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Chapter 1

Introduction

Pattern recognition techniques are often an important component of intelligent systems and are used for both data pre-processing and decision making. Broadly speaking, pattern recognition is the science that concerns the description or classification (recognition) of measurements. The following enumerates a few of the areas where pattern recognition finds its application [2]:

- Image preprocessing, segmentation, and analysis
- Computer vision
- Artificial intelligence
- Seismic analysis
- Radar signal classification/analysis
- Speech recognition/understanding
- Fingerprint identification
- Character (letter or number) recognition
- Handwriting analysis
- Electro-cardiographic signal analysis/understanding
- Medical diagnosis
- Socioeconomic
- Archaeology
There are three inter-related pattern recognition approaches:

- Statistical Pattern Recognition
- Syntactic Pattern Recognition
- Neural Pattern Recognition

### 1.1 Statistical pattern recognition approach

Statistical pattern recognition attempts to classify patterns based on a set of extracted features and an underlying statistical model for the generation of these patterns. It assumes a statistical basis for classification of algorithms. A set of features is extracted from the input data and is used to assign each feature vector to one of the classes. We concentrate on developing decision or classification strategies, which form classifiers. The classifier design attempts to integrate all available information and decision rules are formulated.

In the use of statistical pattern recognition approach, the structure of the pattern is insignificant, so if the structural information is unavailable or irrelevant, it makes sense to use statistical pattern recognition approach. Some existing statistical approaches are discussed in Chapter 2 of this thesis [3].

![Fig. 1.1: Statistical PR approach.](image-url)
1.2 **Syntactic pattern recognition approach**

The principle behind this approach is that many times the interrelationships or interconnections of features yield important structural information, which facilitates structural description or classification. Therefore, in these approaches we must be able to quantify and extract structural information and to assess structural similarity of patterns. In general, these approaches formulate hierarchical description of complex patterns built up from simpler sub-patterns. For e.g. musical patterns. There are 6 octaves and each octave is subdivided into distinct tones, giving a total of about 72 distinct tones, which are common to all musical classes. The premise of syntactic pattern recognition is that the structure of an entity is paramount, and that it may be used for classification and description. It is used for both classification and description. Classification may be based on measures of pattern structural similarity. When explicit structural information about the patterns is available, it makes sense to use syntactical pattern recognition approach [3].

![Fig. 1.2: Syntactic PR approach.](image)

**Structural Models** (e.g., sets of grammars)

Unification w/One or More Structural Models

input string

decision/classification
1.3 Neural pattern recognition approach

This approach makes use of the knowledge of how biological neural systems store and manipulate information. They are particularly well suited for pattern association applications. Artificial neural networks (ANNs) provide an emerging paradigm for pattern recognition implementation that involves large interconnected networks of relatively simple and typically nonlinear units so called neural-nets. Basically, three entities characterize an ANN [3]:

1. The network topology, or interconnection of neural units,
2. The characteristics of individual units or artificial neurons, and
3. The strategy for pattern learning or training.

The myriad of potential neural network applications for pattern recognition includes:

- Feature extraction from complex data sets (e.g., images and speech);
- Character recognition and image processing applications, and
- Direct and parallel implementation of matching and search algorithms.

The problems are characterized by following qualities

- A high-dimensional problem space,
- Complex interactions between problem variables, and
- A solution space that may be empty, contain a unique solution, or contain a number of almost equally useful solutions.
The objective of the thesis is to present the approach used for feature extraction of the HRR signals. It was demonstrated in this work that by selecting features based on evolutionary use of Haar wavelets, new features with better classification properties could be obtained. The convergence is very fast and produces results close to the Bayesian classification limits. The quality of the obtained transformation is measured using the entropy based information index. This index was developed for data sets with estimated probability density functions of different classes. Any mutual dependence of the extracted features is automatically accounted for by performing a Monte Carlo integration in multi-dimensional space. The confidence interval of the predicted performance is related to standard deviation of the information index and depends on the information level and the number of training points used. Chapter 3 discusses the algorithms used and the simulation results and graphs for some sample signals are shown in Chapter 4. Chapter 5 shows an architecture in which the discussed algorithm could be implemented on VLSI chips. The detailed implementation techniques and methods are discussed in the thesis, “Reconfigurable Wavelet-Based Architecture for Pattern
Recognition Applications Using a Field Programmable Gate Array” by Mr. Abdulqadir Alaeeeli. Chapter 6 lists the conclusions based on the work done and future work.

1.1 Tools used for the work:

Matlab was used to develop the algorithms and produce various results and graphs. The VHDL code was targeted to the Xilinx 4000 series FPGA, and the Xilinx Foundation tools were used for that.
Chapter 2

Statistical approaches to pattern recognition

There are two aspects to pattern recognition - developing a decision rule and using it. The actual recognition occurs in the use of the rule, the pattern is defined in the learning process by the labeled samples. Since ‘O’ could be seen as a ‘circle’ or as a character ‘O’, the pattern recognition problem thus begins with class definition and labeled samples of those classes in some workable representation. The problem is solved when a decision rule is derived which assigns a unique label to new patterns. Pattern recognition is concerned primarily with the description and analysis of measurements taken from processes [1]. For this preprocessing is often required to remove noise and measurement redundancy. An important step for any classification algorithm is feature selection and extraction from the training data.

2.1 Feature selection (or preprocessing):

Feature selection is the process by which a sample in the measurement space is described by a finite and usually smaller set of numbers called frames, which become components of the pattern space. Its based on the hypothesis that the training data follow some natural rules, which makes presence or absence of data from a given class in a specific location of the input space predictable. The role of feature extraction is to transform the input space in possibly a nonlinear fashion establishing separation boundaries between classes.
Extraction of features depends on selection of a transformation operator which can be linear (e.g. projection, wavelets, Fourier transform) or nonlinear [4].

2.2 **Histograms:**
This approach is one of the oldest to estimate probability density functions. The line in which the samples occur is divided into several intervals. The probability of a sample occurring in each interval is defined by the number of sample points in that interval divided by the total number of sample points. The probability density is the probability divided by the length of the interval. As the dimensionality increases the number of sample points needed to estimate the density functions increases enormously and so the histogram approach is seldom used for estimation of probability density functions.

2.3 **Parametric Methods:**
This approach defines the discriminant function by a class of probability densities defined by a relatively small number of parameters. Its generally assumed that each pattern class arises from a multivariate Gaussian (normal) distribution, where parameters are the mean and covariance.
Two approaches are used for parametric estimation. They are:

- **Maximum Likelihood Estimation**: parameters are fixed but unknown i.e. it seeks for parameters which maximizes the probability of obtaining the given training set.

- **Bayesian approach**: models the to-be-estimated parameters as random variables with some assumed known distribution. It uses training set to update density function of known parameters.

Fig. 2.1: Determination of parameters for Gaussian distribution.

\[ p(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma}} e^{-\frac{(x-m)^2}{2\sigma^2}} \]

\[ p(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}^n \sqrt{|\Sigma|}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(X-M)^T \Sigma^{-1} (X-M)} \]

Fig. 2.2: Illustration of the maximum likelihood approach.
Problem with parametric learning is

- It might be difficult to determine a specific form (e.g. Gaussian, Uniform) for the distribution.

Fig. 2.3: Classification of Gaussian data sets using Bayes classifier

Fig. 2.4: Illustration of Bayes classification error.
• The chosen form does not fit one of the estimable formulations.

2.4 Non-parametric methods:

They use parameterized discriminant functions, like the coefficients of a multivariate polynomial of some degree. No conventional form of probability distribution is assumed. The following section describes in detail one of the non-parametric approach.

2.4.1 k-NN approach:

The rule is – classify a point as a member of the class to which majority of its k-nearest neighbors belong [2]. It assumes that distance between points is a legitimate measure of the similarity of the patterns they represent. The algorithm weights equally all k-nearest neighbors, no matter what their relative distance from the point in question. In implementation, nearest neighbor pattern classification requires storage of the entire sample points and computation of the distance from all to a point in question. Use of this method requires careful normalization of pattern space and choice of distance and is best for small number of samples of reasonably low dimension. Figure 2.5 illustrates the kNN approach.
2.4.2 Parzen window approach:

The Parzen-window approach is a well-know technology for estimating probability density functions. A density function (the kernel) $\Phi(x)$ is used for which: $\Phi(x) > 0$, $\int \Phi(x) dx = V$. Having samples $x_i$, then the estimated pdf $\hat{p}(x)$ is obtained from [6]:

$$\hat{p}(x) = \frac{1}{V_n n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \Phi_n(x - x_i)$$

where $n$ is the total number of data and $V_n$ is the kernel volume:

$$V_n = \int \Phi_n(x) dx$$
The kernel is usually a function of \( \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \), i.e. the larger the number of data the smaller the kernel. In practice, the number of data is limited and therefore the kernel can not be taken too small (otherwise, holes and spikes appear in the estimated pdf. In practice Gaussian kernel is often used and a width is chosen which optimizes the classification performance. Another practical problem is the computational time and storage for estimating a pdf value.

Fig. 2.6 Illustration of the Parzen window approach.

**Original estimate**

\[
\hat{p}(X) = \frac{k}{Nh} = \frac{3}{Nh}
\]

**Parzen estimate**

\[
\hat{p}(X) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \kappa(X - X_i) = \frac{1}{N} \left( \frac{1}{h^2} + \frac{1}{h} + \frac{1}{h} \right) = \frac{3}{Nh}
\]
Chapter 3

Entropy based selection of optimum transformation of input data

In the classification task, a favorable occurrence is when an object (or signal) is correctly classified. If classification is based on given probability distribution functions, one possible approach to classify an observed signal is to evaluate pdf functions for different classes of this observed signal. The signal is classified as an object of the class with the maximum pdf value. Let us consider a two-class classification problem with pdf functions as shown in Figure 3.1.

![Probability distribution function of two classes.](image)

Fig. 3.1: Probability distribution function of two classes.

Probability that a signal from class 1 will be correctly classified under this scenario equals to the integral under pdf1 for all random variables X for which pdf1 > pdf2. Let us define S1 as a subspace of the input space for which pdf1 > pdf2. In order to obtain the value of integral $\int_{S1} (pdf1) \, dx$, a number of schemes were used and are discussed further.
3.1 Cartesian Grid Method: The motivation for this approach arose from the simple way of integral approximation. The input space is divided into equally sized segments and integral over each segment is approximated by multiplying the volume of the each segment by the average value of the integrated function over this volume. Total integral is approximated by the sum of the segment integrals. Initially a unit grid centered at the origin is made. Each dimension is divided into equal number of parts given by ‘numPoints’. In order to map the unit grid to the actual points we multiply the original grid points by maximum standard deviation of the original points and a constant named ‘varstd’. The value of this constant is chosen so that statistically almost 98% of the points are covered. Having obtained the grid of points in cartesian coordinates we now perform QR factorization of the actual signal shifted to the origin of the coordinate system. The resulting two matrices Q and R will be used to obtain a linear transformation of the input space, where Q is the orthogonal matrix and R is the upper triangular matrix. Having Q and R we can easily obtain an N-dimensional ellipsoid which encloses the original points in the input space and can be used to describe n-dimensional probability density function (pdf) of the data points in the original input space. The original data points can be transformed to the orthogonal space by using multiplication of the input signals by $R^{-1}$. In the orthogonal space, all data points are equally spread around the origin. Their distribution is approximately Gaussian with the mean value equal to zero and standard deviation equal to $1/\sqrt{m-1}$, where $m$ is the number of data points (also equal to standard deviation of the matrix Q). To include most of the data points in an
enclosing n-dimensional sphere we multiply the standard deviation by 2.3 (includes close to 98% of all normally distributed samples).

\[
\int pdf = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \Delta S_i
\]
for N dimensions, m grid points are needed to estimate \( \int pdf \)

**Fig 3.2: Illustration of uniform and non-uniform grid for direct integration in 1D.**

The enclosing sphere (and the Gaussian probability density function) can be transformed back to the original input space by taking product of vectors in the orthogonal space with matrix R. Therefore, the enclosing sphere will be transformed to enclosing ellipsoid in the input space. Subsequently, all the grid points are transformed to the orthogonal space spanned by column vectors of matrix Q and points lying outside the enclosing n-dimensional sphere are discarded. Now, we have reduced the earlier generated grid to a selected set of points over which we are going to calculate the pdf. The program that generates the Cartesian grid is called cartplot.m. Figure 3.3 illustrates the 2-dimensional grid. It shows the original points in ‘o’ and the original grid points with ‘+’. The ellipse
which bounds the original set of points is shown in red with '−' and the grid points which are selected and lie within the ellipse are shown with '★'.

**Fig. 3.3: 2-dimensional grid.**

- **red** (.): ellipse bounding the signal
- **green** (+): original set of points
- **magenta** (o): original signal
- **blue** (*): selected set of points
Figures 3.4 and 3.5 illustrate the 3-dimensional process. The original set of points and the selected grid points are shown in Figure 3.4, while the original grid points and the ellipse which bounds the original set of data points are shown in Figure 3.5.

**Fig. 3.4: 3-dimensional grid: Original points and the selected grid points.**

- magenta (o): original set of points
- blue (*): selected set of points
Fig. 3.5: 3-dimensional grid.

- **yellow (.):** ellipse bounding the signal
- **blue (.):** original set of points
3.2 **Spherical Grid Method:** Cartesian grid approach is simple to use, however it is not the most numerically efficient way of finding n-dimensional integrals. Considering specificity of Gaussian functions (exponentially declining values) significant savings in computations can be obtained. The same accuracy can be obtained with non-uniform distribution of the grid points and total number of grid points reduced. First, a regular n-dimensional grid is obtained using polar coordinates. Polar coordinates are described by specifying the radius and angles in all directions. The increment in radius $\Delta r_1$ is obtained from the function `intstep`. This function evaluates the steps for integration of normal 1-dimensional pdf under the assumption that discrete integration using selected points introduces equal increments of the integral values. Once the increments in radius are known we make the grid in polar form. The angles vary from 0 to $\pi$ and radius varies from 0 to maximum value obtained from intstep function. Starting from the 2-dimensional case the projection of the radius vector is used to determine the radius of next higher dimension such that the resulting grid points are equally distributed on the surface of n-dimensional sphere with radius $r_1$.

To illustrate this process let us assume that we want to obtain a radius and a number of grid points on a single circle around a 3-dimensional sphere. We assume that the sphere radius is $r_1$ and the projection angle $\alpha_1$ is used. The radius $r_2$ is obtained from projection of $r_2$ using

$$r_2 = r_1 \ast \cos \alpha_1$$

as shown in Figure 3.6.
Radius $r_2$ is needed to determine values of $\alpha_2$, where $\alpha_2$ has the increment

$$\Delta \alpha_2 = \Delta r_1/r_2$$

$\alpha_2$ starts from 0 and is incremented by $\Delta\alpha_2$ until it reaches $\pi$. The number of grid points on this circle is less than or equal to $2\pi r_2/\Delta r_1$. Subsequently $r_3$, which is a radius of a circle around 4-dimensional sphere, is obtained using:

$$r_3 = r_2 \cdot \cos \alpha_1$$

Values of $\alpha_3$ are also computed from increment in $\alpha_3$ which is given by:

$$\Delta \alpha_3 = \Delta r_1/r_3$$

This continues until the highest dimension is reached. Then with the radius $r_1$ and varying angles from 0 to $\pi$ in each dimension we obtain a set of grid points equally

Fig. 3.6: Figure indicating how to obtain radius projection into other dimension.
distributed on the surface of n-dimensional sphere with radius $r_1$. A function \texttt{Ndsph} was written which generates the entire grid points on this sphere by calling itself recursively. The procedure \texttt{Ndsph} is repeated for each $r_1$ value which was determined by \texttt{intstep}. The result is a polar grid of points that fill the upper half of n-dimensional volume. Examples of generated polar grids for 2 and 3-dimensional volumes are as shown on Figure 3.7 and Figure 3.8.

Fig. 3.7: 2-dimensional Spherical Grid in rectangular coordinates.
Fig. 3.8: 3-dimensional Spherical Grid in rectangular coordinates.
These spherical points are then converted to the Cartesian set of points. The formulas used to convert the spherical coordinates to the Cartesian form are as follows:

\[ X_n = r \cdot \sin \alpha_{n-1} \]
\[ X_{n-1} = r \cdot \cos \alpha_{n-1} \cdot \sin \alpha_{n-2} \]
\[ X_{n-2} = r \cdot \cos \alpha_{n-1} \cdot \cos \alpha_{n-2} \cdot \sin \alpha_{n-3} \]
\[ \ldots \]
\[ X_2 = r \cdot \cos \alpha_{n-1} \cdot \cos \alpha_{n-2} \cdot \cos \alpha_{n-3} \cdot \ldots \cdot \cos \alpha_2 \cdot \sin \alpha_1 \]
\[ X_1 = r \cdot \cos \alpha_{n-1} \cdot \cos \alpha_{n-2} \cdot \cos \alpha_{n-3} \cdot \ldots \cdot \cos \alpha_2 \cdot \cos \alpha_1 \]

For instance, the Cartesian form of the 2-dimensional grid shown in Figure 3.7 is illustrated in Figure 3.9. This grid needs to be complemented to fill full n-dimensional volume by symmetrical projection around the coordinate center, as well as adding the center point. The resulting grid for 2-dimensional case is shown in Figure 3.10.
Fig. 3.9: 2-dimensional Spherical Grid in polar form.
Fig. 3.10: 2-dimensional Spherical Grid in Cartesian form, shown in cartesian coordinates.
Once the grid is converted from polar coordinates to Cartesian form, transformation based on QR factorization is applied and the grid points lying outside the unit circle are discarded. This method of QR factorization and discarding the points outside the unit circle is the same as described in Cartesian grid described previously. The program that makes the spherical grid is called `sphnd.m` and it calls two routines `intstep` and `Ndsph`. These programs are attached in Appendix. Figure 3.11 illustrates the grid selection process in which the ‘+’ sign shows the original grid points and ‘o’ shows the original signal points, ‘*’ indicate the selected grid points and ‘-‘ in red shows the ellipse which bounds the original set of points and bounds the selected grid points.
Fig. 3.11: 2-dimensional Spherical grid.

- **red** (-): ellipse bounding the signal
- **green** (+): original set of points
- **magenta** (o): original signal
- **blue** (*): selected set of points
Figures 3.12 and 3.13 show the 3-dimensional case. Figure 3.12 shows the ellipse and the original set of points, while Figure 3.13 shows the original grid and selected grid points.
Fig. 3.13: Original and Selected Grid Points.

Blue (+): Original Grid Points
Green (*): Selected Grid Points
3.3 Monte Carlo Method: One of the major drawbacks of Cartesian and Spherical approach was that with increase in dimension, the number of grid points generated grew exponentially. Monte Carlo method eliminates this problem by generating a fixed known number of random points to approximate the integral. In Monte Carlo integration the estimate of integral \( \int_{S_1} (\text{pdf}1) \, dx \), is obtained by counting how many random points generated by pdf1 have pdf1 > pdf2. Then the probability of correct classification for class 1 is approximated from:

\[
\text{Probability} = \frac{\text{Total number of random points for which pdf1 > pdf2}}{\text{Total number of random points generated}}
\]

As shown in figure 3.14 all points to the left of point Z have pdf value greater for pdf1 than for pdf2.

![Fig. 3.14: Probability based on pdf1 > pdf2.](image)

A slight modification of the above scheme is to use weighted pdfs. Now a point is classified as a point of class 1 with the believe level determined by:

\[
\frac{\text{pdf}_1(x)}{\text{pdf}_1(x) + \text{pdf}_2(x)}
\]
and as a point of class 2 with the believe level determined by:

$$\frac{pdf_2(x)}{pdf_1(x) + pdf_2(x)}$$

As a result of weighted pdf functions we need to estimate their integrals with weighted probabilities. Figure 3.15 shows the region of each weighted probability.

![Fig. 3.15: Region of each weighted probability.](image)

Figure 3.16 illustrates the generation of points in the Monte Carlo method.
Fig 3.16 Illustration for Monte-Carlo integration approach – generation of points.

Fig. 3.17 Illustration for Monte-Carlo integration approach – probability calculation.
Fig. 3.18 Relationship between information index and probability of misclassification.

As is clear from figure 3.18, in order to achieve a high information index, we need to correctly classify the points i.e. probability of misclassification should be minimum.

The information is determined as

\[
\text{info} = 1 - \frac{\text{entr}}{\text{maxentr}}
\]  

(3.1)

where

\[
\text{entr} = -(P1 \cdot \log(P1) + P2 \cdot \log(P2)) + P1w \cdot \log(P1w) + P2w \cdot \log(P2w) + P12w \cdot \log(P12w) + P21w \cdot \log(P21w)
\]  

(3.2)
and

\[
\text{maxentr} = -(P1 \cdot \log(P1) + P2 \cdot \log(P2)) + P11 \cdot \log(P11) + \\
P22 \cdot \log(P22) + 2 \cdot P12 \cdot \log(P12)
\]  

(3.3)

where

\[
P11 = \frac{P1^2}{P1 + P2} \quad P22 = \frac{P2^2}{P1 + P2} \quad P12 = \frac{P1 \cdot P2}{P1 + P2}
\]

\textit{newalgma.m} was the main program written for this and it calls two routines \textit{infopdfV.m} for one dimensional analysis and \textit{infoND.m} for higher dimension analysis.
Chapter 4

Wavelet based transformation

Wavelets are a class of linear transformations of the input data for the purpose of data compression or representation. Wavelets cut up data into different frequency components, and then analyze each component with a resolution matched to its scale. The scale that we use to look at data plays a special role [8]. Wavelet algorithms process data at different scales or resolutions. They have advantages over traditional Fourier methods in analyzing physical situations where the signal contains discontinuities and sharp spikes. Fourier basis functions are localized in frequency but not in time. Small frequency changes in the Fourier transform will produce changes everywhere in time domain. Wavelets are local in both frequency and time domain. With wavelet analysis, we can use approximating functions that are contained neatly in finite domains. This makes wavelets useful in signal processing, data transmission and pattern recognition. Because the original signal or function can be represented in terms of a wavelet expansion (using coefficients in a linear combination of the wavelet functions), data operations can be performed using just the corresponding wavelet coefficients. Moreover, if we choose the best wavelet coefficients adapted to our data, (or truncate the wavelet coefficients below a specified threshold), the data is sparsely represented and require fewer resources for storage, transmission and processing. Due to this sparse coding, FBI has standardized the use of wavelets in digital fingerprint image compression.
4.1 **The Haar Wavelet:** The Haar wavelet is the simplest and oldest of all wavelets. It is generated by the step function taking values 1 and –1, on [0,1) and [1,1), respectively. Figure 4.1 shows the graph of Haar wavelet.

![Haar Wavelet Graph]

**Fig. 4.1: Haar Wavelet.**

One property of the Haar wavelet is that it has compact support, which means that it vanishes outside of a finite interval. Its discrete value makes it easy to represent and manipulate on a digital computer. It is also very easy to build a dedicated processing hardware that implements Haar wavelet. Unfortunately, Haar wavelets are not continuously differentiable which somewhat limits their applications.

In order to see how Harr transform works, we first consider two numbers \( a \) and \( b \). The average and difference is given as

\[
\text{avg} = \frac{(a + b)}{2}, \quad \text{diff} = (a - b)
\]

Now in order for these numbers (avg and diff) to be useful, we must be able to reconstruct the original numbers from them. In the Haar transform the above two equations are the basic equations used. Let us assume an input signal of length \( N \) which
we assume to be a power of 2 for simplicity, $a_0 \ a_1 \ a_2 \ a_3 \ ... \ a_{N-1}$. The Haar transform is defined as

$$H_i = \frac{(a_{2^i} + a_{2^i+1})}{2} \quad \text{where } i = 0 \ldots (N / 2) - 1 \quad \text{(average components)}$$

$$H_{(N/2)+i} = (a_{2^i} - a_{2^i+1}) \quad \text{where } i = 0 \ldots (N / 2) - 1 \quad \text{(difference components)}$$

The following table shows an example of a signal represented by 8 discrete values and results on the first level transformation obtained by the presented equations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(a) Haar Transform Example</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Input Signal</td>
<td>$a_0$</td>
<td>$a_1$</td>
<td>$a_2$</td>
<td>$a_3$</td>
<td>$a_4$</td>
<td>$a_5$</td>
<td>$a_6$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-0.5</td>
<td>-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haar Coefficients</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>average components</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>-0.25</td>
<td>-0.75</td>
<td>-0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>difference components</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$H_i = \frac{(a_{2^i} + a_{2^i+1})}{2}$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>where $i = 0 \ldots 3$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$H_{i+i} = a_{2^i} - a_{2^{i+1}}$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>where $i = 0 \ldots 3$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.1: Haar Transform example.

In the preceding example, the Haar transform was run once (or one level) over the input signal. The difference component of the first level tells us where dramatic local changes in the input signal occur. Large differences indicate large change between adjacent input
signal values. Differences near zero tell us that adjacent input signal values are somehow similar. By running the Haar transform again on the first level average and difference components we can generate information about a larger part of the input signal since each new coefficient contains information about 4 adjacent input signal values. We repeat the process until we obtain single average and difference components (which are defined on the all input signal values). Stated succinctly, each successive transform level reveals courser frequency (change) information about a larger part of the input signal. If the signal has N coefficients, then the Haar transform can be run \( \log_2(N) \) times producing N coefficients in each run. Table 2 shows the value of Haar wavelet coefficients at each level and Fig. 4.2 show waveforms that represent the obtained coefficients after each loop of the Haar transform algorithm. Notice that in this waveform interpretation coefficients represent the inner product of the input signal and the corresponding waveform.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Input Signal</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>0.5</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>0.5</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>-0.5</th>
<th>-1</th>
<th>-0.5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Level 1</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>-0.25</td>
<td>-0.75</td>
<td>-0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>-0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level 2</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>-0.5</td>
<td>-0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level 3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.2: Haar wavelet coefficients at each level.
**Variation of one coefficient with other coefficients**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Original</th>
<th>After 1st loop</th>
<th>After 2nd loop</th>
<th>After 3rd loop</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><img src="image1" alt="Graph" /></td>
<td><img src="image2" alt="Graph" /></td>
<td><img src="image3" alt="Graph" /></td>
<td><img src="image4" alt="Graph" /></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Fig. 4.2: Waveform interpretation of Haar coefficients.*
The following section explains how the Haar transform was used to determine the input space transformation which maximized information in 1-dimension.

4.2 1 Dimensional Analysis: We start with two classes of signals and perform Haar transform on them. Once the Haar transform has been performed, the next step is to evaluate the entropy measure. The entropy measure and its evaluation will be discussed in the following section. The information thus obtained shows which coefficient if used in signal preprocessing, will most differentiate the input signals for classification. The coefficients are reordered in descending order of information content and we select N coefficients with the maximum information content. Now we are ready for the next iteration. The program iterates until the change in the maximum information in successive iterations is less than some epsilon value. At the end of all iterations, we have used Haar wavelet to determine the best coefficients needed to obtain maximum information for the classification problem. The obtained transformation of the input signals is now much more complex than the original Haar wavelets and in fact represents a dedicated transformation of the input data optimum for a given classification problem. It was automatically generated by repetitive use of the Haar wavelet. The main program for the 1-dimensional analysis is `onedim.m` and it calls two routines, `constant.m`, which declares all the constants and, `infopdfV.m` which determines the entropy.

4.3 Entropy Determination: First the input signals are divided into classes and each class probability density function is estimated using its mean and standard deviation by:
The weighted probability density functions $pdf_{xy}$ are determined based on the probability density functions of signals from different classes. The information index is determined as

$$info = 1 - \frac{entr}{maxentr}$$

(4.2)

where

$$entr = -(P1.*\log(P1) + P2.*\log(P2)) + P1w.*\log(P1w) + P2w.*\log(P2w) + P12w.*\log(P12w) + P21w.*\log(P21w)$$

(4.3)

and

$$maxentr = -(P1.*\log(P1) + P2.*\log(P2)) + P11.*\log(P11) + P21.*\log(P21) + 2.*P12.*\log(P12)$$

(4.4)

The following figures show some examples. Figure 4.3 shows the original signal, figure 4.4 the signal after noise is added, figure 4.5 the measure of information during each iteration, and figure 4.6 the way the coefficients were mixed to obtain the maximum information.
Fig. 4.3: Original signals.
Fig. 4.4: Original signals with noise.
Fig. 4.5: Information measure after each iteration.
Fig. 4.6: Waveform interpretation of the selected coefficients.
In the next two examples, the two signals contain 128 coefficients each. A class of input signals was then generated from the two input signals by adding random noise. Then Haar wavelet transformation and the selection of coefficients was performed and repeated iteratively until there was no further improvement in the information index. Figure 4.7 and 4.11 shows the original signals and the waveform interpretation of the obtained transformation of the input signals that best differentiates the two signals. As we can see, the optimized transformation correctly learned where the two signals are different and it applies different weight to different areas of the input signals to stress the varying importance of the signals difference. Figure 4.8 and 4.12 shows the information index after each iteration for only 8 best coefficients, figure 4.9 and 4.13 shows the information after each iteration for all 128 coefficients, and figure 4.10 and 4.14 shows the way first four coefficients were composed.
Fig. 4.7: Original signals and the evolved waveform that best separates the two signals.
Fig. 4.8: Entropy measure for best 8 coefficients after each iteration.
Fig. 4.9: Entropy measure for all the coefficients after each iteration.
Composition of each coefficient wrt other coefficients

x-axis: coefficient number, y-axis: strength of each coefficient

Fig. 4.10: Waveform interpretation of the selected coefficients.
Fig. 4.11: Original signals and the evolved waveform that best separates the two signals.
Fig. 4.12: Entropy measure for best 8 coefficients after each iteration.
Fig. 4.13: Entropy measure for all the coefficients after each iteration.
Fig. 4.14: Waveform interpretation of the selected coefficients.
Chapter 5

FPGA realization of Haar Wavelet

Field-Programmable Gate Arrays (FPGAs) are flexible and reusable high-density circuits that can be (re)configured by the designer, enabling the VLSI design/validation/simulation cycle to be performed more quickly and cheaply. FPGAs can implement thousands of logic gates in a single IC and it can be programmed by users at their site in a few seconds or less depending on the device type used. The design risk is low and the development time is short. These advantages have made FPGAs very popular for prototype development, custom computing, digital signal processing, and logic emulation [9].

XC4000 Series devices are implemented with a regular, flexible, programmable architecture of Configurable Logic Blocks (CLBs), interconnected by a powerful hierarchy of versatile routing resources, and surrounded by a perimeter of programmable Input/Output Blocks (IOBs). They have generous routing resources to accommodate the most complex interconnect patterns. Because Xilinx FPGAs can be reprogrammed an unlimited number of times, they can be used in innovative designs where hardware is changed dynamically, or where hardware must be adapted to different user applications. FPGA devices can be reconfigured to change logic function while resident in the system. This capability gives the system designer a new degree of freedom not available with any other type of logic. Hardware can be changed as easily as software. Design updates or
modifications are easy, and can be made to products already in the field. An FPGA can even be reconfigured dynamically to perform different functions at different times. Reconfigurable logic can be used to implement system self-diagnostics, create systems capable of being reconfigured for different environments or operations, or implement multi-purpose hardware for a given application. As an added benefit, using reconfigurable FPGA devices simplifies hardware design and debugging and shortens product time-to-market.

5.1 Basic Functional Blocks: The basic architecture of the XC4000 series is shown in Figure 5.1. The Xilinx XC4000 series contain three major building blocks [12]:

- Configurable Logic Block (CLB)
- Input/Output Block (IOB)
- Programmable Interconnect

![Configurable Logic Block (CLB), Input/Output Block (IOB), Programable Interconnect]

Fig. 5.1: General architecture of the Xilinx XC4000 FPGA.
5.1.1 Configurable Logic Block: This is the main, logic building block in a FPGA. It consists of two 4-input function generators (F and G) and one 3-input function generator (H). Together they are capable of implementing a 9-variable function. Each CLB consists of two storage elements that can either store the output of function generators or can be independently configured as flip-flops or latches. Figure 5.2 shows the block diagram of a CLB [12].

![Block diagram of a Configurable Logic Block (CLB)](image)

**Fig. 5.2:** Block diagram of a Configurable Logic Block (CLB).

5.1.2 Input/Output Block: User configurable input/output blocks (IOBs) provide the interface between external package pins and the internal logic. Each IOB controls one
package pin and can be configured for input, output, or bi-directional signals. Figure 5.3 shows the block diagram of an IOB [12].

![Block diagram of an Input/Output Block (IOB).](image)

**Fig. 5.3: Block diagram of an Input/Output Block (IOB).**

### 5.1.3 Programmable Interconnect:
A high level diagram of the routing resources associated with one CLB is shown in Figure 5.4. Five interconnect types are distinguished by the relative length of their segments: single-length lines, double-length lines, quad lines, octal lines and long lines. In the XC4000 FPGAs, direct connects allow fast data flow between adjacent CLBs, and between IOBs and CLBs. The horizontal and vertical single- and double-length lines intersect at a box called a programmable switch.
matrix (PSM). Each switch matrix consists of programmable pass transistors used to establish connections between the lines (Figure 5.5).

Fig. 5.4: High level routing diagram of the XC4000 FPGA

Fig. 5.5: Programmable switch matrix
5.2 FPGA Implementation of Haar Wavelet: The speed of a neural network can be significantly increased if data is fed in parallel. For parallel implementation of data, FPGAs can serve as an ideal source. Hence, a simple parallel architecture was thought of. Figure 5.6 shows the block diagram for this architecture for an 8-coefficient Haar wavelet. The registers, adders and difference blocks are triggered at the rising edge of the clock. At the first clock edge the data is loaded and on the 2\textsuperscript{nd} edge gets out of the registers to the adder and the difference blocks. At the 3\textsuperscript{rd} clock edge the adder and the difference blocks perform their respective operations which are described in equations as:

\[
\begin{align*}
H_i &= \frac{(a_{i*1} + a_{i*1+1})}{2} \quad \text{where } i = 0 ... (N / 2) - 1 \quad (\text{average components}) \\
H_{(N/2)+i} &= (a_{i*1} - a_{i*1+1}) \quad \text{where } i = 0 ... (N / 2) - 1 \quad (\text{difference components})
\end{align*}
\]

The 4\textsuperscript{th} clock edge performs the Haar transform on the data out of the stage 1 of the Haar transform. This data output is known as stage 2 data and is fed to stage 3, which at the 5\textsuperscript{th} clock edge produces the final transformed data. The top level description of the architecture was done in structural flow in VHDL and each block of the register, adder and difference block were mapped to their respective entities. The code is shown in Appendix B at page B-1. As is seen, the register block output the input data on clock edge, the adddiv block computes the average of the two input data and outputs it on the clock edge. The difference block computes the difference between the two input data and outputs the difference on the clock edge. The haar entity then stitches together all the blocks in figure 5.6. Xilinx foundation tools and Synopsis FPGA Express were used to simulate and synthesize the code and map it to the FPGA. The simulation results are shown in figure 5.7 and Fig 5.8. Fig 5.7 shows a set of input data and output of each stage.
at the rising edge of clock. Figure 5.8 shows the parallel nature of the architecture. The data is continuously fed in parallel and each block performs its operation on the rising edge and the data appears at the output in parallel. Note that at any clock edge each block is processing data that was processed by the previous stage at the previous clock edge, hence the parallel architecture.

Fig. 5.6: Parallel architecture of Haar Wavelet (8-inputs).
Fig. 5.7: Simulation Result showing data at various stages at each clock edge.
Fig. 5.8: Simulation Result showing the parallel nature of the architecture.
This thesis was focused on design methodology for automatic learning of the optimum transformation of the input space based on Haar wavelet structure. Although the described architecture implements only the Haar wavelet, it can be used as a core subsystem in a preprocessing system which iteratively uses Harr wavelet, selects corresponding coefficients and reapply the Haar wavelet transform. Full realization of the presented concept in FPGA architecture was a subject of another MS thesis [10].
5.3 **Facts and figures of the implementation:** Figure 5.7 shows the layout on the XC4010XLPQ160 FPGA and Table 5.1 shows the facts about various resources in the FPGA used.

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of CLBs</td>
<td>120/400 (30%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of bonded IOBs</td>
<td>129/129 (100%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of global buffers</td>
<td>1/12 (8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total equivalent gate count</td>
<td>3948</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum period</td>
<td>25.405 ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum frequency</td>
<td>39.362 MHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum net delay</td>
<td>10.365 ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average Connection Delay</td>
<td>3.494 ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average Connection Delay on critical nets</td>
<td>0.000 ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average Clock Skew</td>
<td>0.248 ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Maximum Pin Delay</td>
<td>10.365 ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average Connection Delay on the 10 Worst Nets</td>
<td>9.184 ns</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5.1: Facts about the resources of the FPGA used.
Fig. 5.9: Layout of the Haar Wavelet Parallel Architecture on the XC4010XLPQ160 FPGA.
5.4 **Advantages and limitations of the parallel architecture:** This architecture takes advantage of the fact that FPGAs can process parallel data very fast. Because of this, large amount of data can be processed at the same time and this increases the speed of computation. In addition, the architecture is very simple so it could be easily extended for 128 inputs. However, the number of input/output pins is limited on a given chip. This puts a limitation on the number of inputs the architecture can have.
Chapter 6
Summary and Future Work

Pattern recognition techniques are often an important component of intelligent systems. With the increase in size and complexity of the feature selection undertaken in pattern recognition and other areas, people pay more attention to the application of evolutionary and adaptive learning techniques in this field. It was demonstrated in this work that by selecting features based on evolutionary use of Haar wavelets, new features with better classification properties could be obtained. The convergence is very fast. The quality of the obtained transformation is measured using the entropy based information index. This index was developed for data sets with estimated probability density functions of different classes. Any mutual dependence of extracted features is automatically accounted for by performing Monte Carlo integration in 2 and 3 dimensional space. The confidence interval of the predicted performance is related to standard deviation of the information index and depends on the information level and the number of training points used.

Future work will include extension of the proposed information measure to k-class problem, training and testing using some real data. In the FPGA implementation, 8-signal approach could easily be extended to 128 signals. To make a real time reconfigurable architecture the XC6200 can be the best choice. Besides the parallel architecture discussed in this thesis, other architectures could be explored and implemented.
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Appendix

Appendix A

A.1 Program: haarintp.m

%plotting the interpretation of haar transform
numCoeff=8;
% determine levels????
levls=round(log10(numCoeff)/log10(2));
x=1:numCoeff;
rows=numCoeff;
coeffMatrix=diag(ones(1,numCoeff));
coeffD=coeffMatrix;
for i=1:levls
    coeffC=[];
begn=1;
    while begn<numCoeff
        oddr=(begn:2:begn+rows-1);
evnr=(begn+1:2:begn+rows-1);
        coeffA=[];
        coeffB=[];
        for j=1:length(oddr)
            coeffA(j,:)=( coeffMatrix(oddr(j,:),:) + coeffMatrix(evnr(j,:),:) )/2;
            coeffB(j,:)=coeffMatrix(oddr(j,:),:) - coeffMatrix(evnr(j,:),:);
        end;
        coeffC=[coeffC;coeffA;coeffB];
begn=begn+rows;
end; % while
coeffMatrix=coeffC;
coeffD=[coeffD;coeffC];
rows=round(rows/2);
end; %for i
coeffMatrix=coeffD;

%plotting the interpretation of haar transform
range=[0,9,-1.5,1.5];
subplot(10,4,1);
text(0.1,'Variation of one coefficient with other coefficients');
axis('off');
for i=1:numCoeff
    subplot(10,4,4*(i-1)+4+1);
    bar(x,coeffMatrix(numCoeff*0+i,:),'r');axis(range);
    subplot(10,4,4*(i-1)+4+2);
    bar(x,coeffMatrix(numCoeff*1+i,:),'m');axis(range);
    subplot(10,4,4*(i-1)+4+3);
    bar(x,coeffMatrix(numCoeff*2+i,:),'g');axis(range);
    subplot(10,4,4*(i-1)+4+4);
    bar(x,coeffMatrix(numCoeff*3+i,:),'b');axis(range);
end;

subplot(10,4,4*(numCoeff+1)+1);
text(0,0,'Original');axis('off');

subplot(10,4,4*(numCoeff+1)+2);
text(0,0,'After 1st loop');axis('off');

subplot(10,4,4*(numCoeff+1)+3);
text(0,0,'After 2nd loop');axis('off');

subplot(10,4,4*(numCoeff+1)+4);
text(0,0,'After 3rd loop');axis('off');

A.2 Program: onedim.m

clear all; % clears all variables and functions from the workspace
pack; % Consolidate workspace memory
constant;

clear all; % clears all variables and functions from the workspace
pack; % Consolidate workspace memory
constant;

%==================================================================
% Add noise to each signal
%==================================================================
for i=1:50
    Matrix(:,i)=signal1+sig1noiselevel*(2*rand(numCoeff,1)-1);
end;
for i=51:100
    Matrix(:,i)=signal2+sig2noiselevel*(2*rand(numCoeff,1)-1);
end;

x=1:numCoeff;
% plot original signal.
if plotOriginal==1
    figure;
    plot(x,signal1,'r');
    hold on;
    plot(x,signal2,'b');
xlabel('Signal coordinate');
ylabel('Signal value');
title('Original signals');
end;

% plot noisy signal.
if plotNoisy==1
    figure;
    plot(x,Matrix(:,1:50),'r');
    hold on;
    plot(x,Matrix(:,51:100), 'b');
xlabel('Signal coordinate');
ylabel('Signal value');
title('Two signals with a random noise');
end;

figure;

%==================================
% Main loop starts from here
%==================================

% start the main loop and continue till the desired accuracy is achieved.
while abs(currentInfo - previousInfo) > absinfoincr

    IterationNumber=IterationNumber+1;
    fprintf(fid,'Iteration Number = %i\n',IterationNumber);

    % check the iteration being done. if more than 8 then reset it to 1.
    % the reason is that we have only 8 ways of plotting the wave form.
    strindex=mod(IterationNumber,8);
    if strindex==0
        strindex=8;
    end;

%==================================
% Perform Haar Transform
%==================================
rows=numCoeff;
D=Matrix;
for i=1:levls
    C=[];
    begin=1;
    while begin<numCoeff
        oddr=(begin:2:begin+rows-1);
        evnr=(begin+1:2:begin+rows-1);
        % this normalization is used to balance Walsh coefficients
        % of a raw signal
        %A=(Matrix(oddr,:)+Matrix(evnr,:))/2;
        A=(Matrix(oddr,:)+Matrix(evnr,:))/2;
        % subtract pairwise
        B=Matrix(oddr,:)-Matrix(evnr,:);
        C=[C;A;B];
        begin=begin+rows;
    end; % while
    Matrix=C;
    D=[D;C];
    rows=round(rows/2);
end; %for i

Matrix=D;
[rowsMatrix colsMatrix] = size(Matrix);

% make other matrices to easy out some other computations.
tMatrix = Matrix';
sig1Matrix = Matrix(:,1:50);
sig2Matrix = Matrix(:,51:100);

% determine the minimum and maximum value of the signal.
rmin = min(tMatrix);
rmax = max(tMatrix);

% determine the standard deviation of each signal.
D1=std (sig1Matrix');
D2=std (sig2Matrix');

% determine mean of each signal.
E1=mean(sig1Matrix');
E2=mean(sig2Matrix');

% set probability of each signal to be 1.
P1 = 1;  
P2 = 1;  
spread = 5;  
resolve = 200;  
numSteps = 300;  

% calculate the information
info1 = infopdfV(P1, P2, E1, E2, D1, D2, spread, numSteps);

% sort the information matrix to extract the best "numCoeff" number of elements.
[info1 index] = sort(info1);
orderedinf = fliplr(info1);
index = fliplr(index);

plotarray1D = [plotarray1D; orderedinf(1:numCoeff)];
infoarray = [infoarray; orderedinf(1:numCoeff)];

if plotInfo1D == 1
    axis([1, max(x), 0, 1]);
    plot(x, orderedinf(1:numCoeff), stringmat(strindex,:));
    xlabel('Most selective coefficients in decreasing order');
    ylabel('Information value');
    title('Selection of coefficients in various iterations');
    hold on;
end;

% update the values of the information matrix
previousInfo = currentInfo;
currentInfo = orderedinf(1);

% reset the Matrix before going into the other loop.
rownum = index(1:numCoeff);
Matrix = Matrix(rownum,:);
selectedcoeffMatrix = [selectedcoeffMatrix; coeffMatrix(rownum,:)];
fprintf(fid, 'Iteration %i complete. Hit any key...
', IterationNumber);
keyboard;
end; %while iterations

printVec(infoarray,'infoarray',fidInfo);

%==================================
%  Plot the Haar Interpretation
%==================================
if plotHarr==1
    M=selectedcoeffMatrix(1:numCoeff,:);
    for i=2:IterationNumber
        M=selectedcoeffMatrix(numCoeff*(i-1)+1:numCoeff*i,:)*M;
    end;

    printVec(M,'Haar Interpretation',fidHarr);
    figure;
    for i=1:4
        subplot(2,2,i);
        bar(x,M(i,:),'r');
    end;

    fileHarr=fopen(fidHarr,'w');
end; % if plotHarr

if fidHarr ~= 1
    fclose(fidHarr);
    fclose(fidInfo);
end;

A.3 Program: constant.m

numCoeff=128;
i=1:numCoeff;
signal1=sin(2*pi/(numCoeff-1)*i);

signal2=0.75*ones(1,20);
i=21:numCoeff;
signal2=[signal2 sin(2*pi/(numCoeff-1)*i)];
clear(i);

% setup the way each plot of information matrix is to be plotted.
stringmat=['r-';'b-';'g-';'r-';'m-';'y-';'b-';'g-';'r-';'m-'];
% noise level to be added to the signal.
sig1noiselevel=1;
sig2noiselevel=1;

% Some epsilons
epsil=0.01;
epsil2=-0.02;

% choice of which plots to plot.
plotOriginal=1;
plotNoisy=1;
plotInfo1D=1;
plotHarr=1;

% initialise the variables
absinfoincr=.005;
previousInfo=0;
currentInfo=1;
IterationNumber=0;
plotarray1D=[];
infoarray=[];

%==================================================
% Some file identifier definitions to indicate where to dump data
%==================================================
fid=1; % file identifier, used for printing results on the screen.
fidInfo  = fopen('c:\users\aman\matlab\work\plotinfo.txt','w');
fidHarr  = fopen('c:\users\aman\matlab\work\harrinfo.txt','w');

%==================================================
% Make Haar Coefficient Lookup Table
%==================================================
levls=round(log10(numCoeff)/log10(2)); % determine levels ????
rows=numCoeff;
coeffMatrix=diag(ones(1,numCoeff));
coeffD=coeffMatrix;
for i=1:levls
    coeffC=[];
begn=1;
    while begn<numCoeff
        oddr=(begn:2:begn+rows-1);
evn=(begn+1:2:begn+rows-1);
        coeffC=
        begn=begn+rows;
    end
    coeffD=coeffC;
end

% coeffD is now the Haar Coefficient Lookup Table.

coeffA=[];
coeffB=[];
for j=1:length(oddr)
    coeffA(j,:)= (coeffMatrix(oddr(j),:)+coeffMatrix(evnr(j),:))/2;
    coeffB(j,:)=coeffMatrix(oddr(j),:)-coeffMatrix(evnr(j),:);
end;
coeffC=[coeffC;coeffA;coeffB];
begn=begn+rows;
end; % while
coeffMatrix=coeffC;
coeffD=[coeffD;coeffC];
rows=round(rows/2);
end; % for i
coeffMatrix=coeffD;
selectedcoeffMatrix=[];

A.4 Program: infopdfV.m

function info=infopdfV(P1,P2,E1,E2,D1,D2,spread,numSteps)

    % This function finds the entropy measure between two pdf functions
    % The sum of probabilities is constant and each probability
    % obtained from its pdf is known a priori
    % each random variable is described by normal pdf
    % pdf=P/(sqrt(2*pi)*D)*exp(-0.5*(x-E)^2./D^2)
    % cdf specifies the normal cumulative distribution function

    plotPdf1=0;
    plotPdf2=0;
    entries=length(E1);
    case1=[];
    case2=[];
    case3=[];
    for i=1:entries
        if D1(i)~=0 & D2(i)~=0
            case1=[case1,i];
        end;
        if D1(i)==0 & D2(i)==0 & E1(i)==E2(i)
            case2=[case2,i];
        end;
    end;
if D1(i)==0 & D2(i)==0 & E1(i)==E2(i)
    case3=[case3,i];
end;
end;

xmin=min(E1(case1)-spread*D1(case1),E2(case1)-spread*D2(case1));
xmax=max(E1(case1)+spread*D1(case1),E2(case1)+spread*D2(case1));

x=[];
step=(xmax-xmin)/numSteps;
for i=1:length(case1)
    temp=[];
    tempx=xmin(i):step(i):xmax(i);
    if length(tempx) > numSteps
        x(i,:)=tempx(1:numSteps);
    else
        x(i,:)=tempx;
    end;
end;

% now calculate the numerator of pdf1 and pdf2.
numD1=(x - E1(case1)'*ones(1,size(x,2)))./(D1(case1)'*ones(1,size(x,2)));
numD2=(x - E2(case1)'*ones(1,size(x,2)))./(D2(case1)'*ones(1,size(x,2)));

% calculate pdf1 and pdf2
pdf1=P1*exp(-0.5*numD1.*numD1)./(sqrt(2*pi)*D1(case1)'*ones(1,size(x,2)));
pdf2=P2*exp(-0.5*numD2.*numD2)./(sqrt(2*pi)*D2(case1)'*ones(1,size(x,2)));

if plotPdf1==1
    for i=1:size(pdf1,1)
        plot(x,pdf1(i,:));
        hold on;
    end;
end;

if plotPdf2==1
    for i=1:size(pdf2,1)
        plot(x,pdf2(i,:));
        hold on;
    end;
end;
P1 = \text{sum}(\text{pdf1'})\cdot**(\text{step});
P2 = \text{sum}(\text{pdf2'})\cdot**(\text{step});

\% find weight functions \text{p1xx}+\text{p2xx}=1

\text{p1xx} = \text{pdf1}/(\text{pdf1} + \text{pdf2});
\text{p2xx} = 1 - \text{p1xx};

\% find weighted pdfs

\text{pdf1x} = \text{pdf1} \cdot** \text{p1xx};
\text{pdf2x} = \text{pdf2} \cdot** \text{p2xx};
\text{pdf12x} = \text{pdf1} \cdot** \text{p2xx};
\text{pdf21x} = \text{pdf2} \cdot** \text{p1xx};

\% evaluate weighted probabilities

\text{P1w} = \text{sum}(\text{pdf1x'})\cdot**(\text{step});
\text{P2w} = \text{sum}(\text{pdf2x'})\cdot**(\text{step});
\text{P12w} = \text{sum}(\text{pdf12x'})\cdot**(\text{step});
\text{P21w} = \text{sum}(\text{pdf21x'})\cdot**(\text{step});

\% find the entropy measure

\text{entr} = -(\text{P1} \cdot** \log(\text{P1}) + \text{P2} \cdot** \log(\text{P2})) + \text{P1w} \cdot** \log(\text{P1w}) + \text{P2w} \cdot** \log(\text{P2w}) + \\
\text{P12w} \cdot** \log(\text{P12w}) + \text{P21w} \cdot** \log(\text{P21w});

\% find information

\text{P11} = \text{P1}^2/(\text{P1} + \text{P2});
\text{P22} = \text{P2}^2/(\text{P1} + \text{P2});
\text{P12} = \text{P1} \cdot** \text{P2}/(\text{P1} + \text{P2});

\text{maxentr} = -(\text{P1} \cdot** \log(\text{P1}) + \text{P2} \cdot** \log(\text{P2})) + \text{P11} \cdot** \log(\text{P11}) + \text{P22} \cdot** \log(\text{P22}) + 2 \cdot** \text{P12} \cdot** \log(\text{P12});
\text{info}(1,\text{case1}) = 1 - \text{entr}/\text{maxentr};

\% now we find the indeces where \text{D1==D2==0} and \text{E1==E2}

\text{case2} = [];
\text{for} \ i = 1:\text{entries}
\quad \text{if} \ \text{D1}(\text{i}) == 0 \ & \ \text{D2}(\text{i}) == 0 \ & \ \text{E1}(\text{i}) == \text{E2}(\text{i})
\quad \quad \text{case2} = [\text{case2}, \text{i}];
\quad \text{end};
\text{end};
A.5 Program: cartplot.m

clear;

innumPoints=25;

% any two dimensional matrix
X=[8 6;8 7;8 9;9 7;9 10;10 8;10 9;10 11;11 8;11 11; ... 
  12 9;12 10; 12 13;9 13 11;13 13;14 12;15 13;15 14];
%X=[X 10*rand(size(X,1),1)];

% find center
X0=mean(X);
 n=size(X,2);
 X=X-ones(size(X,1),1)*X0;  % X shifted to the origin
 numPoints=2*ceil((innumPoints+n^2)^(1/n))+1;

%==================================
% Make Grid of Cartesian points
%==================================

% a unit grid centered at origin
 delta=(2)/(numPoints-1); 
 Gridf=[-1:delta:1]';
  temp=-1:delta:1;
   for dim=2:n
     x_=[];
       for i=1:length(temp)
         x_=[x_; Gridf ones(size(Gridf,1),1)*temp(i)];
       end;
       Gridf=x_; 
     end;

 varstd=2.3;
 [Q,R]=qr(X);
 R=R(1:n,:);
 TX=Gridf*varstd*max(std(X));
TX = TX * R^(-1);

\% set a circle with the radius
ellradius = varstd * std(Q(1,:));

TX = TX; \% Transforming unit grid into the actual coordinates
Gridf = Gridf * varstd * max(std(X)); \% Transforming unit grid into the actual coordinates

\% relative distances of the test points
TX1 = TX.^2;
distances = sqrt(sum(TX1'));

select = find(distances < ellradius);
Gridell = Gridf(select,:);

\%==================================
\% Make an ellipse which will enclose the original points
\%==================================
Z = [ellradius];
t = 2*pi*(0:50)/51;
for dim = 2:n
    tempZ = [];
    for rows = 1:size(Z,1)
        z_ = [];
        for cols = 1:length(t)
            z_ = [z_; Z(rows,:)];
        end;
        tempZ = [tempZ; z_ t'];
    end;
    Z = tempZ;
end;

% convert cartesian points of ellipse to spherical coordinates
Angle = Z(:,2:n);
if n == 2
    cosVector = [cos(Angle) ones(size(Angle,1),1)];
else
    cosVector = [fliplr( cumprod(cos(Angle'))) ones(size(Angle,1),1)];
end;
sinVector = [ones(length(Angle),1) fliplr(sin(Angle))];
for i = 1:size(Z,1)
    Z(i,:) = Z(i,1) * (cosVector(i,:).*sinVector(i,:));
end;
Z=Z*R;
Z=Z+ones(size(Z,1),1)*X0;

X=X+ones(size(X,1),1)*X0;
Gridf=Gridf+ones(size(Gridf,1),1)*X0;
Gridell=Gridell+ones(size(Gridell,1),1)*X0;
hold off;
if n==2
  plot(Gridf(:,1),Gridf(:,2),'g+');
  hold on;
  plot(Gridell(:,1),Gridell(:,2),'b*');
  plot(Z(:,1),Z(:,2),'r-');
  plot(X(:,1),X(:,2),'mo');
  title('2-dimensional grid');
  xlabel('x-axis')
  ylabel('y-axis')
elseif n==3
  plot3(Gridf(:,1),Gridf(:,2),Gridf(:,3),'g.');
  hold on;
  plot3(Z(:,1),Z(:,2),Z(:,3),'y.');
  figure;
  plot3(Gridell(:,1),Gridell(:,2),Gridell(:,3),'b*');
  hold on;
  plot3(X(:,1),X(:,2),X(:,3),'mo');
  title('3-dimensional grid');
  xlabel('x-axis')
  ylabel('y-axis')
  zlabel('z-axis')
end;

A.6 Program: sphnd.m

function [Gridf, stepinfo, legntvar]=sphnd(X,fid);

if (nargin<1)(nargin>2)
  error('Error using sphnd..[Gridf, stepinfo, legntvar]=sphnd(X<,fid>);
end;

if nargin==1
  fid=1;
end;
X=[8 6; 8 7; 8 8; 8 9; 9 10; 10 9; 10 11; 11 8; 11 11; ... 
12 9; 12 10; 12 12; 13 9; 13 11; 13 13; 14 12; 15 13; 15 14];
X=[X 10*rand(size(X,1),1)];

% find center
X0=mean(X);
n=size(X,2);
X=X-ones(size(X,1),1)*X0;

% plotting variables..
plotRadiusAngle=1;
plotGrid=0;
plot_confined_ellipse=1;

% del specifies step size wrt to the standard deviation
del=0.1;
variable=intstep(del);
legntvar=length(variable);
spread=max(std(X));
variable=variable*spread;
radius=variable(2:length(variable));
deltaAlpha=((radius-variable(1:size(variable,2)-1))/radius);
deltaAlpha=pi./(round(pi./deltaAlpha)); % here deltaAlpha is in radians..

%====================================%
% Start making the Grid
%====================================%
Gridf=[];
stepinfo=[];
for k=1:length(radius)
    if k==1
        dr=radius(k);
    else
        dr=radius(k)-radius(k-1);
    end;
    Angle=[0 :deltaAlpha(k): pi-deltaAlpha(k)];
    prev_rows=size(Gridf,1);
    if n==2
        Gridf=[Gridf;radius(k)*ones(length(Angle),1) Angle'];
    else
        Gridf=[Gridf; Ndsph(radius(k),Angle,dr,n,radius(k))];
    end;
    curr_rows=size(Gridf,1);
stepinfo=[stepinfo;dr*ones(curr_rows-prev_rows,1)];
end;  % for k

if plotRadiusAngle==1
    figure;
    if n==2
        polar(Gridf(:,2),Gridf(:,1),'ro');
        title('Two dimensional Polar grid');
        xlabel('angle');
        ylabel('radius');
    elseif n>=3
        plot3(Gridf(:,1),Gridf(:,3),Gridf(:,2),'ro');
        title('Three dimensional Spherical Grid in rectangular co-ordinates');
        view(-10,20);
        xlabel('radius');
        ylabel('alpha');
        zlabel('beta');
    end;
end;
end;

% convert cartesian grid points to spherical coordinates system.
Angle=Gridf(:,2:n);
if n==2
    cosVector=[cos(Angle) ones(size(Angle,1),1)];
else
    cosVector=[fliplr( cumprod(cos(Angle'))' ) ones(size(Angle,1),1)];
end;
sinVector=[ones(length(Angle),1) fliplr(sin(Angle))];
for i=1:size(Gridf,1)
    Gridf(i,:)=Gridf(i,1)*(cosVector(i,:).*sinVector(i,:));
end;

Gridf=[-flipud(Gridf);zeros(1,n);Gridf];
stepinfo=[flipud(stepinfo);stepinfo(1);stepinfo];

if plotGrid==1
    figure;
    if n==2
        plot(Gridf(:,2),Gridf(:,1),'ro');
        title('two dimensional polar grid');
        xlabel('x-coordinate');
        ylabel('y-coordinate');
    elseif n>=3
        onlyOneSphere=0;
if onlyOneSphere==1
    eps=.01;
    Gridpl=[];
    radius1=norm(Gridf(1,:));
    for j=1:size(Gridf,1)
        if radius1-eps<norm(Gridf(j,:)) & norm(Gridf(j,:))<radius1+eps
            Gridpl=[Gridpl; Gridf(j,:)];
        end; % if
    end; % for j
    plot3(Gridpl(:,3),Gridpl(:,2),Gridpl(:,1),'ro');
    title('three dimensional sphere');
else
    plot3(Gridf(:,3),Gridf(:,2),Gridf(:,1),'ro');
    title('three dimensional polar grid');
end;
view(-10,20);
xlabel('beta');
ylabel('alpha');
zlabel('radius');
end;
end;

[Q,R]=qr(X);
R=R(1:n,:);
X=X+ones(size(X,1),1)*X0;
% make an enclosing ellipsoid
t=2*pi*(0:50)/51;
TX=Gridf;
TX=TX*R^(-1);
% set a circle with the radius of two std
ellradius=2.3*std(Q(1,:));
% relative distances of the test points
TX=TX.^2;
distances=sqrt(sum(TX'))/ellradius;

%===================================================%
% Make an ellipse enclosing the original signal and selected grid points.
%===================================================%
Z=[ellradius];
for dim=2:n
    tempZ=[];
    for rows=1:size(Z,1)
        z_=[];
        % %
    end;
end;
for cols=1:length(t)
    z_=[z_;Z(rows,:)];
end;
    tempZ=[tempZ;z_ t'];
end;
    Z=tempZ;
end;

% convert cartesian ellipse points to spherical coordinates
Angle=Z(:,2:n);
if n==2
    cosVector=[cos(Angle) ones(size(Angle,1),1)];
else
    cosVector=[fliplr( cumprod(cos(Angle'))') ones(size(Angle,1),1)];
end;
    sinVector=[ones(length(Angle),1) fliplr(sin(Angle))];
for i=1:size(Z,1)
    Z(i,:)=Z(i,1)*(cosVector(i,:).*sinVector(i,:));
end;

Z=Z*R;
Z=Z+ones(size(Z,1),1)*X0;

select=find(distances<1);
Gridell=Gridf(select,:);
stepinfo=stepinfo(select);
Gridell=Gridell+ones(size(Gridell,1),1)*X0;
if plot_confined_ellipse == 1
    currFigNO=gcf+1;
    figure(currFigNO);
    hold off;
    if n==2
        Gridf=Gridf+ones(size(Gridf,1),1)*X0;
        plot(Gridf(:,1),Gridf(:,2),'g+');
        hold on;
        plot(Gridell(:,1),Gridell(:,2),'b*');
        plot(Z(:,1),Z(:,2),'r-');
        plot(X(:,1),X(:,2),'mo');
        xlabel('Radius:->');
        ylabel('Angle:->');
        title('Ellipse showing the selected grid points only');
    else
        plot3(Z(:,1),Z(:,2),Z(:,3),'r.);
        hold on;
    end;
A.7 Program: intstep.m

function x=intstep(del)

% This function evaluates steps for integration of normal pdf
% under the assumption that discrete integration using
% selected points introduces equal integral values.
% integl=pdf(x1)*del
% where x2=x1+del is the next selection point, and x1=0, eps<<1
% In order to solve this equation an error function is calcultaed
% del is obtained using an iterative process
% integl=cpdf(x2)-cpdf(x1)
% del=x2-x1
%

ddel=1;
x1=0;
x=x1;
incr=[];

% evaluate the epsilon value

eps=normcdf(x1+del,0,1)-normcdf(x1,0,1);
% set the min % increment for del
epsdel=.01;

% find sequence of x variable values
while abs(x1)<=5
    while ddel>abs(epsdel*del)
        ferr=normcdf(x1+del,0,1)-normcdf(x1,0,1)-eps;
        derferr=normpdf(x1+del,0,1);
        if derferr==0
            break;
        end;
        deldel=(-ferr/derferr);
        del=del+deldel;
        ddel=abs(deldel);
    end; % while ddel
    x1=x1+del;
    ddel=1;
    incr=[incr del];
    x=[x x1];
end; % while x1

if derferr==0
    incr=incr(1:size(incr,2)-1);
    x=x(1:size(x,2)-1);
end;

A.8 Program: Ndsph.m

function Gridf=Ndsph(radius,alpha,dr,iter,inGrid)
inGrid;

if iter==2
    Gridf=inGrid;
else
    Gridf=[];
    for i=1:length(alpha)
        tempGrid=[inGrid alpha(i)];
        r1=radius*abs(cos(alpha(i)));
        dAlpha1=dr/r1;
    end;
end;
Angle1=[0 :dAlpha1: pi-dAlpha1];
if iter-1==2
    for j=1:size(tempGrid,1)
        outGrid=[ones(length(Angle1),1)*tempGrid(j,:) Angle1'];
    end;
    Gridf=[Gridf;outGrid];
else
    Gridf=[Gridf;Ndsph(radius,Angle1,dr,iter-1,tempGrid)];
end;
end; % for i
end;
return;

A.9 Program: newalgma.m

% this program generates noisy signals out of two
% prototypes and applies pdf based information measure
% to identify the best discriminants for the generated
% signals using repeated Haar transform

% some environment setups..
clear all; % clears all variables and functions from the workspace
pack; % Consolidate workspace memory
clf; % clears the figure
clc;

start=cputime;
hold off;
absinfoincr=.005;
rreddenfo=.1;

computer=4;
fid=1;
if computer==1
    %fid = fopen('/home/homer/1/c/asareen/matlab/dumpdata.txt','w');
fiddata = fopen('/home/homer/1/c/asareen/matlab/plotdata.txt','w');
fiderror = fopen('/home/homer/1/c/asareen/matlab/error.txt'   ,'w');
fidPs    = fopen('/home/homer/1/c/asareen/matlab/p1p2.txt'    ,'w');
fidinfo  = fopen('/home/homer/1/c/asareen/matlab/plotinfo.txt','w');
elseif computer==2
    %fid = fopen('d:\users\aman\matlab\work\dumpdata.txt','w');
fiddata = fopen('d:\users\aman\matlab\work\plotdata.txt','w');
fiderror = fopen('d:\users\aman\matlab\work\error.txt','w');
fidPs = fopen('d:\users\aman\matlab\work\p1p2.txt','w');
fidinfo = fopen('d:\users\aman\matlab\work\plotinfo.txt','w');
else if computer==3
    %fid = fopen('c:\users\aman\matlab\work\dumpdata.txt','w');
    fiddata = fopen('c:\users\aman\matlab\work\plotdata.txt','w');
    fiderror = fopen('c:\users\aman\matlab\work\error.txt','w');
    fidPs = fopen('c:\users\aman\matlab\work\p1p2.txt','w');
    fidinfo = fopen('c:\users\aman\matlab\work\plotinfo.txt','w');
else
    fiddata=1;
    fiderror=1;
    fidPs=1;
    fidinfo=1;
end;

% setup the way each plot of information matrix is to be plotted.

%stringmat=['kx-';'b*-';'g+-';'ro-';'m*';'kv-';'bd-';'gs-';'rh-';'mp-'];
stringmat=['r-';'b-';'g-';'r-';'m-';'b-';'g-';'r-';'m-'];

load c:\users\aman\matlab\work\sigFile

numCoeff=size(N,1);
Matrix=N/max(max(N));
cols=size(Matrix,2);
signal1=Matrix(:,1);
signal2=Matrix(:,cols/2+1);

% determine levels ?????
levls=round(log10(numCoeff)/log10(2));
x=1:numCoeff;

rows=numCoeff;
coeffMatrix=diag(ones(1,numCoeff));
coeffD=coeffMatrix;
for i=1:levls
    coeffC=[];
begn=1;
    while begn<numCoeff
        oddr=(begn:2:begn+rows-1);
        evnr=(begn+1:2:begn+rows-1);
        coeffA=[];


coeffB=[];
for j=1:length(oddr)
    coeffA(j,:)= (coeffMatrix(oddr(j),:) + coeffMatrix(evnr(j),:) )/2;
    coeffB(j,:)=coeffMatrix(oddr(j),:) - coeffMatrix(evnr(j),:);
end;
coeffC=[coeffC;coeffA;coeffB];
begn=begn+rows;
end; % while
coeffMatrix=coeffC;
coeffD=[coeffD;coeffC];
rows=round(rows/2);
end; %for i

coeffMatrix=coeffD;
selectedcoeffMatrix=[];

% choice of which plots to plot.
plotOriginal=1;
plotNoisy=1;
plotInfo1D=1;
plotInfo2D=1;

getsigs=0;
if getsigs==1
    % define signals here.
signal1=[0.05, 0.53, 1.01, 0.56, 0.07, -0.501, -1.03, -0.52]';
signal2=[0.0033, 1.04, 0.002, -1.09, 0.03, 0.504, 2.2, 0.006]';

    %signal2=[1, .5 -.5 .5 1 -.5 0 .5]';
    epsil=0.01;
    epsil2=-0.02;
    %signal1=epsil+[0. 0.25, 0.5, 0.75, 1, 0.75, 0.5, 0.25, 0, -0.25, -0.5, 0, 0.75, 0.5, 0.25, 0, -0.25, -0.5, -0.75, -1, -0.75, -0.25, 0]';
    %signal2=epsil2+[0, 0, -0.2, -0.5, -1, -0.85, -0.75, -0.1, 0.1, 0.5, 1, 0.1, -0.4, -0.85, -0.75, 0]';
    %signal1=epsil+[0, 0, -0.1, 0, 0, 0.05, 0, -0.1, 0.1, 0.5, 1, 0.1, -0.05, 0.05, 0.05, 0]';

    % Number of coefficients of the Signal.
    numCoeff=length(signal1);

    % add noise to each signal

    sig1noiselevel=6;
    sig2noiselevel=6;
Matrix=[];
for i=1:50
    Matrix(:,i)=signal1+sig1noiselevel*rand(numCoeff,1);
end;
for i=51:100
    Matrix(:,i)=signal2+sig2noiselevel*rand(numCoeff,1);
end;
end;

% plot original signal.
if plotOriginal==1
    figure;
    plot(signal1,'r');
    hold on;
    plot(signal2,'b');
xlabel('Signal coordinate');
ylabel('Signal value');
title('Original signals');
end;

% plot noisy signal.
if plotNoisy==1
    figure;
    plot(Matrix(:,1:cols/2),'r');
    hold on;
    plot(Matrix(:,cols/2+1:cols),'b');
xlabel('Signal coordinate');
ylabel('Signal value');
title('Two signals with a random noise');
end;

% initialise the variables
previousInfo=0;
currentInfo=1;
iterations=1;
umOfLoops=0;
plotarray1D=[];
plotarray2D=[];
infoarray=[];
figure;

% start the main loop and continue till the desired accuracy is achieved.
%while abs(currentInfo - previousInfo) > absinfoincr & abs(currentInfo-previousInfo)/(1-
prepreviousInfo)>rreddesinfo
while abs(currentInfo - previousInfo) > absinfoincr:
    IterationNumber = iterations + 8 * numOfLoops;
    fprintf(fid, 'Iteration Number = %i
', IterationNumber);
    iterations = iterations + 1;
    if iterations > 8
        numOfLoops = numOfLoops + 1;
        iterations = 1;
    end;
end;

rows = numCoeff;
D = Matrix;
for i = 1:levls
    C = [];
    begin = 1;
    while begin < numCoeff
        oddr = (begin:2:begin + rows - 1);
        evnr = (begin + 1:2:begin + rows - 1);
        % this normalization is used to balance Walsh coefficients
        % of a raw signal
        % A = (Matrix(oddr,:)+Matrix(evnr,:))/2;
        A = (Matrix(oddr,:)+Matrix(evnr,:))/2;
        % subtract pairwise
        B = Matrix(oddr,:)-Matrix(evnr,:);
        C = [C; A; B];
        begin = begin + rows;
    end; % while
    Matrix = C;
    D = [D; C];
    rows = round(rows/2);
end; % for i

Matrix = D;
[rowsMatrix colsMatrix] = size(Matrix);

% make other matrices to easy out some other computations.
tMatrix = Matrix';
sig1Matrix = Matrix(:,1:cols/2);
sig2Matrix = Matrix(:,cols/2+1:cols);

% determine the minimum and maximum value of the signal.
rmin = min(tMatrix);
rmax = max(tMatrix);
% determine the standard deviation of each signal.
D1 = std(sig1Matrix');
D2 = std(sig2Matrix');

% determine mean of each signal.
E1 = mean(sig1Matrix');
E2 = mean(sig2Matrix');

% set probability of each signal to be 1.
P1 = 1;
P2 = 1;

spread = 5;
resolve = 200;
umSteps = 300;

% calculate the information
info1 = infopdfV(P1, P2, E1, E2, D1, D2, spread, numSteps);

% sort the information matrix to extract the best "numCoeff" number of elements.
[info1 index] = sort(info1);
orderedinfo = flipr(info1);
previnfo = orderedinfo(1);
index = flipr(index);
plotarray1D = [plotarray1D; orderedinfo(1:numCoeff)];
infoarray = [infoarray; orderedinfo(1:numCoeff)];
if plotInfo1D == 1
    subplot(1, 2, 1);
    axis([1, max(x), 0, 1]);
    plot(x, orderedinfo(1:numCoeff), stringmat(iterations,:));
    xlabel('Most selective coefficients in decreasing order');
    ylabel('Information value');
    title('Selection of coefficients in various iterations');
    hold on;
    end;
maxinfo = orderedinfo(1);

numPoints = 200;
dimension = 3;
for i = 2:dimension
    fprintf(fid, 'Working on %i dimensions\n', i);
    selectMatrix = Matrix(index(1:i-1,:),:);
    selectedIndex = index(1:i-1);
for j=1:size(Matrix,1)
    if length(find(selectedIndex==j)) > 0
        info_ = previnfo;
        tempinfo(j) = info_;
    else
        tempMatrix=[selectMatrix;Matrix(j,:)];
        sig1Matrix = tempMatrix(:,1:cols/2);
        sig2Matrix = tempMatrix(:,cols/2+1:cols);
        Mean1=mean(sig1Matrix');
        Mean2=mean(sig2Matrix');
        Cov1=cov(sig1Matrix');
        Cov2=cov(sig2Matrix');
        P1=1;P2=1;
        eps=10^(-12);
        if abs(det(Cov1))<eps & abs(det(Cov2))<eps
            tempinfo(j)=maxinfo;
        else
            %keyboard;
            %info_1=newalgof(sig1Matrix',sig2Matrix')
            info_ = infoND(sig1Matrix',sig2Matrix',Mean1,Mean2,Cov1,Cov2,P1,P2);
            tempinfo(j) = info_;
        end;
    end; % if length(find(selectedIndex==j)) > 0
end; % for j
[info1 index] = sort(tempinfo);
orderinf=fliplr(info1);
index=fliplr(index);
infoarray=[infoarray;orderinf(1:numCoeff)];
maxinfo=orderinf(1);
end; % for i=2:dimension
plotarray2D=[plotarray2D;orderinf(1:numCoeff)];
% printVec(plotarray1D,'plotarray1D',fiddata);
% printVec(plotarray2D,'plotarray2D',fiddata);
% printVec(infoarray,'infoarray',fidinfo);

% update the values of the information matrix
previousInfo=currentInfo;
currentInfo=orderinf(1);
% plot the information matrix.
if plotInfo2D==1
    subplot(1,2,2);
    axis([1,max(x),0,1]);
    plot(x,orderinf(1:numCoeff),stringmat(iterations,:));
xlabel('Most selective coefficients in decreasing order');
ylabel('Information value');
title('Selection of coefficients in various iterations');
hold on;
end;

% reset the Matrix before going into the other loop.
rownum = [selectedIndex index(1:numCoeff-1)];
Matrix=Matrix(rownum,:);
selectedcoeffMatrix=[selectedcoeffMatrix;coeffMatrix(rownum,:)];
fprintf(fid,'Iteration %i complete. Hit any key...\n',IterationNumber);
%pause;
%if IterationNumber==2 dbstop; end;
end; %while iterations

if fiddata==1
else
fclose(fiddata);
fclose(fiderror);
fclose(fidPs);
fclose(fidinfo);
end;

TimeTaken=cputime-start

plotharr=1;
if plotharr==1
    M=selectedcoeffMatrix(1:numCoeff,:);
    for i=2:IterationNumber
        M=selectedcoeffMatrix(numCoeff*(i-1)+1:numCoeff*i,:)*M;
    end;

    figure;
    %plotting the interpretation of haar transform

    for i=1:4
        subplot(2,2,i);
        bar(x,M(i,:),'r');
    end;
end; % if plotHarr

figure;
plot(signal1,'b')
hold on
plot(signal2,'r')
e=M(1,:);
en=e/norm(e)*((norm(signal1)+norm(signal2))/2);
plot(en(1,:),'g')

A.10 Program: infoND.m

function info=infoND(signal1,signal2,Mean1,Mean2,Cov1,Cov2,P1,P2)

% this function evaluates the information index for two n-dimensional
% distributions
% signal1, and signal2 represent two sets of random signals with
% normal distribution and mean values Mean1 and Mean2
% as well as covariance matrices Cov1 and Cov2
% P1 and P2 are apriori probabilities of the two signals

% generate points by gaussian(normal) distribution
% points=randn(m,n);
% generate two signals
% signal1=points*R1+ones(m,1)*V1;
% signal2=points*R2+ones(m,1)*V2;

m=size(signal1,1);
n=size(signal1,2);

% this function finds information for n-dimensional pds functions
% described by Mean1, Mean2, vectors and Cov1 and Cov2 matrices
% signal1 are random points generated by pdf1 distribution
% and signal2 are points generated by pdf2

x1_mean1=signal1-ones(m,1)*Mean1;
x2_mean2=signal2-ones(m,1)*Mean2;
x2_mean1=signal2-ones(m,1)*Mean1;
x1_mean2=signal1-ones(m,1)*Mean2;

x_ic_xt=inv(Cov1) * x1_mean1';
nexp=zeros(size(x1_mean1,1),1);
for jj=1:size(Cov1,1)
    nexp=nexp+x1_mean1(:,jj).*x_ic_xt(jj,:);
end;

pdf11=P1*exp(-0.5 * nexp) / sqrt((2*pi)^n*abs(det(Cov1)));
\[ x_{ic_xt} = \text{inv}(\text{Cov}_2) \cdot x_2_{\text{mean}2}'; \]
\[ \text{nexp} = \text{zeros}((\text{size}(x_1_{\text{mean}1},1),1); \]
\[ \text{for } j=j:1:\text{size}(\text{Cov}_1,1) \]
\[ \quad \text{nexp} = \text{nexp} + x_2_{\text{mean}2}(:,jj) \cdot x_{ic_xt}(jj,:); \]
\[ \text{end}; \]
\[ \text{pdf22} = P_2 \cdot \text{exp}(-0.5 \cdot \text{nexp}) / \sqrt{(2\pi)^n \cdot \text{abs}(|\text{det}(\text{Cov}_2)|)}; \]

\[ x_{ic_xt} = \text{inv}(\text{Cov}_1) \cdot x_2_{\text{mean}1}'; \]
\[ \text{nexp} = \text{zeros}((\text{size}(x_1_{\text{mean}1},1),1); \]
\[ \text{for } j=j:1:\text{size}(\text{Cov}_1,1) \]
\[ \quad \text{nexp} = \text{nexp} + x_2_{\text{mean}1}(:,j) \cdot x_{ic_xt}(jj,:); \]
\[ \text{end}; \]
\[ \text{pdf21} = P_1 \cdot \text{exp}(-0.5 \cdot \text{nexp}) / \sqrt{(2\pi)^n \cdot \text{abs}(|\text{det}(\text{Cov}_1)|)}; \]

\[ x_{ic_xt} = \text{inv}(\text{Cov}_2) \cdot x_1_{\text{mean}2}'; \]
\[ \text{nexp} = \text{zeros}((\text{size}(x_1_{\text{mean}1},1),1); \]
\[ \text{for } j=j:1:\text{size}(\text{Cov}_1,1) \]
\[ \quad \text{nexp} = \text{nexp} + x_1_{\text{mean}2}(:,j) \cdot x_{ic_xt}(jj,:); \]
\[ \text{end}; \]
\[ \text{pdf12} = P_2 \cdot \text{exp}(-0.5 \cdot \text{nexp}) / \sqrt{(2\pi)^n \cdot \text{abs}(|\text{det}(\text{Cov}_2)|)}; \]

\[ P_{1w} = P_1 / m \cdot \text{sum}(\text{pdf11}./(\text{pdf11}+\text{pdf12})); \]
\[ P_{2w} = P_2 / m \cdot \text{sum}(\text{pdf22}./(\text{pdf21}+\text{pdf22})); \]
\[ P_{12w} = P_1 / m \cdot \text{sum}(\text{pdf12}./(\text{pdf11}+\text{pdf12})); \]
\[ P_{21w} = P_2 / m \cdot \text{sum}(\text{pdf21}./(\text{pdf21}+\text{pdf22})); \]
\[ \text{if } P_{1w} = = 0 \text{ P}_1w = 1; \text{ end}; \]
\[ \text{if } P_{2w} = = 0 \text{ P}_2w = 1; \text{ end}; \]
\[ \text{if } P_{12w} = = 0 \text{ P}_12w = 1; \text{ end}; \]

\% find the entropy measure

\[ \text{entr} = P_{1w} \cdot \text{log}(P_{1w}) + P_{2w} \cdot \text{log}(P_{2w}) + P_{12w} \cdot \text{log}(P_{12w}) + P_{21w} \cdot \text{log}(P_{21w}) - (P_1 \cdot \text{log}(P_1) + P_2 \cdot \text{log}(P_2)); \]

\% find the information

\[ P_{11} = P_1^2 / (P_1 + P_2); \]
\[ P_{22} = P_2^2 / (P_1 + P_2); \]
\[ P_{12} = P_1 \cdot P_2 / (P_1 + P_2); \]
maxentr=P11*log(P11)+P22*log(P22)+2*P12*log(P12) -(P1*log(P1)+P2*log(P2));
info=1-entr/maxentr;
Appendix B

B.1 Program: Haar.vhd

LIBRARY ieee;
USE ieee.ALL;

ENTITY haar IS
  PORT (  
    clock : IN  bit;

    -- Inputs
    in1   : IN  integer RANGE -127 TO 127;
    in2   : IN  integer RANGE -127 TO 127;
    in3   : IN  integer RANGE -127 TO 127;
    in4   : IN  integer RANGE -127 TO 127;
    in5   : IN  integer RANGE -127 TO 127;
    in6   : IN  integer RANGE -127 TO 127;
    in7   : IN  integer RANGE -127 TO 127;
    in8   : IN  integer RANGE -127 TO 127;

    -- Outputs
    out1  : OUT integer RANGE -127 TO 127;
    out2  : OUT integer RANGE -127 TO 127;
    out3  : OUT integer RANGE -127 TO 127;
    out4  : OUT integer RANGE -127 TO 127;
    out5  : OUT integer RANGE -127 TO 127;
    out6  : OUT integer RANGE -127 TO 127;
    out7  : OUT integer RANGE -127 TO 127;
    out8  : OUT integer RANGE -127 TO 127
  );
END haar;

ARCHITECTURE haar OF haar IS

  COMPONENT bufgs
    PORT (  
      i : IN  bit;
      o : OUT bit
    );
  END COMPONENT;

  COMPONENT reg
PORT (  
    input : IN  integer RANGE -127 TO 127;  
    clk   : IN  bit;  
    output : OUT integer RANGE -127 TO 127  
);  
END COMPONENT;

COMPONENT adddiv  
PORT (  
    a : IN  integer RANGE -127 TO 127;  
    b : IN  integer RANGE -127 TO 127;  
    clk : IN  bit;  
    c : OUT integer RANGE -127 TO 127  
);  
END COMPONENT;

COMPONENT difference  
PORT (  
    a : IN  integer RANGE -127 TO 127;  
    b : IN  integer RANGE -127 TO 127;  
    clk : IN  bit;  
    c : OUT integer RANGE -127 TO 127  
);  
END COMPONENT;

SIGNAL   out_r1, out_r2, out_r3, out_r4, out_r5, out_r6, out_r7,  
    out_r8 : integer RANGE -127 TO 127;  
SIGNAL   out_a1, out_a2, out_a3, out_a4, out_a5, out_a6, out_a7,  
    out_a8 : integer RANGE -127 TO 127;  
SIGNAL   out_s1, out_s2, out_s3, out_s4, out_s5, out_s6, out_s7,  
    out_s8 : integer RANGE -127 TO 127;  
SIGNAL   clk : bit;

BEGIN  
  xbufgs: bufgs  
      PORT MAP (  
        clock,  
        clk  
      );  
  -- Input to Register here  
  r1: reg  
      PORT MAP ( in1, clk, out_r1 );  
  r2: reg
PORT MAP ( in2, clk, out_r2 );
r3: reg
  PORT MAP ( in3, clk, out_r3 );
r4: reg
  PORT MAP ( in4, clk, out_r4 );
r5: reg
  PORT MAP ( in5, clk, out_r5 );
r6: reg
  PORT MAP ( in6, clk, out_r6 );
r7: reg
  PORT MAP ( in7, clk, out_r7 );
r8: reg
  PORT MAP ( in8, clk, out_r8 );

-- Input to Add_Divide and Difference for stage 1
a1: adddiv
  PORT MAP ( out_r1, out_r2, clk, out_a1 );
a2: adddiv
  PORT MAP ( out_r3, out_r4, clk, out_a2 );
a3: adddiv
  PORT MAP ( out_r5, out_r6, clk, out_a3 );
a4: adddiv
  PORT MAP ( out_r7, out_r8, clk, out_a4 );
s1: difference
  PORT MAP ( out_r1, out_r2, clk, out_s1 );
s2: difference
  PORT MAP ( out_r3, out_r4, clk, out_s2 );
s3: difference
  PORT MAP ( out_r5, out_r6, clk, out_s3 );
s4: difference
  PORT MAP ( out_r7, out_r8, clk, out_s4 );

-- Input to AddDiv and Difference for stage 2
a5: adddiv
  PORT MAP ( out_a1, out_a2, clk, out_a5 );
a6: adddiv
  PORT MAP ( out_a3, out_a4, clk, out_a6 );
s5: difference
  PORT MAP ( out_a1, out_a2, clk, out_s5 );
s6: difference
  PORT MAP ( out_a3, out_a4, clk, out_s6 );
a7: adddiv
  PORT MAP ( out_s1, out_s2, clk, out_a7 );
a8: adddiv
   PORT MAP ( out_s3, out_s4, clk, out_a8 );
s7: difference
   PORT MAP ( out_s1, out_s2, clk, out_s7 );
s8: difference
   PORT MAP ( out_s3, out_s4, clk, out_s8 );

-- Input to AddDiv and Difference for stage 3
a9: adddiv
   PORT MAP ( out_a5, out_a6, clk, out1 );
s9: difference
   PORT MAP ( out_a5, out_a6, clk, out2 );
a10: adddiv
   PORT MAP ( out_s5, out_s6, clk, out3 );
s10: difference
   PORT MAP ( out_s5, out_s6, clk, out4 );
a11: adddiv
   PORT MAP ( out_a7, out_a8, clk, out5 );
s11: difference
   PORT MAP ( out_a7, out_a8, clk, out6 );
a12: adddiv
   PORT MAP ( out_s7, out_s8, clk, out7 );
s12: difference
   PORT MAP ( out_s7, out_s8, clk, out8 );

END haar;

B.2 Program: Reg.vhd

LIBRARY ieee;
USE ieee.ALL;

ENTITY reg IS
   PORT (    
     input : IN  integer RANGE -127 TO 127;
     clk   : IN  bit;
     output : OUT integer RANGE -127 TO 127
   );
END reg;
ARCHITECTURE reg OF reg IS
BEGIN
    PROCESS(clk)
    BEGIN
        IF clk'EVENT AND clk = '1' THEN
            output <= input;
        END IF;
    END PROCESS;
END reg;

B.3 Program:  Add_Divide.vhd

LIBRARY ieee;
USE ieee.ALL;

ENTITY adddiv IS
    PORT (
        a : IN  integer RANGE -127 TO 127;
        b : IN  integer RANGE -127 TO 127;
        clk : IN  bit;
        c : OUT integer RANGE -127 TO 127
    );
END adddiv;

ARCHITECTURE adddiv OF adddiv IS
BEGIN
    PROCESS (clk)
    BEGIN
        IF clk'EVENT AND clk = '1' THEN
            c <= (a + b)/2;
        END IF;
    END PROCESS;
END adddiv;

B.4 Program:  Subractor.vhd

LIBRARY ieee;
USE ieee.ALL;
ENTITY difference IS
  PORT (
    a : IN  integer RANGE -127 TO 127;
    b : IN  integer RANGE -127 TO 127;
    clk : IN  bit;
    c : OUT integer RANGE -127 TO 127
  );
END difference;

ARCHITECTURE difference OF difference IS
BEGIN
  PROCESS (clk)
  BEGIN
    IF clk'EVENT AND clk = '1' THEN
      c <= a - b;
    END IF;
  END PROCESS;
END difference;