

**Anthropology Thesis Prospectus Assessment**

Proposal/Thesis Title: \_\_\_\_\_

Honor Student's Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Committee Member Submitting: \_\_\_\_\_

Please evaluate the quality of the Honor Thesis prospectus [excellent = 4 to needs significant improvement = 1]

1. Quality of concepts and/or theoretical reasoning

\_\_\_\_excellent \_\_\_\_good \_\_\_\_satisfactory \_\_\_\_needs significant improvement

2. Effectiveness in communicating clearly the conceptual and/or theoretical relevance of the research project

\_\_\_\_excellent \_\_\_\_good \_\_\_\_satisfactory \_\_\_\_needs significant improvement

3. Appropriateness of research methodology for questions posed in the prospectus

\_\_\_\_excellent \_\_\_\_good \_\_\_\_satisfactory \_\_\_\_needs significant improvement

4. Evidence of student's competence to complete proposed research or methods proposed

\_\_\_\_excellent \_\_\_\_good \_\_\_\_satisfactory \_\_\_\_needs significant improvement

5. Selection of topic, its relevance in anthropology

\_\_\_\_excellent \_\_\_\_good \_\_\_\_satisfactory \_\_\_\_needs significant improvement

6. Logical organization of research prospectus

\_\_\_\_excellent \_\_\_\_good \_\_\_\_satisfactory \_\_\_\_needs significant improvement

7. Overall quality of writing.

\_\_\_\_excellent \_\_\_\_good \_\_\_\_satisfactory \_\_\_\_needs significant improvement

8. Overall quality of anthropological reasoning in the prospectus.

\_\_\_\_excellent \_\_\_\_good \_\_\_\_satisfactory \_\_\_\_needs significant improvement

9. Quality of oral communication skills evident during the oral defense of the prospectus

\_\_\_\_excellent \_\_\_\_good \_\_\_\_satisfactory \_\_\_\_needs significant improvement

10. Reasons for ratings of "needs significant improvement:"

\_\_\_\_\_