

## Literature on Phylogenetic Nomenclature

P. Cantino and K. de Queiroz

The following is a list of publications dealing with phylogenetic nomenclature, which is intended to provide access to the literature on that subject. The list is divided into categories emphasizing particular aspects of phylogenetic nomenclature. Because these categories are not always mutually exclusive, some papers are listed under more than one category. The list is undoubtedly incomplete (particularly in the category "Other Applications of Phylogenetic Nomenclature") but should provide a good starting point for anyone wishing to explore the subject.

Because we had to draw the line somewhere, a number of related papers have been excluded. For example, we did not list all papers that used widely known names for crown clades (a convention commonly associated with phylogenetic nomenclature that is neither a necessary component of the approach nor supported by some of its advocates); instead, we only included those papers if they also used explicit phylogenetic definitions. In general, the list contains papers in which explicit phylogenetic definitions are either used or discussed.

We intend to update the list as new information becomes available. If you notice omissions or feel that a paper has been assigned to the wrong category (or should be listed under additional categories), please contact Phil Cantino (cantino@ohio.edu) or Kevin de Queiroz (dequeirk@si.edu).

Last revision: August 23, 2006

### News Reports and Overviews:

- CANTINO, P. D. 2001. Nomenclature, phylogenetic. Pp. 242-244 *in* McGraw-Hill Yearbook of Science & Technology 2002. New York: McGraw-Hill.
- FOER, J. 2005. Pushing PhyloCode. *Discover* (April 2005): 46-51.
- HÄRLIN, M. 2004. Classification nomenclature. Pp. 52-54 *in* McGraw-Hill Yearbook of Science & Technology 2004. New York: McGraw-Hill.
- HARRIS, R. 2005. Attacks on taxonomy. *Amer. Scientist* 93: 311-312.
- HOLMES, B. 2004. Time for Linnaeus to leave the stage. *New Scientist* (11 Sept. 2004): 12-13.
- LAURIN, M., and P. D. CANTINO. 2004. First International Phylogenetic Nomenclature Meeting: a report. *Zool. Scripta* 33: 475-479.
- LAURIN, M., and A. DE RICQLÈS. 2004. Compte rendu sur le premier congrès international de nomenclature phylogénétique: 6-9 juillet 2004, MNHN, Paris. *Bull. Soc. Française Syst.* 32: 9-10.
- MILIUS, S. 1999. Should we junk Linnaeus? *Science News* 156: 268-270.
- NYFFELER, R. 1999. A new ordinal classification of the flowering plants. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* 14: 168-170.
- PLEIJEL, F. 2000. Nomenclature linnéenne et nomenclature phylogénétique. *Bull. Soc. Fran. Syst.* 24: 25-28.

- PLEIJEL, F. and B. DAYRAT. 2000. De l'évolution dans la nomenclature? *La Recherche* 333: 48-50.
- PENNISI, E. 2001. Linnaeus's last stand? *Science* 291:2304-2307.
- SOARES, C. 2004. What's in a name? *Scientific American* (Nov. 2004): 36-37.
- SPOONER, D., W. L. A. HETTERSCHEID, R. G. VAN DEN BERG, and W. BRANDENBURG. 2003. Plant nomenclature and taxonomy: an horticultural and agronomic perspective. *Horticultural Reviews* 28: 1-60.
- WITHGOTT, J. 2000. Is it "So long, Linnaeus"? *BioScience* 50: 646-651.

### **Formative Early Publications**

- DE QUEIROZ, K. 1988. Systematics and the Darwinian revolution. *Philos. Sci.* 55: 238-259.
- DE QUEIROZ, K., and J. GAUTHIER. 1990. Phylogeny as a central principle in taxonomy: Phylogenetic definitions of taxon names. *Syst. Zool.* 39: 307-322.
- DE QUEIROZ, K., and J. GAUTHIER. 1992. Phylogenetic taxonomy. *Annu. Rev. Ecol. Syst.* 23: 449-480.
- DE QUEIROZ, K., and J. GAUTHIER. 1994. Toward a phylogenetic system of biological nomenclature. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* 9: 27-31.
- ESTES, R., K. DE QUEIROZ, and J. GAUTHIER. 1988. Phylogenetic relationships within *Squamata*. Pp. 119-281 in R. Estes and G. K. Pregill (eds.), *Phylogenetic Relationships of the Lizard Families: Essays Commemorating Charles L. Camp*. Stanford Calif.: Stanford Univ. Press.
- GAUTHIER, J., R. ESTES, and K. DE QUEIROZ. 1988. A phylogenetic analysis of *Lepidosauromorpha*. Pp. 15-98 in R. Estes and G. K. Pregill (eds.), *Phylogenetic Relationships of the Lizard Families: Essays Commemorating Charles L. Camp*. Stanford Calif.: Stanford Univ. Press.
- GHISELIN, M. T. 1984. "Definition," "character," and other equivocal terms. *Syst. Zool.* 33: 104-110.
- ROWE, T. 1987. Definition and diagnosis in the phylogenetic system. *Syst. Zool.* 36: 208-211.

### **Other Publications on the Theory and Practice of Phylogenetic Nomenclature:**

- ANDERSON, J. S. 2002. Use of well-known names in phylogenetic nomenclature: a reply to Laurin. *Syst. Biol.* 51: 822-827.
- BROCHU, C. A., and C. D. SUMRALL. 2001. Phylogenetic nomenclature and paleontology. *J. Paleont.* 75: 754-757.
- BRYANT, H. N. 1994. Comments on the phylogenetic definition of taxon names and conventions regarding the naming of crown clades. *Syst. Biol.* 43: 124-130.
- BRYANT, H. N. 1996. Explicitness, stability, and universality in the phylogenetic definition and usage of taxon names: a case study of the phylogenetic taxonomy of the *Carnivora (Mammalia)*. *Syst. Biol.* 45: 174-189.
- BRYANT, H. N. 1997. Cladistic information in phylogenetic definitions and designated phylogenetic contexts for the use of taxon names. *Biol. J. Linn. Soc.* 62: 495-503.
- BRYANT, H. N., and P. D. CANTINO. 2002. A review of criticisms of phylogenetic nomenclature: is taxonomic freedom the fundamental issue? *Biol. Rev.* 77: 39-55.

- CANTINO, P. D. 2000. Phylogenetic nomenclature: addressing some concerns. *Taxon* 49: 85-93.
- CANTINO, P. D. 2004. Classifying species versus naming clades. *Taxon* 53: 795-798.
- CANTINO, P. D., R. G. OLMSTEAD, and S. J. WAGSTAFF. 1997. A comparison of phylogenetic nomenclature with the current system: a botanical case study. *Syst. Biol.* 46: 313-331.
- CHRISTOFFERSEN, M. L. 1995. Cladistic taxonomy, phylogenetic systematics, and evolutionary ranking. *Syst. Biol.* 44: 440-454.
- DE QUEIROZ, K. 1992. Phylogenetic definitions and taxonomic philosophy. *Biol. Phil.* 7: 295-313.
- DE QUEIROZ, K. 1994. Replacement of an essentialistic perspective on taxonomic definitions as exemplified by the definition of "*Mammalia*." *Syst. Biol.* 43: 497-510.
- DE QUEIROZ, K. 1997a. The Linnaean hierarchy and the evolutionization of taxonomy, with emphasis on the problem of nomenclature. *Aliso* 15: 125-144.
- DE QUEIROZ, K. 2005. Linnaean, rank-based, and phylogenetic nomenclature: Restoring primacy to the link between names and taxa. *Symb. Bot. Ups.* 33: 127-140.
- DE QUEIROZ, K. 2006. The PhyloCode and the distinction between taxonomy and nomenclature. *Syst. Biol.* 55: 160-162.
- DE QUEIROZ, K., and P. D. CANTINO. 2001a. Phylogenetic nomenclature and the PhyloCode. *Bull. Zool. Nom.* 58: 254-271.
- DONOGHUE, M. J. 2004. Immeasurable progress on the tree of life. Pp. 548-552 in J. Cracraft and M. J. Donoghue (eds.), *Assembling the Tree of Life*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- DONOGHUE, P. C. J. 2005. Saving the stem group—a contradiction in terms? *Paleobiology* 31: 553-558.
- ERESHEFSKY, M. 1997. The evolution of the Linnaean hierarchy. *Biol. Phil.* 12: 493-519.
- ERESHEFSKY, M. 2001. *The Poverty of the Linnaean Hierarchy: a Philosophical Study of Biological Taxonomy*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- GAUTHIER, J., and K. DE QUEIROZ. 2001. Feathered dinosaurs, flying dinosaurs, crown dinosaurs, and the name "*Aves*". Pp. 7-41 in J. Gauthier and L. F. Gall (eds.), *New Perspectives on the Origin and Early Evolution of Birds: Proceedings of the International Symposium in Honor of John H. Ostrom*. New Haven: Peabody Mus. Nat. Hist., Yale University.
- HÄRLIN, M. 1996. Tree-thinking and nemertean systematics. Ph.D. thesis, Göteborg University.
- HÄRLIN, M. 1998. Taxonomic names and phylogenetic trees. *Zool. Scr.* 27: 381-390.
- HÄRLIN, M. 1999. The logical priority of the tree over characters and some of its consequences for taxonomy. *Biol. J. Linn. Soc.* 68: 497-503.
- HÄRLIN, M. 1999. Phylogenetic approaches to nomenclature: a comparison based on a nemertean case study. *Proc. Royal Soc. London, Ser. B* 266: 2201-2207.
- HÄRLIN, M. 2001. Towards a new biological taxonomy—let us give up the Linnean hierarchy! [Review of Ereshefsky, M. 2001. *The poverty of the Linnean hierarchy*.] *Zool. Scr.* 30: 337-339.
- HÄRLIN, M. 2003a. Taxon names as paradigms: the structure of nomenclatural revolutions. *Cladistics* 19: 138-143.

- HÄRLIN, M. 2003b. On the relationship between content, ancestor, and ancestry in phylogenetic nomenclature. *Cladistics* 19: 144-147.
- HÄRLIN, M. 2005. Definitions and phylogenetic nomenclature. *Proc. California Acad. Sci.* 56: 216-224.
- HÄRLIN, M., and P. SUNDBERG. 1998. Taxonomy and philosophy of names. *Biol. and Philos.* 13: 233-244.
- HÄRLIN, M., and M. THOLLESSON. 2005. Fundamentals of phylogenetic nomenclatures: an exchange of views. *Symbolae Botanicae Upsalienses* 33: 141-151.
- HIBBETT, D. S., and M. J. DONOGHUE. 1998. Integrating phylogenetic analysis and classification in fungi. *Mycologia* 90: 347-356.
- HIBBETT, D. S., R. H. NILSSON, M. SNYDER, M. FONSECA, J. COSTANZO, and M. SHONFELD. 2005. Automated phylogenetic taxonomy: an example in the *Homobasidiomycetes* (mushroom-forming fungi). *Syst. Biol.* 54: 660-668.
- JOYCE, W. G., J. F. PARHAM, and J. A. GAUTHIER. 2004. Developing a protocol for the conversion of rank-based taxon names to phylogenetically defined clade names, as exemplified by turtles. *J. Paleontol.* 78: 989-1013.
- KRON, K. A. 1997. Exploring alternative systems of classification. *Aliso* 15: 105-112.
- LANGER, M. C. 2001. Linnaeus and the PhyloCode: where are the differences? *Taxon* 50: 1091-1096.
- LAPORTE, J. 2004. *Natural kinds and conceptual change*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- LAURIN, M. 2001. L'utilisation de la taxonomie phylogénétique en paléontologie: avantages et inconvénients. *Biosystema 19 – Systématique et Paléontologie*: 197-211.
- LAURIN, M. 2002. Tetrapod phylogeny, amphibian origins, and the definition of the name Tetrapoda. *Syst. Biol.* 51: 364-369.
- LAURIN, M. 2005. The advantages of phylogenetic nomenclature over Linnean nomenclature. Pp. 67-97 in A. Minelli, G. Ortalli, and G. Sanga (eds), *Animal Names*. Venice, Italy: Istituto Veneto di Scienze, Lettere ed Arti.
- LAURIN, M., and J. S. ANDERSON. 2004. Meaning of the name *Tetrapoda* in the scientific literature: an exchange. *Syst. Biol.* 53: 68-80.
- LEE, M. S. Y. 1996a. The phylogenetic approach to biological taxonomy: practical aspects. *Zool. Scr.* 25: 187-190.
- LEE, M. S. Y. 1996b. Stability in meaning and content of taxon names: an evaluation of crown-clade definitions. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B. Biol. Sci.* 263: 1103-1109.
- LEE, M. S. Y. 1998a. Phylogenetic uncertainty, molecular sequences, and the definition of taxon names. *Syst. Biol.* 47: 719-726.
- LEE, M. S. Y. 1998b. Ancestors and taxonomy. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* 13: 26.
- LEE, M. S. Y. 1999a. Reference taxa and phylogenetic nomenclature. *Taxon* 48: 31-34.
- LEE, M. S. Y. 1999b. Stability of higher taxa in phylogenetic nomenclature—some comments on Moore (1998). *Zool. Scr.* 28: 361-366.
- LEE, M. S. Y. 2001. On recent arguments for phylogenetic nomenclature. *Taxon* 50: 175-180.
- LEE, M. S. Y. 2005. Choosing reference taxa in phylogenetic nomenclature. *Zool. Scripta* 34: 329-331.
- LUCAS, S. G. 1992. Extinction and the definition of class *Mammalia*. *Syst. Biol.* 41: 370-371.

- MINELLI, A. 1995. The changing paradigms of biological systematics: new challenges to the principles and practice of biological nomenclature. *Bull. Zool. Nomen.* 52: 303-309.
- MOORE, G. 1998. A comparison of traditional and phylogenetic nomenclature. *Taxon* 47: 561-579.
- PLEIJEL, F. and M. HÄRLIN. 2004. Phylogenetic nomenclature is compatible with diverse philosophical perspectives. *Zool. Scripta* 33: 587-591.
- PLEIJEL, F. and G. W. ROUSE. 2003. Ceci n'est pas une pipe: names, clades and phylogenetic nomenclature. *J. Zool. Syst. Evol. Research* 41: 162-174.
- ROWE, T., and J. GAUTHIER. 1992. Ancestry, paleontology and definition of the name *Mammalia*. *Syst. Biol.* 41: 372-378.
- SCHANDER, C. 1998b. Mandatory categories and impossible hierarchies — a reply to Sosef. *Taxon* 47: 407-410.
- SCHANDER, C., and M. THOLLESSON. 1995. Phylogenetic taxonomy — some comments. *Zool. Scr.* 24: 263-268.
- SERENO, P. C. 1998. A rationale for phylogenetic definitions, with application to the higher-level taxonomy of *Dinosauria*. *N. Jb. Geol. Paläont. Abh.* 210: 41-83.
- SERENO, P. C. 1999. Definitions in phylogenetic taxonomy: critique and rationale. *Syst. Biol.* 48: 329-351.
- SERENO, P. C. 2005. The logical basis of phylogenetic taxonomy. *Syst. Biol.* 54: 595-619.
- STEVENS, P. F. 2002. Why do we name organisms? Some reminders from the past. *Taxon* 51: 11-26.
- SUNDBERG, P., and F. PLEIJEL. 1994. Phylogenetic classification and the definition of taxon names. *Zool. Scr.* 23: 19-25.
- WILKINSON, M. 2006. Identifying stable reference taxa for phylogenetic nomenclature. *Zool. Scr.* 35: 109-112.
- WYSS, A. R., and J. MENG. 1996. Application of phylogenetic taxonomy to poorly resolved crown clades: a stem-modified node-based definition of *Rodentia*. *Syst. Biol.* 45: 559-568.

**Phylogenetic Nomenclature of Species (or applying specific epithets to small clades that others view as species):**

- ARTOIS, T. 2001. Phylogenetic nomenclature: the end of binomial nomenclature? *Belg. J. Zool.* 131: 87-89.
- BRANDLEY, M. C., and K. DE QUEIROZ. 2004. Phylogeny, ecomorphological evolution, and historical biogeography of the *Anolis cristatellus* series. *Herpetol. Monogr.* 18: 90-126.
- CANTINO, P. D. 1998. Binomials, hyphenated uninomials, and phylogenetic nomenclature. *Taxon* 47: 425-429.
- CANTINO, P. D., H. N. BRYANT, K. DE QUEIROZ, M. J. DONOGHUE, T. ERIKSSON, D. M. HILLIS, and M. S. Y. LEE. 1999. Species names in phylogenetic nomenclature. *Syst. Biol.* 48: 790-807.
- CANTINO, P. D., S. J. WAGSTAFF, and R. G. OLMSTEAD. 1999. *Caryopteris* (*Lamiaceae*) and the conflict between phylogenetic and pragmatic considerations in botanical nomenclature. *Syst. Bot.* 23: 369-386.

- CLARKE, J. A. 2004. The Morphology, phylogenetic taxonomy and systematics of *Ichthyornis* and *Apatornis* (Aves: *Ornithurae*). Bull. Am. Mus. Nat. Hist. 286: 1-179.
- DE QUEIROZ, K., and J. GAUTHIER. 1992. Phylogenetic taxonomy. Annu. Rev. Ecol. Syst. 23: 449-480.
- DE QUEIROZ, K. 1992. Phylogenetic definitions and taxonomic philosophy. Biol. Phil. 7: 295-313.
- DE QUEIROZ, K. 1995. The definitions of species and clade names: A reply to Ghiselin. Biol. Phil. 10: 223-228.
- DAYRAT, B., C. SCHANDER and K. D. ANGIELCZYK. 2004. Suggestions for a new species nomenclature. Taxon 53: 485-591.
- DAYRAT, B. 2005. Advantages of naming species under the PhyloCode: an example of how a new species of *Discodorididae* (*Mollusca*, *Gastropoda*, *Euthyneura*, *Nudibranchia*, *Doridina*) may be named. Marine Biol. Res. 1: 216-232.
- DAYRAT, B. and T. M. GOSLINER. 2005. Species names and metaphyly: a case study in *Discodorididae* (*Mollusca*, *Gastropoda*, *Euthyneura*, *Nudibranchia*, *Doridina*). Zool. Scripta 34: 199-224.
- FISHER, K. 2006. Rank-free monography: a practical example from the moss clade *Leucophanella* (*Calymperaceae*). Syst. Bot. 31: 13-30.
- GHISELIN, M. T. 1995. Ostensive definitions of the names of species and clades. Biol. Phil. 10: 219-222.
- HILLIS, D. M., D. A. CHAMBERLAIN, T. P. WILCOX, and P. T. CHIPPINDALE. 2001. A new species of subterranean blind salamander (*Plethodontidae*: *Hemidactyliini*: *Eurycea*: *Typhlomolge*) from Austin, Texas, and a systematic revision of central Texas paedomorphic salamanders. Herpetologica 57: 266-280.
- NICHOLSON, K. E. 2002. Phylogenetic analysis and a test of the current infrageneric classification of *Norops* (beta *Anolis*). Herpetol. Monogr. 16: 93-120.
- LEE, M. S. Y. 2002. Species and phylogenetic nomenclature. Taxon 51: 507-510.
- MISHLER, B. D. 1999. Getting rid of species? Pp. 307-315 in R. Wilson (ed.), Species: New Interdisciplinary Essays. Cambridge, Massachusetts: M.I.T. Press.
- PLEIJEL, F. 1999. Phylogenetic taxonomy, a farewell to species, and a revision of *Heteropodarke* (*Hesionidae*, *Polychaeta*, *Annelida*). Syst. Biol. 48: 755-789.
- PLEIJEL, F., and G. W. ROUSE. 2000. Least-inclusive taxonomic unit: a new taxonomic concept for biology. Proc. R. Soc. London B 267: 627-630.
- PLEIJEL, F., and G. W. ROUSE. 2000. A new taxon, *capricornia* (*Hesionidae*, *Polychaeta*), illustrating the LITU ('least-inclusive taxonomic unit') concept. Zool. Scripta 29: 157-168.
- SPANGLER, R. E. 2003. Taxonomy of *Sarga*, *Sorghum* and *Vacoparis* (*Poaceae*: *Andropogoneae*). Australian Syst. Bot. 16: 279-299.

#### **Other Applications of Phylogenetic Nomenclature:**

- AGUINALDO, A. M., J. M. TURBEVILLE, L. S. LINFORD, M. C. RIVERA, J. R. GAREY, R. A. RAFF, and J. S. LAKE. 1997. Evidence for a clade of nematodes, arthropods, and other moulting animals. Nature 387: 489-493.

- ALVERSON, W. S., B. A. WHITLOCK, R. NYFFELER, C. BAYER, and D. A. BAUM. 1999. Phylogeny of the core *Malvales*: evidence from *ndhF* sequence data. *Amer. J. Bot.* 86: 1474-1486.
- ARCHIBALD, J. D. 1996. Fossil evidence for a Late Cretaceous origin of "hoofed" mammals. *Science* 272: 1150-1153.
- BAUM, D. A., W. S. ALVERSON, and R. NYFFELER. 1998. A durian by any other name: taxonomy and nomenclature of the core *Malvales*. *Harv. Pap. Bot.* 3:315-330.
- BERTA, A. 1994. What is a whale? *Science* 263: 180-181.
- BREMER, K. 2000. Phylogenetic nomenclature and the new ordinal system of the angiosperms. Pp. 125-133 in B. Nordenstam, G. El-Ghazaly, and M. Kassas (eds.), *Plant Systematics for the 21<sup>st</sup> Century*. London: Portland Press.
- BROCHU, C. A. 1997. Synonymy, redundancy, and the name of the crocodile stem-group. *J. Vertebrate Paleontology* 17: 448-449.
- BROCHU, C. A. 1999. Phylogenetics, taxonomy, and historical biogeography of *Alligatorioidea*. *J. Vert. Paleontol.* 19 (suppl. to no. 2): 9-100.
- BROCHU, C. A. 2003. Phylogenetic approaches toward crocodylian history. *Annu. Rev. Earth Planet Sci.* 31: 357-397.
- BRYANT, J. D., and M. C. MCKENNA. 1995. Cranial anatomy and phylogenetic position of *Tsaganomys altaicus* (*Mammalia: Rodentia*) from the Hsanda Gol Formation (Oligocene), Mongolia. *Am. Mus. Novit.* 3156: 1-42.
- CANNATELLA, D. C., and D. M. HILLIS. 1993. Amphibian relationships: phylogenetic analysis of morphology and molecules. *Herpetol. Monogr.* 7:1-7.
- CATERINO, M. S. 1998. A phylogenetic revision of *Spilodiscus* Lewis (*Coleoptera: Histeridae*). *J. Nat. Hist.* 32: 1129-1168.
- CHIAPPE, L. M., J. SHU'AN, J. QIANG, and M. NORELL. 1999. Anatomy and systematics of the *Confuciusornithidae* (*Theropoda: Aves*) from the Late Mesozoic of northeastern China. *Bull. Am. Mus. Nat. Hist.* 242: 1-89.
- COCHRANE, S. J. 2003. Snowflakes and feather-dusters: some challenges for fanworm systematics. *Hydrobiologia* 496: 49-62.
- DEMÉRÉ, T. A. 1994. The family *Odobenidae*: A phylogenetic analysis of fossil and living taxa. *Proc. San Diego Soc. Nat. Hist.* 29: 99-123.
- DE QUEIROZ, K. 1985. Phylogenetic Systematics of Iguanine Lizards: A Comparative Osteological Study. Master's thesis, San Diego State University.
- DE QUEIROZ, K. 1987. Phylogenetic systematics of iguanine lizards. A comparative osteological study. *Univ. Calif. Publ. Zool.* 118: 1-203.
- DILKES, D. W. 1998. The Early Triassic rhynchosaur *Mesochchus browni* and the interrelationships of basal archosauromorph reptiles. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. London B* 353: 501-541.
- DONOGHUE, M. J., T. ERIKSSON, P. A. REEVES, and R. G. OLMSTEAD. 2001. Phylogeny and phylogenetic taxonomy of *Dipsacales*, with special reference to *Sinadoxa* and *Tetradoxa* (*Adoxaceae*). *Harvard Pap. Bot.* 6: 459-479.
- DOYLE, J. A., and M. J. DONOGHUE. 1993. Phylogenies and angiosperm diversification. *Paleobiology* 19: 141-167.
- ERIKSSON, T., M. J. DONOGHUE, and M. S. HIBBS. 1998. Phylogenetic analysis of *Potentilla* using DNA sequences of nuclear ribosomal internal transcribed spacers

- (ITS), and implications for the classification of *Rosoideae* (*Rosaceae*). *Plant Syst. Evol.* 211: 155-179.
- ESTES, R., K. DE QUEIROZ, and J. GAUTHIER. 1988. Phylogenetic relationships within *Squamata*. Pp. 119-281 in R. Estes and G. K. Pregill (eds.), *Phylogenetic Relationships of the Lizard Families: Essays Commemorating Charles L. Camp*. Stanford, Calif.: Stanford Univ. Press.
- FORD, L. S., and D. C. CANNATELLA. 1993. The major clades of frogs. *Herpetol. Monogr.* 7: 94-117.
- FLYNN, J. J., J. M. PARRISH, B. RAKOTOSAMIMANANA, W. F. SIMPSON, and A. R. WYSS. 1999. A Middle Jurassic mammal from Madagascar. *Nature* 401: 57-60.
- FLYNN, J. J., J. M. PARRISH, B. RAKOTOSAMIMANANA, W. F. SIMPSON, R. L. WHATLEY, and A. R. WYSS. 1999. A Triassic fauna from Madagascar, including early dinosaurs. *Science* 286: 763-765.
- GAUTHIER, J. 1984. A Cladistic Analysis of the Higher Systematic Categories of the *Diapsida*. Ph.D. dissertation, University of California at Berkeley.
- GAUTHIER, J. 1986. Saurischian monophyly and the origin of birds. Pp. 1-55 in K. Padian (ed.), *The Origin of Birds and the Evolution of Flight*. San Francisco: California Academy of Sciences.
- GAUTHIER, J., R. ESTES, and K. DE QUEIROZ. 1988. A phylogenetic analysis of *Lepidosauromorpha*. Pp. 15-98 in R. Estes and G. K. Pregill (eds.), *Phylogenetic Relationships of the Lizard Families: Essays Commemorating Charles L. Camp*. Stanford Calif.: Stanford Univ. Press.
- GAUTHIER, J. A., A. KLUGE, and T. ROWE. 1988. The early evolution of *Amniota*. Pp. 15-98 in M. J. Benton (ed.), *The Phylogeny and Classification of the Tetrapods: Volume 1: Amphibians, Reptiles, Birds*. Oxford: Clarendon Press.
- GAUTHIER J., A. G. KLUGE, and T. ROWE. 1988. Amniote phylogeny and the importance of fossils. *Cladistics* 4: 105-209.
- GAUTHIER, J., and K. PADIAN. 1985. Phylogenetic, functional, and aerodynamic analyses of the origin of birds and their flight. Pp. 185-197 in M. K. Hecht, J. H. Ostrom, G. Viohl., and P. Wellnhofer (eds.), *The Beginnings of Birds*. Eichstatt, Germany: Freude des Jura-Museums.
- GOOD, D. A. 1988. The phylogenetic position of fossils assigned to the *Gerrhonotinae* (*Squamata: Anguinae*). *J. Vert. Paleontol.* 8: 188-195.
- HÄRLIN, M. 1998. Tree-thinking and nemertean systematics, with a systematization of the eurentatic nemerteans. *Hydrobiologia* 365: 33-46.
- HÄRLIN, M., AND C. HÄRLIN. 2001. Phylogeny of the eurentatic nemerteans revisited. *Zool. Scr.* 30: 49-58.
- HOLLINGSWORTH, B. D. 1998. The systematics of chuckwalla (*Sauromalus*) with a phylogenetic analysis of other iguanid lizards. *Herpetol. Monogr.* 12: 38-191.
- HOLTZ, T. R. 1994. The phylogenetic position of the *Tyrannosauridae*: Implications for theropod systematics. *J. Paleontol.* 68: 1100-1117.
- HOLTZ, T. R. 1996. Phylogenetic taxonomy of the *Coelurosauria* (*Dinosauria: Theropoda*). *J. Paleontol.* 70: 536-538.
- JONDELIUS, U., AND M. THOLLESSON. 1993. Phylogeny of the *Rhabdocoela* (*Platyhelminthes*): a working hypothesis. *Can. Jo. Zool.* 71: 298-308.



- JUDD, W. S., R. W. SANDERS, and M. J. DONOGHUE. 1994. Angiosperm family pairs: preliminary phylogenetic analyses. *Harvard Pap. Bot.* 5: 1-51.
- JUDD, W. S., W. L. STERN, and V. I. CHEADLE. 1993. Phylogenetic position of *Apostasia* and *Neuwiedia* (*Orchidaceae*). *Bot. J. Linn. Soc.* 113: 87-94.
- KAY, R. F., and B. A. WILLIAMS. 1994. Cladistics, computers, and character analysis. [Review of W. P. Maddison and D. R. Maddison. 1992. MacClade, version 3.] *Evol. Anthropol.* 3: 32-35.
- LAURIN, M. 1991. The osteology of a Lower Permian eosuchian from Texas and a review of diapsid phylogeny. *Zool. Jo. Linn. Soc.* 101: 59-95.
- LAURIN, M. 1996. A redescription of the cranial anatomy of *Seymouria baylorensis*, the best known seymouriamorph (*Vertebrata: Seymouriamorpha*). *PaleoBios* 17: 1-16.
- LAURIN, M. 1998. The importance of global parsimony and historical bias in understanding tetrapod evolution. Part I-systematics, middle ear evolution, and jaw suspension. *Annales de Sciences Naturelles, Zoologie, 13ème Série* 19: 1-42.
- LAURIN, M. 1998. A reevaluation of the origin of pentadactyly. *Evolution* 52: 1476-1482.
- LAURIN, M. 2000. Travaux récents sur l'évolution et la paléocécologie des stégocéphales. *Bull. Soc. Herp. France* 96: 25-37.
- LAURIN, M., M. GIRONDOT, and A. DE RICQLÈS. 2000. Early tetrapod evolution. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* 15: 118-123.
- LAURIN, M., M. GIRONDOT, and A. DE RICQLÈS. 2000. Reply from M. Laurin, M. Girondot and A. de Ricqlès. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* 15: 328.
- LAURIN, M., and R. R. REISZ. 1995. A reevaluation of early amniote phylogeny. *Zool. J. of the Linn. Soc.* 113: 165-223.
- LAURIN, M., and R. R. REISZ. 1996. The osteology and relationships of *Tetraceratops insignis*, the oldest known therapsid. *J. Vert. Paleontol.* 16: 95-102.
- LAURIN, M., and R. R. REISZ. 1997. A new perspective on tetrapod phylogeny. Pp. 9-59 in S. Sumida and K. Martin (eds), *Amniote Origins: Completing the Transition to Land*. London: Academic Press.
- LAURIN, M., and R. R. REISZ. 1999. A new study of *Solenodonsaurus janenschi*, and a reconsideration of amniote origins and stegocephalian evolution. *Canad. J. Earth Sci.* 36: 1239-1255.
- LAURIN, M., and R. SOLER-GIJON. 2001. The oldest stegocephalian from the Iberian Peninsula: evidence that temnospondyls were euryhaline. *Comptes Rendus Acad. Sci. Paris, Sciences de la vie/Life sciences* 324: 495-501.
- LEE, M. S. Y., and M. W. CALDWELL. 1998. Anatomy and relationships of *Pachyrachis problematicus*, a primitive snake with hindlimbs. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. London B* 353: 1521-1552.
- LUCAS, S. G. 1992. Extinction and the definition of the Class *Mammalia*. *Syst. Biol.* 41: 370-371.
- MCGUIRE, J. A. 1996. Phylogenetic systematics of crotaphytid lizards (Reptilia: Iguania: Crotaphytidae). *Bull. Carnegie Mus. Nat. Hist.* 32: 1-143.
- MARYANSKA, T., H. OSMOLSKA, and M. WOLSAN. 2002. Avialan status for *Oviraptorosauria*. *Acta Palaeontologica Polonica* 47: 97-116.

- MODESTO, S. P., and J. S. ANDERSON. 2004. The phylogenetic definition of *Reptilia*. *Syst. Biol.* 53: 815-821.
- NORELL, M., J. CLARK, and L. CHIAPPPE. 1993. Naming names. *Nature* 366: 518.
- NORELL, M. A., J. M. CLARK, and J. H. HUTCHISON. 1994. The Late Cretaceous alligatoroid *Brachychampsia montana* (*Crocodylia*): New material and putative relationships. *Am. Mus. Novitates* 3116: 1-26.
- NORELL, M. A., and G. KEQIN. 1997. Braincase and phylogenetic relationships of *Estesia mongoliensis* from the Late Cretaceous of the Gobi Desert and the recognition of a new clade of lizards. *Am. Mus. Novitates*. 3211: 1-25.
- NOVAS F. 1992. Phylogenetic relationships of the basal dinosaurs, the *Herrerasauridae*. *Palaeontology* 35: 51-62.
- OLMSTEAD, R. G., C. W. DEPAMPHILIS, A. D. WOLFE, N. D. YOUNG, W. J. ELISONS, AND P. A. REEVES. 2001. Disintegration of the Scrophulariaceae. *Amer. J. Bot.* 88: 348-361.
- PADIAN, K., and C. L. MAY. 1993. The earliest dinosaurs. Pp. 379-381 in S. G. Lucas and M. Morales (eds.), *The Nonmarine Triassic*. New Mexico Museum of Natural History and Science Bulletin No. 3.
- PATTERSON, C. 1993. Bird or dinosaur. *Nature* 365: 21-22.
- PATTERSON, C. 1993. Naming names. [Reply to Norell & al., 1993.] *Nature* 366: 518.
- PLEIJEL, F. 2001. Revision of *Amphiduros* Hartman, 1959 (*Polychaeta, Hesionidae, Gyptini*). *Ophelia* 54: 15-27.
- PONDER, W. F., and D. R. LINDBERG. 1997. Towards a phylogeny of gastropod mollusks: an analysis using morphological characters. *Zool. Jo. Linn. Soc.* 119: 83-265.
- REISZ, R. R., D. S. BERMAN, and D. SCOTT. 1992. The cranial anatomy and relationships of *Secodontosaurus*, an unusual mammal-like reptile (*Synapsida: Sphenacodontidae*) from the early Permian of Texas. *Zool. Jo. Linn. Soc.* 104: 127-184.
- REISZ, R. R. 1997. The origin and early evolutionary history of amniotes. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* 12: 218-222.
- RICHARDSON, S. L. 2001. Endosymbiont change as a key innovation in the adaptive radiation of *Sortida* (*Foraminifera*). *Paleobiology* 27: 262-289.
- RICQLÈS, A. de, and M. LAURIN. 1999. The origin of tetrapods. Pp. 23-33 in C. Miaud and R. Guyétan (eds), *Current Studies in Herpetology*. Le Bourget du Lac, France: Société Herpétologique de France.
- ROWE, T. 1988. Definition, diagnosis, and origin of *Mammalia*. *J. Vert. Paleontol.* 8: 241-264.
- ROTH, B. 1996. Homoplastic loss of dart apparatus, phylogeny of the genera, and a phylogenetic taxonomy of the *Helminthoglyptidae* (*Gastropoda: Pulmonata*). *The Veliger* 39: 18-42.
- RUTA, M., M. I. COATES, and D. L. J. QUICKE. 2003. Early tetrapod relationships revisited. *Biol. Rev.* 78: 251-345.
- SANGSTER, G. 2005. A name for the clade formed by owlet-nightjars, swifts and hummingbirds (*Aves*). *Zootaxa* 799: 1-6.

- SCHANDER, C. 1997. Taxonomy and phylogeny of the *Pyramidellidae* (Mollusca, Gastropoda, Heterobranchia). Ph. D. dissertation, Department of Zoology, Göteborg University.
- SCHWENK K. 1994. Systematics and subjectivity: The phylogeny and classification of iguanian lizards revisited. *Herpetol. Rev.* 24: 53-57.
- SENDER, P. 2005. Phylogenetic taxonomy and the names of the major archosaurian (*Reptilia*) clades. *PaleoBios* 25:1-7.
- SERENO P. C. 1991. Basal archosaurs: Phylogenetic relationships and functional implications. *Jo. Vert. Paleontol.* 11(supplement): 1-53.
- SMEDMARK, J. E. E. and T. ERIKSSON. 2002. Phylogenetic relationships of *Geum* (*Rosaceae*) and relatives inferred from the *nrITS* and *trnL-trnF* regions. *Syst. Bot.* 27: 303-317.
- STEFANOVIC, S., D. F. AUSTIN, and R. G. OLMSTEAD. 2003. Classification of *Convolvulaceae*: a phylogenetic approach. *Syst. Bot.* 28: 791-806.
- SWANN, E. C., E. M. FRIEDERS, and D. J. MCLAUGHLIN. 1999. *Microbotryum*, *Kriegeria* and the changing paradigm in basidiomycete classification. *Mycologia* 91: 51-66.
- TAYLOR, M. P., and D. NAISH. 2005. The phylogenetic taxonomy of *Diplodocoidea* (*Dinosauria: Sauropoda*). *PaleoBios* 25: 1-7.
- VALLIN, G., and M. LAURIN. 2004. Cranial morphology and affinities of *Microbrachis*, and a reappraisal of the phylogeny and lifestyle of the first amphibians. *J. Vert. Paleontol.* 24: 56-72.
- WADDELL P. J., Y. CAO, J. HAUF, and M. HASEGAWA. 1999. Using novel phylogenetic methods to evaluate mammalian mtDNA, including amino acid-invariant sites-LogDet plus site stripping, to detect internal conflicts in the data, with special reference to the positions of hedgehog, armadillo, and elephant. *Syst. Biol.* 48: 31-53.
- WARHEIT, K. I. 1992. The role of morphometrics and cladistics in the taxonomy of fossils: A paleornithological example. *Syst. Biol.* 41: 345-369.
- WILLEMS, W. R., A. WALLBERG, U. JONDELIUS, D. T. J. LITTLEWOOD, T. BACKELJAU, E. R. SCHOCKAERT, and T. J. ARTOIS. 2006. Filling a gap in the phylogeny of flatworms: relationships within the *Rhabdocoela* (*Platyhelminthes*), inferred from 18S ribosomal DNA sequences. *Zool. Scripta* 35: 1-17.
- WILLIAMS, B. A., and R. F. KAY. 1995. The taxon *Anthropoidea* and the crown clade concept. *Evol. Anthropol.* 3: 188-190.
- WILSON, J. A., and P. C. SERENO. 1998. Early evolution and higher-level phylogeny of sauropod dinosaurs. *Jo. Vert. Paleontol.* 18 (supplement): 1-68.
- WÓJCIK, J. M., and M. WOLSAN. 1998. Evolution of shrews. Białowieża, Poland: Mammal Research Institute, Polish Academy of Sciences.
- WOLFE, A. D., S. L. DATWYLER, and C. P. RANDLE. 2002. A phylogenetic and biogeographic analysis of the *Cheloneae* (*Scrophulariaceae*) based on ITS and *matK* sequence data. *Syst. Bot.* 27: 138-148.
- WOLSAN, M. 1993. Phylogeny and classification of early European *Mustelida* (*Mammalia: Carnivora*). *Acta Theriol.* 38: 345-384.

- WYSS, A. R., and J. J. FLYNN. 1993. A phylogenetic analysis and definition of the *Carnivora*. Pp. 32-52 in F. S. Szalay, M. J. Novacek, and M. C. McKenna (eds.), *Mammal phylogeny: placentals*. Springer-Verlag, New York.
- WYSS, A. R., and J. J. FLYNN. 1995. "Anthropoidea:" a name, not an entity. *Evol. Anthropol.* 3: 187-188.
- WYSS, A. R. 2001. Digging up fresh clues about the origin of mammals. *Science* 292: 1496-1497.

### **Critiques of Phylogenetic Nomenclature:**

- BARKLEY, T. M., P. DEPRIEST, V. FUNK, R. W. KIGER, W. J. KRESS, and G. MOORE. 2004. Linnaean nomenclature in the 21<sup>st</sup> Century: a report from a workshop on integrating traditional nomenclature and phylogenetic classification. *Taxon* 53: 153-158.
- BENTON, M. J. 2000. Stems, nodes, crown clades, and rank-free lists: is Linnaeus dead? *Biol. Rev.* 75: 633-648.
- BERRY, P. E. 2002. Biological inventories and the PhyloCode. *Taxon* 51: 27-29.
- BLACKWELL, W. H. 2002. One-hundred-year code déjà vu? *Taxon* 51: 151-154.
- CARPENTER, J. M. 2003. Critique of pure folly. *Bot. Rev.* 69: 79-92.
- DOMINGUEZ, E. and Q. D. WHEELER. 1997. Taxonomic stability is ignorance. *Cladistics* 13: 367-372.
- DUBOIS, A. 2005. Proposed rules for the incorporation of nomina of higher-ranked zoological taxa in the *International Code of Zoological Nomenclature*. 1. Some general questions, concepts and terms of biological nomenclature. *Zoosystema* 27: 365-426.
- DYKE, G. J. 2002. Should paleontologists use "phylogenetic" nomenclature? *J. Paleontol.* 76: 793-796.
- FOREY, P. L. 2001. The PhyloCode: description and commentary. *Bull. Zool. Nom.* 58: 81-96.
- FOREY, P. L. 2002. *PhyloCode*—pain, no gain. *Taxon* 51: 43-54.
- GAO, K. Q., and Y. L. SUN. 2003. Is the PhyloCode better than Linnaean system? New development and debate on biological nomenclatural issues. *Chinese Sci. Bull.* 48: 308-312.
- GHISELIN, M. T. 1995. Ostensive definitions of the names of species and clades. *Biol. Phil.* 10: 219-222.
- GREUTER, W. 2004. Recent developments in international biological nomenclature. *Turk. Jo. Bot.* 28: 17-26.
- JANOVEC, J. P., L. G. CLARK, and S. A. MORI. 2003. Is the neotropical flora ready for the PhyloCode? *Bot. Rev.* 69: 22-43.
- JØRGENSEN, P. M. 2002. Two nomenclatural systems? *Taxon* 51: 737.
- JØRGENSEN, P. M. 2004. Rankless names in the *Code*? *Taxon* 53: 162.
- KELLER, R. A., R. N. BOYD, and Q. D. WHEELER. 2003. The illogical basis of phylogenetic nomenclature. *Bot. Rev.* 69: 93-110.
- KOJIMA, J. 2003. Apomorphy-based definition also pinpoints a node, and PhyloCode names prevent effective communication. *Bot. Rev.* 69: 44-58.
- LIDÉN, M. and B. OXELMAN. 1996. Do we need phylogenetic taxonomy? *Zool. Scripta* 25: 183-185.

- LIDÉN, M., B. OXELMAN, A. BACKLUND, L. ANDERSSON, B. BREMER, R. ERIKSSON, R. MOBERG, I. NORDAL, K. PERSSON, M. THULIN, and B. ZIMMER. 1997. Charlie is our darling. *Taxon* 46: 735-738.
- LOBL, I. 2001. Les nomenclatures "linéenne" et "phylogénétique", et d'autres problèmes artificiels. *Bull. Soc. Fr. Syst.* 26: 16-21.
- MONSCH, K. A. 2003. The use of apomorphies in taxonomic defining. *Taxon* 52: 105-107.
- MOORE, G. 2003. Should taxon names be explicitly defined? *Bot. Rev.* 69: 2-21.
- NIXON, K. C., and J. M. CARPENTER. 2000. On the other "phylogenetic systematics". *Cladistics* 16:298-318.
- NIXON, K. C., J. M. CARPENTER, and D. W. STEVENSON. 2003. The PhyloCode is fatally flawed, and the "Linnaean" system can easily be fixed. *Bot. Rev.* 69: 111-120.
- PICKETT, K. M. 2005. The new and improved PhyloCode, now with types, ranks, and even polyphyly: a conference report from the First International Phylogenetic Nomenclature Meeting. *Cladistics* 21: 79-82.
- POLASZEK, A. and E. O. WILSON. 2005. Sense and stability in animal names. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* 20: 421-422.
- RIEPEL, O. 2006. The PhyloCode: a critical discussion of its theoretical foundation. *Cladistics* 22: 186-197.
- SCHUH, R. T. 2003. The Linnaean system and its 250-year persistence. *Bot. Rev.* 69: 59-78.
- SLUYS, R., K. Martens, and F. R. SCHRAM. 2004. The PhyloCode: naming of biodiversity at a crossroads. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* 19: 280-281.
- STEVENS, P. F. 2006. An end to all things?—plants and their names. *Australian Syst. Bot.* 19: 115-133.
- STUESSY, T. F. 2000. Taxon names are *not* defined. *Taxon* 49: 231-233.
- STUESSY, T. F. 2001. Taxon names are *still* not defined. *Taxon* 50: 185-186.
- TANG, Y.-C., and A.-M. MING. 2005. Paraphyletic group, PhyloCode and phylogenetic species—the current debate and a preliminary commentary. *Acta Phytotax. Sin.* 43: 403-419.
- WENZEL, J. W., K. C. NIXON, and G. CUCCODORO. 2004. Dites non au PhyloCode! *Bull. Soc. Fr. Syst.* 31: 19-23.

### **Replies to Critiques:**

- BERTRAND, Y. and F. PLEIJEL. 2003. Nomenclature phylogénétique: une réponse. *Bull. Soc. Fr. Syst.* 29: 25-28.
- BRYANT, H. N., and P. D. CANTINO. 2002. A review of criticisms of phylogenetic nomenclature: is taxonomic freedom the fundamental issue? *Biol. Rev.* 77: 39-55.
- CANTINO, P. D. 2000. Phylogenetic nomenclature: addressing some concerns. *Taxon* 49: 85-93.
- CANTINO, P. D. 2004. Classifying species versus naming clades. *Taxon* 53: 795-798.
- DE QUEIROZ, K. 1995. The definitions of species and clade names: A reply to Ghiselin. *Biol. Phil.* 10: 223-228.
- DE QUEIROZ, K. 1997. Misunderstandings about the phylogenetic approach to biological nomenclature: a reply to Lidén and Oxelman. *Zool. Scr.* 26: 67-70.

- DE QUEIROZ, K. 2000. The definitions of taxon names: a reply to Stuessy. *Taxon* 49:533-536.
- DE QUEIROZ, K. 2006. The PhyloCode and the distinction between taxonomy and nomenclature. *Syst. Biol.* 55: 160-162.
- DE QUEIROZ, K., and P. D. CANTINO. 2001. Phylogenetic nomenclature and the PhyloCode. *Bull. Zool. Nom.* 58: 254-271.
- DE QUEIROZ, K., and P. D. CANTINO. 2001. Taxon names, not taxa, are defined. *Taxon* 50: 821-826.
- DONOGHUE, M. J. and J. A. GAUTHIER. 2004. Implementing the PhyloCode. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* 19: 281-282.
- LAURIN, M. 2005. Dites oui au PhyloCode. *Bull. Soc. Fr. Syst.* 34: 25-31.
- LAURIN, M., K. DE QUEIROZ, P. CANTINO, N. CELLINESE, and R. OLMSTEAD. 2005. The PhyloCode, types, ranks, and monophyly: a response to Pickett. *Cladistics* 21: 605-607.
- LAURIN, M., K. DE QUEIROZ, and P. D. CANTINO. 2006. Sense and stability of taxon names. *Zool. Scr.* 35: 113-114.
- LEE, M. S. Y. 1996a. The phylogenetic approach to biological taxonomy: practical aspects. *Zool. Scr.* 25: 187-190.
- LEE, M. S. Y. 2001. On recent arguments for phylogenetic nomenclature. *Taxon* 50: 175-180.
- SCHANDER, C. 1998. Types, emendations and names — a reply to Lidén et al. *Taxon* 47: 401-406.