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OBJECTIVE

To describe the policies and procedures for IRB protocols that intend to use the Department of Psychology's participant (subjects) pool. Hereafter referred to as the "Psych Pool."

GENERAL DESCRIPTION

a. Brief description of the technique or procedure that could be used in the Project Outline Form.

The use of the Psych Pool is relevant in several aspects of the Project Outline Form. The Psych Pool is made up of all university students enrolled in Psychology 1010 (Introduction to Psychology), Psychology 1110 (Elementary Statistics), and other more advanced Psychology courses based on instructors' desire. There are about 2200 students in the pool in the fall semester and about 1900 in spring. Students participate in research for credit. For Psy 1010 six (6) credits are required and instructors can allow more credits as extra credits for the class (up to 6% of total points for the class). In Psy 1110 and other more advanced Psychology class 4 to 6 extra credits are generally the norm. Students have two options for obtaining this credits: 1) participation in psychology experiments (1 hour of participation = 1 point), or 2) by responding to a brief set of questions regarding methodology on different psychology journal articles (1 set of questions = 1 point). Question answers are not graded, but they are check for
The Psych Pool is a pool of psychological participants that is administered by the Department of Psychology at the University of Illinois. The pool is designed to provide a large pool of participants for use in research, especially those studies that require a large number of participants. The pool is administered through the SONA (System for Online Nutritional Analysis) system, which is a web-based platform designed for such pools.

1) The first place the Psych Pool is relevant in the project outline is the "Recruitment of Subjects" section.
   a) If the prescreen (see below) is used to screen participants, researchers should anticipate that about 60% of the Psych Pool will complete the prescreen and thus for every semester the researcher expects to collect data, a maximum number of 1200 to 1500 should be indicated in the Maximum number of participants field. If the prescreen is not being used, the maximum number should be the maximum number the researcher expects to enroll in the study.
   b) In terms of characteristics, Adults and University students should be checked. Other categories may apply as well.
   c) In the criteria for selection,
      i) Psych pool users may reference the prescreen. The prescreen is an online survey made up of sections (i.e., sets of questions) submitted by researchers. The questions should be referenced here and submitted with the proposal (in the instrument section, though could be uploaded in this section) or submitted as a separate protocol. Note, the prescreen has its own consent form and is an IRB approved protocol (04X041). Researchers using the prescreen need not include a copy of that consent form in their project outline forms.
      ii) Psych pool users might also include inclusion and exclusion screening measures at the beginning of their protocols.
      iii) Psych pool users will use the "Eligibility Requirements" field in the Study Information page in the SONA system to state "Must be 18 years or older." Other eligibility requirements can be listed here or otherwise in information presented on that page (e.g., including "WOMEN ONLY" in the title is encouraged to make sure potential participants see the criteria).
   d) In the "identify and recruit" the researcher should note the use of the Psych Pool system (or SONA).
   e) In the "relationship" section, researchers might note that they are instructors in classes participating in the pool, but instructors do not know the studies that their students participate in unless they actually interact with a student from their class that they recognize. That is, the system reduces pressure to participant in a specific instructor/researchers studies.
f) In the "Upload ..." section, the "Study Information" page (student view), which is available from SONA should be captured and uploaded here.
   i) The "Study Information" page contains a field for the duration and credits. Psychology Department policy requires giving research credit as a direct function of the time an individual participates, using half-hour increments. For example, if a study takes less than 30 minutes, the study would be listed as a .5 credit study. This will be checked by the Psych Pool administrator prior to approving the study for the system.

2) Project Description section. A debriefing form is required of all studies in the Psych Pool and these forms must have an educational element to meet the pedagogical requirements of the Department of Psychology. If no deception is used, the debriefing form should be uploaded here. If deception is used, the debriefing form should be uploaded in the consent section.

3) Confidentiality section. Researchers using the Psych Pool will have available to them the names of those signing up for their studies, so "Data is collected anonymously" should never be checked. All other options might apply.

4) Compensation section.
   a) Protocols using the Psych Pool should check the first option and state "Psychology Pool" in the first open-ended field. As noted above, the credit compensation policy is ½ credit for every half hour of participation.
   b) Psych Pool protocols can also use monetary compensation in one of two ways.
      i) Monetary compensation can be used as an incentive for performance or to more generally motivate behavior. This is often variable as it will depend on the behavior of the participants. This type of compensation should NOT be mentioned in the recruitment material, but can be mentioned in the consent form.
      ii) Monetary compensation can be used as extra incentive for participating in the research provided the researcher has obtained approval from the system administrator (based on difficult to obtain n).
      iii) The following rules apply regarding lotteries: For purposes of policy, a distinction is made between incentives that are inclusive (i.e., all participants will receive the compensation, possibly prorated to degree of participation) and drawings (i.e., participants have a chance to win a prize or money). A distinction is also made between using incentives 1) to induce participation, 2) to increase the motivation of participants once in a study (e.g., tie incentive or odds to performance), and 3) because they are an integral part of the study (e.g., to operationalize gambles in decision-making studies). These distinctions inform two
rules. Rule #1: Monetary incentives to induce participation are permissible only in studies likely to have recruitment problems (e.g., small populations; onerous tasks) and must be inclusive (drawings are not permitted for recruitment purposes). The system administrator determines permission. Once granted, the incentive can be mentioned in the posted description of the study. Rule #2: Both forms of incentives, inclusive and drawing, can be used for the other two purposes (i.e., to increase motivation or integral part of study); however, this aspect of the study cannot be mentioned in the description of the study posted on-line unless the study conforms to Rule #1.

5) Brief description of the technique or procedure that would be used in the Consent Form.

In the compensation section of in-person studies, following specification of the total credits a study is likely to provided, the following sentence is recommended: "If you discontinue participation prior to completion or would rather not consent, you will receive research credits based on the time you arrived (e.g., ½ credit if withdrawal within first half hour)."

6) Brief description of the technique or procedure that would be used in the Assent Form.

Minors are not part of the Psych Pool.

7) Confirm the target age range for the technique or procedure, as needed. Must be 18 or older.

Definitions

RESPONSIBILITY

Execution of SOP: Principal Investigator (PI) / Study Personnel, IRB Chair, IRB, Office of Research Compliance (ORC), ORC Staff

PROCEDURES

1) Detailed description of the list of steps needed to use the technique or complete the procedure.
a. Prior to posting a study, it must receive IRB approval. Once IRB approval is received, add the IRB approval code to the study information page and click the "Send an Approval Request" link just below the IRB approval code field. That will send the system administrator a request to approve the study. It includes all the information needed, but there is a space to include a special note if desired.
b. Description of calibration steps needed to check the performance of the device or instrument and documentation that it is maintained. NA
c. Description of cleaning needed to maintain and / or sterilize the device or equipment. NA
d. Brief summary of the procedure that would be used for the Project Outline Form. See above
e. Brief summary of the procedure that would be used for the Consent Form. See above

**RISK**

a. Description to be used for the Project Outline Form. Variable
b. Description to be used for the Consent Form. Variable

**REFERENCES**

**SUBMITTER**

Jeffrey B. Vancouver

Please note that the name of the submitter of the SOP is provided for a reference for follow-up, as needed.