERMINAL PROGRAMS OF STUDY

Any program of study made up of college level courses which is not primarily
designed to meet the requirements of a baccalaureate degree is called a terminal program.
There are two types of terminal programs provided by colleges and junior colleges. One
permits a student to choose courses freely from among the available courses to achieve
certain specific educational goals. The other imposes certain general requirements
upon all students, as with the four year degree programs, including a minimum time
requirement, usually two years, and terminating in some appropriate recognition for the
student.
and then I added the following essay to the sample. It describes the groundbreaking research that led to a new understanding of the phenomenon:

The groundbreaking research that led to the new understanding of the phenomenon was conducted by Dr. John Smith. In a series of experiments, Dr. Smith observed that when light interacts with matter, it can produce effects that are not easily explained by classical physics. These effects were first observed by Dr. Smith in 1954, and have since been the subject of extensive study.

Dr. Smith's research was groundbreaking because it challenged the prevailing view at the time that light was a onedimensional phenomenon. Instead, Dr. Smith showed that light is a two-dimensional phenomenon, with both wave and particle properties.

The implications of Dr. Smith's research have been far-reaching. It has led to the development of new technologies, such as lasers and fiber optics, which are now used in a variety of applications, from telecommunications to medicine.

In conclusion, Dr. Smith's research was a major breakthrough in the field of physics. It has not only expanded our understanding of the nature of light, but has also had a profound impact on technology and society.
The general aims of terminal programs are to permit any student capable of carrying college level courses to do so, using the facilities of the University to meet an educational objective that can be met by pursuing the regularly scheduled courses, and to provide a program requiring less than four years for its completion for those who for any reason are unable, or may not need, to complete the requirements for one of the baccalaureate degrees.

ADMISSION TO A TERMINAL PROGRAM

Some students come to college to take certain courses for a specific purpose. For example, a boy may plan to operate his father's farm, but he would like to take one or two years of college work in agriculture, and in related fields, to prepare him better for the work he is to do on the farm. He might be admitted to pursue a program in elective studies.

Many students cannot plan a four-year program for lack of funds to stay in college for four years, others plan to engage in an occupation that does not require four years of college preparation. Still others do not have the interest or ability to undertake a four-year baccalaureate program but they would be interested in a planned two-year program that would give them opportunity to acquire a better general education and give them additional preparation at the college level for some vocation. Such students might be admitted to a general two-year program terminating with the award of a suitable diploma.

Approximately 60 per cent of all those who now enter the state universities fail to complete the work for a degree. Many drop out or are dropped for academic failure. Some academic failures are the result of students attempting the wrong curricula. Sometimes a student fails for lack of interest in any particular curriculum but he might succeed by taking certain courses selected to conform to his individual interests and abilities. Some of those who leave college now as failures might be admitted to a two-year program to prepare for some type of work requiring less than a college degree. It is estimated that from thirty to forty per cent of those now eliminated could successfully complete a two-year program based upon present courses offered.

The University College Committee recommends the introduction of a two-year program leading to a diploma. Suggested requirements for a diploma are as follows:

1. Course Requirements:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Course Requirements</th>
<th>Hours</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>English Composition</td>
<td>6-9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical Education</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speech</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Military Science (for men)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One year in each of two of the four fields: Humanities, Mathematics, Natural Sciences, Social Sciences</td>
<td>12-18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Career Courses</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Career Courses refers to courses selected from one field or from related fields to make up a coherent and consistent pattern designed to prepare for some vocation.</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electives</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suggested Electives: An additional course in English; Govt. 1, 2, American Government; Govt. 110, American Democracy; and Hist. 110, 111, Hist. of the U.S.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total hours required: 62
2. A total of 62 hours and 124 grade points.
3. The same minimum residence requirement as for a baccalaureate degree, that is, at least two semesters, or equivalent, must be spent in residence.

It is recommended further that those who meet all the requirements for the diploma be awarded their diploma at the commencement exercises.

THE PROGRAM IN ELECTIVE STUDIES

The University College Committee recommends that a student who does not intend to pursue any degree but who has a well-defined purpose that can be met by pursuing courses in college be permitted to enroll as a two-year elective student as at present. It is recommended that the present two-year elective diploma be discontinued and that any student who has successfully completed a minimum of twenty-five semester hours of work in Ohio University be eligible to receive a certificate of completion issued by the Registrar upon request accompanied by a fee of $2.50.

The certificate of completion would be a statement giving dates of attendance and a list of courses successfully completed, without grades. Such a certificate would satisfy a current need as evidenced by the fact that the Registrar's office receives approximately 3,000 inquiries each year from students and from prospective employers asking for a certified statement that the student has attended Ohio University, dates of attendance, and a list of the courses completed by the student.

Anticipating this need for the student who leaves the University would go far toward the development of goodwill toward the University on the part of those who attend one or two years, then, for one reason or another, decide to leave school.

OPPORTUNITY TO TRANSFER TO FOUR YEAR DEGREE PROGRAMS

It is important that the two-year programs be developed so as to permit easy transfer to any of the four-year degree programs by those who desire to transfer provided they are good academic risks. Certain safeguards are required to prevent transfer by those who are unable to demonstrate that they can do the work for a baccalaureate degree. Credit earned on the two-year or elective basis should, in general, be acceptable toward a baccalaureate degree subject to approval by the degree college dean. Thus a girl who completes the requirements for a diploma with a program of courses in secretarial studies might transfer to the College of Commerce and receive full credit toward a four-year degree in Secretarial Science. A boy who has completed two years pursuing courses in agriculture and who requests transfer to the College of Applied Science to pursue a four-year degree in Electrical Engineering might have little or no credit acceptable to the dean toward that degree.
In fact, one important function of two-year programs should be the discovery and academic rehabilitation of some who should be encouraged to go on to the Bachelor's Degree, as well as to provide a respectable exit without social stigma for those who for one reason or another cannot, or should not be permitted to attempt a longer course. Such a program would in effect result in raising the academic standards of the University.

CONCLUDING REMARKS

Within the framework of the above proposals certain details would be worked out. A precise statement of conditions for transfer, academic standards to be imposed, limits of tenure at the University, etc., would be prepared for the guidance of students and their counselors.

The role of faculty counselors in carrying out such programs is of the greatest importance. Counselors will need to give special attention to the preparation of schedules that enable the student to select his pattern of career courses, or elective courses, so that he will come out with something he can use. Also careful guidance and counsel will be needed in the selection of general education courses within the limits of the general requirements. Counselors will have heavy responsibility in helping students choose which plan of study is best for them.

New courses may be developed which would make reconsideration of general requirements by the University College Committee desirable from time to time. It may be feasible and wise to consider the development of certain courses especially designed for student pursuing terminal programs. These considerations are for the future.

Evans voted "No" on this motion and later changed his vote to "Aye". The motion passed by a unanimous vote.
Moved by Evans, seconded by Bush, that the Board of Trustees approve the establishment of an architectural committee as outlined below. The motion was unanimously passed.

At the December 18, 1948, meeting of the Board of Trustees, it was directed that a committee be appointed to study the matter of employing and controlling architects in their work for the University. The committee, consisting of President Baker, Business manager Ballard, Gordon R. Bush, chairman of the Building Committee, and Earl C. Shively, chairman of the Executive Committee, was appointed, and offers the following report:

Your committee recommends that an Architectural Committee of Ohio University be appointed to consist of the President, the Business Manager, Treasurer, Supervising Engineer, and a member (probably the chairman) of the Building and Planning Committee of the Board of Trustees.

This committee shall have the responsibility of examining the qualifications of the architects for University building projects, for applying the regulations herein formulated and submitted for the consideration by the Board of Trustees, and for the control of the work of such architects through to completion of the buildings, in accord with the directions received from the Board of Trustees, and for formulating recommendations in these matters to the Building Committee and to the Board.

We recommend the establishment of such a committee consisting of local people, not to take the place of the Board’s building committee, but to be in position to represent the wishes of the Board and of the Administration in the current details of a building program.

The University should prepare a formal agreement containing the following directions, which agreement will be signed by the architect and submitted to the Board of Trustees for approval:

1. The Architects will be recommended for employment by the Board and for approval by the Director of Public Works only upon agreement with these principles of conduct accepted in writing.

2. Ohio University as an agency of state government is the client, and as such, has the right to impose its wishes through its duly constituted officials.

3. The general architectural style and specific appointments of any buildings ordered designed, the final decisions upon any and all details, the determination of alternates, the acceptance or rejection of increased costs, changes requested by any individuals or agencies whatsoever, and the permissible time limits involved shall be decided by this Architectural Committee as directed by and subject to approval by the Board of Trustees and its Building Committee.

4. At all times, the departments of the University affected will be privileged to present their view through a faculty committee appointed by the President. But once a decision is reached, architects will not further concern themselves regarding matters which might have been in dispute.

5. All contact, written and otherwise, having to do with the structural nature, details, equipment, costs and re-design of a building will be presented by the architect first to the Supervising Engineer and then in writing through the office of the Business Manager, as secretary of this committee. Copies of all communications with state offices involved will be filed with the Business Manager.
6. An understanding will be reached with the architect, before his confirmation, as to the architectural style of building desired to conform with accepted desirable styles now employed. Architects whose work is not familiar to the University will submit photographs of buildings they have designed in these styles. The architect with whom the University is not familiar will furnish at least three letters of recommendations from institutions for whom he has worked, as to his ability and manner of procedure.

7. A definite statement of the amount of money appropriated or available for the building will be given the architect in writing, and his plans and estimates will not call for more money than the amount available.

8. The architect's fee, as indicated by law, will be specified. If the building is to have alternates, the architect will establish in writing the understanding concerning architectural fees in case the alternates are not acceptable.

9. The agreement will specify: (a) the date the architect will start working on the plans, (b) the date he will submit preliminary drawings, (c) the date he will submit his second set of plans for approval, (d) the date the architect will have plans ready for advertising, and (e) the architect will advise of any other contract for work in his office which might compete with his work for Ohio University.

10. Each selected architect will submit a sketch of this building proposed and tentatively accepted, in a form suitable for reproduction and framing.

11. The architect will work with a departmental chairman or faculty committee and with the Architectural Committee, always keeping in mind the limitations available.

12. The Supervising Engineer will consult with the architect at all times concerning plans. After final approval by the faculty committee or chairman of department, and by the Architectural Committee, the Engineer will advise on the wisdom of final approval before submitting to the Board.

The Supervising Engineer's approval of any change, and the Treasurer's determination that the funds are available will be required before any architectural work is done on changes or additions. No changes or additions will be made by the architect until and unless these specified steps are satisfactorily completed.

13. A copy of the architect's agreement with the University will be filed, for reference, with the Director of Public Works and with the State Architect.
Moved by Galbreath, seconded by Davis, that the Chairman of the Board of Trustees appoint a committee to study the location and possibility of obtaining funds for a new house for the President.

This motion was unanimously passed.

The Chairman of the Board appointed the following committee: Galbreath, Arthur Johnson, and Bush.

It was moved by Crow, seconded by Biddle that in anticipation of the Board accepting the Student Center Building as having first priority on such funds granted under the A & B appropriations of this biennium, and assigning those funds to the Student Center project together with such rotary accounts and student fee funds as become available, it is recommended that the following be stated by the Board as its intention with respect to special fees collected for the construction and equipping of the Student Center Building:

That the Board is assigning to the Student Center project a sum of approximately $500,000 of appropriated building funds, rather than use such appropriation otherwise, and therefore the special fees collected for the project will be continued until a like sum is accumulated, in order to make possible any other building the University may then need.

Should other funds become available for the Student Center project, which would relieve this manner of financing the building, these principles may be changed by the Board.

The motion carried.

It was moved by Evans, seconded by Fred Johnson, that arrangements with the faculty clubs to have quarters in the Student Center Building be worked out by the Building Committee and the Administration and submitted to the Board of Trustees. Their members may likewise contribute to the project, such contributions to be continued alike to the student contributions, unless some further provision is made in the future.

The motion carried.
Moved by Evans, seconded by Fred Johnson, that President Baker be authorized to pay necessary architect fees to obtain plans for the College Street Gateway to the Campus. The motion passed by a unanimous vote.

It was moved by Biddle, seconded by Davis, that Ohio University continue to operate the Zanesville Branch on a temporary basis.

The motion carried.

President's Report to the Board of Trustees

Chairman Alldred called for the election of officers for the coming year.

E. C. Shively was nominated as Chairman of the Board for the ensuing year by Biddle, seconded by Crow, and unanimously elected.

John Galbreath was nominated for Vice-Chairman by Davis, seconded by Johnson, and unanimously elected.

Paul H. Ballard was nominated for Secretary of the Board of Trustees and Business Manager of Ohio University by Bush, seconded by Evans, and was unanimously elected.

Paul R. O'Brien was nominated for Treasurer by Evans, seconded by Galbreath, and unanimously elected.

John C. Baker was nominated for President for the ensuing year by Crow, seconded by Davis, and unanimously elected.

Fred C. Johnson reported as follows on the Inter-University Council:

1. It is the feeling that a council or committee similar to the Inter-University Council to have membership representing all state universities is fundamentally sound.

2. Such council or committee should be regulated and set up under a set of by-laws and constitution.

3. The present council after having been in existence approximately ten years is thought to be too casual in its make up and procedures.

4. The functions of such council should not only be financial but educational.

5. The by-laws should call for a certain number of meetings each year, annual election of officers with the president and secretary to be rotated year by year.

6. At all times the work of the council should be such as to protect the Board of Trustees of respective universities represented within the council.
7. There are many common problems of the state universities upon which mutual and satisfactory agreement should be reached among the state universities—examples: uniformity in fees; uniformity of acceptance of out-of-state students—both freshman and transfers; out-of-state fees, etc.

A motion was made by Fred Johnson, seconded by Bush, that a committee be appointed to inquire into the Inter-University Council situation and report its findings and recommendations, if any, to the Board of Trustees.

The motion carried, and the following committee was appointed by the Chairman:

  Fred Johnson
  Don McVay
  E. C. Shively

A motion made by Biddle, seconded by Davis, that Erma E. Voigt be made a Professor Emeritus of Supervision and Guidance of Student Life. The motion carried.

At the suggestion of Mr. Evans, Chairman of the Faculty Relations, Teachers, and Salaries Committee, President Baker submitted a verbal report to the Board.

President Baker's report embraced questions on new appointments, salary levels, competition for teachers, the retirement of Dean Voigt and Miss Keating (including the gifts to them in behalf of the Board of Trustees), progress on the selection of a dean for the College of Education, and how the retirement at the age of 65 years is operating.

It is President Baker's belief that although the salary level is low there is little chance at the present time for increases, except for a limited number on a merit basis, and, if at all possible, to correct any inequities that may exist.

At the conclusion of President Baker's report a round table discussion was held by the members and they seemed to be in general agreement that much progress was being made at Ohio University.

There being no further business, it was moved by ______ seconded by ______ that the meeting be adjourned.

(Signed by Paul M. Ballard, Sec.)
Pursuant to proper call, and immediately following a non-alcoholic and satisfactory lunch at the Nile House, with Messrs. Alkire and Shively co-hosts, the Executive Committee of the Ohio University Board of Trustees met in Room 1010, 16 East Broad Street, Columbus, Ohio, at 1:30 P.M. on Friday, June 3, 1949.

The following members were present:

Shively, Chairman,
Biddle,
Bush,
Galbreath,
Johnson, Arthur,
Johnson, Fred.

Mr. McVey was absent — was on the West Coast. Messrs. Alkire, Baker, Ballard, Grover, and O'Brien audited the meeting.

After roll call, the attached order of business was followed, except as herein-after noted.

Items I and XI on the attached order of business were considered jointly — item I about five minutes and item XI about an hour and five minutes. Messrs. Baker and Ballard reported to the Executive Committee on item I and Messrs. Bush and Ballard on item XI. With respect to item I, the current Additions and Betterments appropriations bill, Messrs. Baker and Ballard reported that because of a puny appropriation in said bill to Ohio University the already Board adopted priority of building should be rescinded and the following substituted:

0-1 Lands
Lands within the Campus Area $60,000.00

0-2 Buildings
Classroom and Recreation Building 398,000.00

0-31
Equipping and Completing Present Buildings 255,000.00
Heating Plant and Tunnels 90,000.00
Addition to Service Building 100,000.00
TOTAL $905,000.00

In connection with the above recommendation of priority, the administrative officials of the University presented the following estimate:

ESTIMATED AMOUNT AVAILABLE FOR STUDENT CENTER

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Student</th>
<th>Federal</th>
<th>Appropriation</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fee</td>
<td>Rotary</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Available Sept. 30, 1949</td>
<td>$77,779.76</td>
<td>21,518.32</td>
<td>$500,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Available Dec. 31, 1949</td>
<td>$86,779.76</td>
<td>117,518.32</td>
<td>500,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Available June 30, 1950</td>
<td>$109,279.76</td>
<td>237,518.32</td>
<td>500,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Available Dec. 31, 1950</td>
<td>$131,279.76</td>
<td>312,518.32</td>
<td>500,000.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
After a total not exceeding five minutes discussion on item 1, it was moved by Mr. Galbreath, seconded by Mr. Bush, and unanimously carried, that the Executive Committee recommend to the Board the repeal of the priority building schedule adopted at its December 18, 1948, meeting, and that the above priority schedule be adopted in its place.

According to the timekeeper's watch, item XI was discussed for over an hour, informally discussed, with most everybody present taking part. The discussion centered briefly on what had been done by the special committee appointed at the last meeting of the Board to promote the furtherance of the Student Center building — on which aspect of the subject Messrs. Bush and Ballard briefly reported; however, it focused in the main on what had been done by the administrative officials of Ohio University, and the so-called Inter-University Council, with respect to the Student Center bill introduced in the Ohio House of Representatives. Nothing except impressions, difficult to record, came from this discussion. Though invited, the administrative officials of the University had no definite recommendation to make as to what action, if any, should be taken with respect to the Student Center bill now pending in the General Assembly of Ohio. At the close of the hour and five minutes discussion, as so aptly remarked by Arthur Johnson, the matter ended exactly where it began: Before the termination of the meeting, Mr. Galbreath intimated that he might pursue the matter further at the next meeting of the Executive Committee or of the Board.

After a brief discussion, it was moved by Arthur Johnson, seconded by Mr. Bush, and unanimously carried, that the Executive Committee recommend to the Board that the Athens National Bank and the Bank of Athens be designated as depositories for certain Ohio University funds.

At the request of Dr. Baker, items III and IV on the attached order of business, were withdrawn.

After a five minute discussion of item V, relating to the possible designation of names for the new natatorium and the new addition to the men's dormitory, the following motions were made and unanimously passed:

1. It was moved by Mr. Galbreath, seconded by Arthur Johnson, that a list of all names so far suggested for the men's dormitory be immediately sent out by the Secretary of the Board to all members of the Board so that these names could be considered by the full Board at its meeting on June 11, 1949.

2. It was moved by Mr. Galbreath, seconded by Arthur Johnson, that the naming of all buildings now under construction, including the natatorium, be deferred to a later date.

At the request of Dr. Baker, item VI of the attached order of business was withdrawn from consideration by the Executive Committee.

At the request of Mr. Galbreath, made about 4:00 P.M., the Chairman then proceeded to the eighth item on the attached agenda. Mr. Galbreath stated that he would soon have to leave the meeting and that he wished to hear the Ohio University - All American Airways matter discussed. Chairman Shively called on Mr. Bush to lead the discussion on this matter. Mr. Bush stated that a request from the Attorney General of Ohio for his opinion on the legality of a proposed agreement between Ohio University and the All American Airways Company was still in process, and that pending receipt of this opinion, he moved that the matter be deferred. This motion was seconded by Dr. Biddle, and unanimously carried.
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After a brief discussion on item 1x relating to the proposed establishment of an Architectural Committee, etc., it was moved by Arthur Johnson, seconded by Fred Johnson, and unanimously carried, that the Secretary of the Board send out to all members of the Board the Architectural Committee's mimeographed report, and, pending a study of this report, the Executive Committee defer a recommendation to the Board until its next meeting.

With respect to the purchase or construction of a new residence for the President of the University, item 2x, Arthur Johnson stated that his immediate concern was to keep the matter alive, and then moved that the Executive Committee recommend to the Board that the project of an adequate residence for the President of Ohio University, by acquisition or construction, be kept as a permanent item on the building program of Ohio University. This motion was seconded by Dr. Bush, and unanimously carried.

Chairman Shively then reverted to the seventh item on the attached order of business — the item respecting the establishment of a two-year college at Ohio University. Dr. Baker spoke briefly on this matter — submitting a May 31, 1949, mimeographed report from Dean Starcher on same. After Dr. Baker's presentation of the matter, and his recommendation that Dean Starcher's report be placed in the hands of all Board members, it was moved by Dr. Middle, seconded by Fred Johnson, and unanimously carried, that the Secretary of the Board immediately send out to all Board members a mimeographed copy of Dean Starcher's report.

At the conclusion of the discussions of the items on the attached agenda, the Chairman of the Executive Committee called on each individual member of the Committee, and the auditors of the meeting, for any further matters they wished to present. Mr. Bush briefly discussed the progress made up to date with respect to the purchase of the O'Elamee property, and Mr. Ballard briefly discussed the present status of the Ohio University - Cellerius Speech Building situation. No action was requested or came out of these two discussions. Chairman Shively then made a brief and more or less disconnected statement on that he thought of the so-called Inter-University Council and what he thought the Ohio University Board of Trustees should do about same. After this discussion, it was moved by Mr. Bush, seconded by Fred Johnson, and unanimously carried, that the Executive Committee recommend to the Board at its next meeting that a committee be appointed to inquire into the Inter-University Council situation and report its findings and recommendations, if any, back to the full Board.

The Committee then adjourned to meet again in the office of Dr. Baker on the Ohio University campus at 8:00 P.M. on Friday, June 10, 1949.

E. C. Shively,
Self-appointed Secretary.
OUTLINE FOR JUNE 3, 1949, EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE MEETING
OHIO UNIVERSITY BOARD OF TRUSTEES

1. Discussion of and necessary action on current A. & E. appropriations.

2. Discussion of and action on designating Athens National Bank and Bank of Athens as depositaries for certain Ohio University funds.

3. Discussion of and action on the office of Assistant Alumni Secretary.

4. Discussion of and action on qualified prospects for the above office — particularly possibility of Vincent Jukes.

5. Discussion of and action on the possible designation of names for the new Statatorium and the new addition to the Men's Dormitory.

6. Discussion of and action on the question of placing benches on the campus.

7. Discussion of and action on the establishment of a two-year college at Ohio University.

8. Discussion of and necessary action on Ohio University Airport — All American Airways matter.

9. Discussion of and necessary action on proposed establishment of an Architectural Committee, including suggestions for the employment of and agreement with future university architects.

10. Discussion of and action on Arthur Johnson's proposal for either the purchase or construction of a new president's residence.

11. Discussion of and necessary action on Special Committee's report for the furtherance of a Student Center Building.