

**TABLE 1: Summary of Sector Level Appropriations****Summary of FY 2009 Funding (by Sector and Program)**

	SSI Formula	Tuition Subsidy	Access Challenge	Success Challenge	FY 2009 Sector Totals
Appropriation - Community Colleges	\$371,896,153	\$10,323,056	\$44,124,317	\$0	\$426,343,526
Appropriation - University Branch Campuses	\$114,248,686	\$5,201,020	\$12,947,977	\$0	\$132,397,684
Appropriation - University Main Campuses	\$1,296,820,908	\$44,475,924	\$3,923,764	\$49,138,530	\$1,394,359,127
<b>Subtotal</b>	<b>\$1,782,965,747</b>	<b>\$60,000,000</b>	<b>\$60,996,059</b>	<b>\$49,138,530</b>	<b>\$1,953,100,336</b>

**Summary of Formula Inputs (by Sector)**

	FY 2010	Annual % Change	FY 2011	Annual % Change
Appropriation - Community Colleges	\$446,413,305	4.71%	\$459,478,064	2.93%
Appropriation - University Branch Campuses	\$138,630,198	4.71%	\$142,687,358	2.93%
Appropriation - University Main Campuses	\$1,485,494,575	6.54%	\$1,485,494,575	0.00%
<b>Governor's Blue Book Appropriation Total</b>	<b>\$2,070,538,077</b>	<b>6.01%</b>	<b>\$2,087,659,996</b>	<b>0.83%</b>

**TABLE 2: FY 2010-11 DRAFT SSI Funding**  
**COMMUNITY COLLEGES**

				Factors that Help Explain FY 2010 Funding Changes				Factors that Help Explain FY 2011 Funding Changes		
	Projected SSI FY 2009 <sup>1</sup>	Projected SSI FY 2010 <sup>2</sup>	% Change FY 2010 vs. FY 2009	Enrollments (Funded FTEs) <sup>3</sup>	STEM, Technical and Other Course Incentive <sup>4</sup>	Projected SSI FY 2011 <sup>2</sup>	% Change FY 2011 vs. FY 2010	Enrollments (Funded FTEs) <sup>3</sup>	Student Success Points (+/-) <sup>5</sup>	
Negative Growth	WASHINGTON STATE	\$6,621,243	\$6,750,794	1.96%	-2.28%	4.14%	\$6,818,139	1.00%	-0.50%	-0.22%
	EDISON STATE	\$7,020,233	\$7,247,135	3.23%	-2.12%	6.05%	\$7,407,880	2.22%	-0.85%	1.35%
	CINCINNATI STATE	\$28,756,580	\$29,116,049	1.25%	-1.67%	-0.06%	\$29,671,026	1.91%	2.22%	-0.18%
	MARION TECH	\$5,541,640	\$5,723,151	3.28%	-0.53%	3.38%	\$5,731,230	0.14%	-1.47%	-0.44%
	OWENS STATE	\$44,207,729	\$45,208,538	2.26%	-0.43%	0.93%	\$45,250,621	0.09%	-1.22%	-0.14%
	RIO GRANDE	\$5,652,675	\$5,925,358	4.82%	-0.42%	4.09%	\$5,915,500	-0.17%	0.00%	-0.93%
	CUYAHOGA	\$62,910,636	\$63,478,580	0.90%	-0.34%	-4.03%	\$65,416,097	3.05%	2.01%	0.48%
Low Growth	NORTH CENTRAL	\$8,139,431	\$8,267,356	1.57%	0.46%	-2.23%	\$8,441,502	2.11%	1.88%	-0.25%
	SINCLAIR	\$48,848,947	\$49,355,352	1.04%	0.58%	-2.84%	\$51,106,504	3.55%	2.76%	0.07%
	BELMONT TECH	\$5,589,939	\$5,626,643	0.66%	0.95%	-2.14%	\$5,699,036	1.29%	1.82%	-0.32%
	TERRA STATE	\$6,030,580	\$6,415,078	6.38%	2.89%	-4.20%	\$6,632,014	3.38%	3.18%	-0.16%
	HOCKING	\$17,576,775	\$18,022,149	2.53%	3.43%	-6.96%	\$19,797,923	9.85%	10.94%	-0.55%
	JEFFERSON	\$4,565,930	\$4,888,620	7.07%	4.72%	8.58%	\$4,924,884	0.74%	0.00%	0.10%
	SOUTHERN STATE	\$6,684,036	\$6,957,327	4.09%	5.74%	5.67%	\$7,124,962	2.41%	1.69%	0.38%
NORTHWEST STATE	\$8,214,085	\$8,904,847	8.41%	5.90%	1.16%	\$9,534,147	7.07%	6.01%	-0.12%	
High Growth	CENTRAL OHIO	\$9,555,072	\$10,268,209	7.46%	10.09%	12.36%	\$10,538,367	2.63%	0.24%	-0.37%
	CLARK STATE	\$8,822,705	\$9,901,581	12.23%	10.14%	4.34%	\$10,539,797	6.45%	7.50%	-0.74%
	LAKELAND	\$19,985,132	\$21,666,363	8.41%	10.49%	6.37%	\$22,100,550	2.00%	1.44%	0.01%
	COLUMBUS STATE	\$59,332,185	\$63,689,990	7.34%	10.53%	-1.11%	\$65,932,547	3.52%	2.56%	0.49%
	LORAIN COUNTY	\$25,516,062	\$27,830,280	9.07%	12.14%	4.71%	\$28,887,820	3.80%	3.12%	0.01%
	JAMES RHODES ST.	\$10,005,423	\$10,927,333	9.21%	17.55%	8.42%	\$10,925,341	-0.02%	0.00%	-0.76%
	ZANE STATE	\$5,662,365	\$6,317,413	11.57%	20.21%	-0.07%	\$6,373,798	0.89%	0.00%	0.27%
	STARK STATE	\$21,104,124	\$23,925,161	13.37%	29.70%	-0.47%	\$24,708,380	3.27%	3.77%	-0.87%
<b>CC SUBTOTAL</b>	<b>\$426,343,526</b>	<b>\$446,413,305</b>	<b>4.71%</b>	<b>5.99%</b>		<b>\$459,478,064</b>	<b>2.93%</b>	<b>2.05%</b>		

<sup>1</sup> Projected subsidy earnings in FY 2009 include SSI and Access Challenge, after all reductions.

<sup>2</sup> Enrollments for FY 2009 and FY 2010 are projected and subject to change.

<sup>3</sup> The scope of the FTE changes reflect campus wide totals and not the change in FTEs among and between models.

<sup>4</sup> This column is not precise, but is meant to be representative of the relative impact on each school of these model changes. It is computed based on FY 2007 data. Funding for the community colleges in FY 2008 and FY 2009 incorporated the new model structure such that 50% of the change has been implemented.

<sup>5</sup> The impact of funding success measures at Ohio's Community Colleges in FY 2011 is measured by comparing the projected earnings from a model that includes momentum points to an enrollment based formula, only. The percentage change in funding is reflected in both magnitude and direction.

**TABLE 3: FY 2010-11 DRAFT SSI Funding**  
**UNIVERSITY BRANCH CAMPUSES**

				Factors that Help Explain FY 2010 Funding Changes						Factors that Help Explain FY 2011 Funding Changes				
	Projected SSI FY 2009 <sup>1</sup>	Projected SSI FY 2010 <sup>2</sup>	% Change FY 2010 vs. FY 2009	Enrollments <sup>3</sup>	Course Completion Success Factor <sup>4</sup>	Success in Attracting & Retaining At-Risk Students <sup>5</sup>	STEM, Technical and Other Course Incentive <sup>6</sup>	Projected SSI FY 2011 <sup>2</sup>	% Change FY 2011 vs. FY 2010	Enrollments <sup>3</sup>	Course Completion Success Factor <sup>4</sup>	Success in Attracting & Retaining At-Risk Students <sup>5</sup>	STEM, Technical and Other Course Incentive <sup>6</sup>	
Negative Growth	OU-EASTERN	\$3,150,049	\$3,118,549	-1.00%	-18.48%	0.63%	-0.46%	2.43%	\$3,056,178	-2.00%	1.09%	0.63%	-0.37%	2.43%
	WRIGHT-LAKE	\$2,777,478	\$2,760,144	-0.62%	-12.86%	5.51%	-1.28%	10.87%	\$2,736,302	-0.86%	-3.84%	5.51%	-1.31%	10.87%
	AGRICULTURAL	\$5,043,640	\$4,993,204	-1.00%	-9.92%	-0.05%	0.03%	-0.11%	\$4,893,340	-2.00%	-1.03%	-0.05%	0.03%	-0.11%
	MIAMI-MIDDLETOWN	\$7,250,497	\$7,177,992	-1.00%	-9.07%	-4.34%	-0.07%	5.31%	\$7,034,432	-2.00%	-2.99%	-4.34%	-0.13%	5.31%
	OU-ZANESVILLE	\$6,083,758	\$6,777,532	11.40%	-6.69%	6.72%	-0.20%	7.00%	\$7,117,766	5.02%	3.59%	6.72%	-0.22%	7.00%
	OU-CHILLICOTHE	\$5,975,077	\$6,097,752	2.05%	-4.60%	2.67%	0.43%	1.28%	\$6,370,533	4.47%	1.45%	2.67%	0.28%	1.28%
	KENT-TRUMBULL	\$5,717,989	\$5,660,810	-1.00%	-2.86%	-4.53%	1.50%	1.56%	\$5,547,593	-2.00%	1.67%	-4.53%	1.61%	1.56%
	OU-SOUTHERN	\$5,474,859	\$5,929,736	8.31%	-2.57%	-0.51%	0.74%	6.25%	\$6,306,393	6.35%	5.42%	-0.51%	0.71%	6.25%
OSU-MANSFIELD	\$5,261,973	\$5,242,643	-0.37%	-2.22%	4.71%	-0.20%	7.51%	\$5,275,968	0.64%	-1.25%	4.71%	-0.22%	7.51%	
Low Growth	KENT-STARK	\$9,540,699	\$9,700,898	1.68%	0.69%	-2.13%	0.08%	5.93%	\$10,126,446	4.39%	4.02%	-2.13%	0.13%	5.93%
	KENT-SALEM	\$3,529,864	\$3,732,913	5.75%	1.01%	2.32%	0.62%	1.26%	\$3,815,166	2.20%	5.65%	2.32%	0.82%	1.26%
	KENT-ASHTABULA	\$4,060,628	\$4,033,594	-0.67%	1.14%	-4.97%	1.38%	2.39%	\$4,185,462	3.77%	1.46%	-4.97%	1.33%	2.39%
	OU-LANCASTER	\$4,311,792	\$4,326,613	0.34%	1.23%	2.60%	-0.34%	6.76%	\$4,552,907	5.23%	5.92%	2.60%	-0.33%	6.76%
	UC-WALTERS	\$12,592,888	\$12,466,959	-1.00%	1.33%	-3.89%	-0.32%	5.42%	\$12,475,914	0.07%	0.33%	-3.89%	-0.31%	5.42%
	OSU-MARION	\$5,231,862	\$5,493,274	5.00%	1.45%	1.51%	-0.60%	6.99%	\$5,609,648	2.12%	0.12%	1.51%	-0.59%	6.99%
High Growth	AKRON-WAYNE	\$4,035,085	\$4,217,020	4.51%	2.85%	2.04%	0.02%	4.50%	\$4,451,386	5.56%	4.00%	2.04%	0.01%	4.50%
	KENT-EAST LIVERPOOL	\$2,366,234	\$2,420,308	2.29%	2.98%	-0.90%	1.22%	3.84%	\$2,605,926	7.67%	5.61%	-0.90%	1.26%	3.84%
	KENT-TUSCARAWAS	\$5,220,450	\$5,422,438	3.87%	4.16%	-1.24%	0.55%	5.24%	\$5,717,706	5.45%	2.54%	-1.24%	0.58%	5.24%
	OSU-LIMA	\$4,737,312	\$4,816,919	1.68%	5.38%	2.77%	-0.79%	5.60%	\$4,939,421	2.54%	0.51%	2.77%	-0.80%	5.60%
	BGSU-FIRELANDS	\$5,476,948	\$5,840,806	6.64%	5.81%	-4.30%	0.00%	4.22%	\$6,164,175	5.54%	1.51%	-4.30%	-0.03%	4.22%
	MIAMI-HAMILTON	\$7,892,598	\$7,841,022	-0.65%	6.38%	-5.10%	-0.61%	4.87%	\$8,137,067	3.78%	1.63%	-5.10%	-0.63%	4.87%
	UC-CLERMONT	\$7,562,598	\$9,392,306	24.19%	16.15%	-1.28%	0.12%	7.72%	\$9,934,488	5.77%	2.89%	-1.28%	0.09%	7.72%
	OSU-NEWARK	\$6,990,500	\$8,279,418	18.44%	20.78%	0.33%	-0.41%	12.33%	\$8,633,976	4.28%	2.28%	0.33%	-0.43%	12.33%
	KENT-GEAUGA	\$2,112,905	\$2,887,348	36.65%	43.14%	1.43%	-0.65%	-0.61%	\$2,999,164	3.87%	4.62%	1.43%	-0.62%	-0.61%
<b>Branch Campus Subtotal</b>	<b>\$132,397,684</b>	<b>\$138,630,198</b>	<b>4.71%</b>	<b>1.88%</b>				<b>\$142,687,358</b>	<b>2.93%</b>	<b>1.97%</b>				

<sup>1</sup> Projected subsidy earnings in FY 2009 include SSI and Access Challenge, after all reductions.

<sup>2</sup> Enrollments for FY 2009 and FY 2010 are projected and subject to change.

<sup>3</sup> The scope of the FTE changes reflect campus wide totals and not the change in FTEs among and between models.

<sup>4</sup> The course completion rates, as a percentage of course enrollments, are indexed to the sector wide course completion data and again do not reflect variances among and between models.

<sup>5</sup> The impact of funding an institution's success in attracting and retaining at-risk students is measured by comparing the projected earnings from a model that weights successful course completions of OCOG eligible students to a course completion funding model, only. The percentage change in funding is reflected in both magnitude and direction.

<sup>6</sup> This column is not precise, but is meant to be representative of the relative impact on each school of these model changes.

**TABLE 4: FY 2010-11 DRAFT SSI Funding**  
**UNIVERSITY MAIN CAMPUSES**

	Factors that Help Explain FY 2010 Funding Changes										
	Projected SSI FY 2009 <sup>1</sup>	Projected SSI FY 2010 <sup>2</sup>	% Change FY 2010 vs. FY 2009	Enrollments <sup>3</sup>	Course Completion Success Factor <sup>4</sup>		Success in Attracting & Retaining At-Risk Students <sup>5</sup>	Degree Attainment Success Factor <sup>6</sup>	Success in Graduating At-Risk Students <sup>7</sup>	STEM, Technical and Other Course Incentive <sup>8</sup>	
					Undergrad	Graduate					
Negative Growth	CLEVELAND STATE	\$75,879,452	\$76,852,761	1.28%	-5.36%	-4.92%	2.38%	0.17%	-0.28%	0.07%	-0.44%
	MIAMI <sup>9</sup>	\$68,530,576	\$68,882,568	0.51%	-3.43%	6.88%	-8.61%	-0.65%	2.83%	-0.09%	2.39%
	KENT STATE	\$104,677,884	\$108,158,206	3.32%	-2.91%	1.99%	-1.24%	0.08%	0.95%	0.18%	2.30%
	BOWLING GREEN	\$88,184,820	\$89,568,268	1.57%	-2.26%	3.38%	-3.01%	-0.12%	0.62%	0.01%	1.26%
	OHIO UNIV	\$121,431,418	\$126,325,818	4.03%	-0.63%	7.23%	6.06%	-0.44%	0.46%	-0.02%	-1.88%
TOLEDO	\$120,366,175	\$130,664,505	8.56%	-0.45%	-1.55%	-2.50%	0.02%	-0.95%	-0.09%	2.67%	
Low Growth	SHAWNEE ST.	\$14,764,154	\$14,747,139	-0.12%	0.85%	-8.38%	9.78%	2.26%	-0.93%	0.36%	-1.92%
	WRIGHT ST.	\$88,345,968	\$91,744,078	3.85%	1.15%	-0.11%	1.40%	0.17%	0.24%	0.03%	1.04%
	YOUNGSTOWN ST.	\$49,503,767	\$49,282,683	-0.45%	3.15%	-10.34%	1.59%	1.61%	-0.72%	0.19%	-0.43%
High Growth	OHIO STATE	\$374,205,114	\$410,343,759	9.66%	5.24%	5.66%	1.94%	-0.31%	-0.01%	-0.04%	-2.04%
	CINCINNATI	\$171,648,524	\$190,483,311	10.97%	5.24%	1.98%	6.32%	-0.29%	-0.59%	-0.11%	-1.99%
	AKRON	\$98,111,874	\$106,137,963	8.18%	9.45%	-1.00%	-1.57%	0.55%	-1.11%	0.04%	-0.33%
	CENTRAL STATE	\$7,012,021	\$6,941,901	-1.00%	13.19%	-17.36%	-20.90%	6.15%	-0.49%	0.63%	3.50%
	NEOUCOM <sup>10</sup>	\$11,697,378	\$15,361,614	31.33%	26.54%	16.55%	8.37%	-1.22%	-6.01%	-0.43%	2.01%
<b>University Main Campus Subtotal</b>	<b>\$1,394,359,127</b>	<b>\$1,485,494,574</b>	<b>6.54%</b>	<b>3.55%</b>							

  

	Factors that Help Explain FY 2011 Funding Changes										
	Projected SSI FY 2010 <sup>2</sup>	Projected SSI FY 2011 <sup>2</sup>	% Change FY 2011 vs. FY 2010	Enrollments <sup>3</sup>	Course Completion Success Factor <sup>4</sup>		Success in Attracting & Retaining At-Risk Students <sup>5</sup>	Degree Attainment Success Factor <sup>6</sup>	Success in Graduating At-Risk Students <sup>7</sup>	STEM, Technical and Other Course Incentive <sup>8</sup>	
					Undergrad	Graduate					
	CLEVELAND STATE	\$76,852,761	\$76,490,788	-0.47%	0.00%	-4.92%	2.38%	0.39%	-0.48%	0.14%	-0.44%
	MIAMI <sup>9</sup>	\$68,882,568	\$69,676,055	1.15%	-0.83%	6.88%	-8.61%	-0.37%	5.95%	-0.18%	2.39%
	KENT STATE	\$108,158,206	\$107,655,902	-0.46%	-1.25%	1.99%	-1.24%	0.32%	2.14%	0.38%	2.30%
	BOWLING GREEN	\$89,568,268	\$88,851,052	-0.80%	-1.06%	3.38%	-3.01%	0.12%	1.45%	0.04%	1.26%
	OHIO UNIV	\$126,325,818	\$127,228,730	0.71%	-0.50%	7.23%	6.06%	-0.67%	0.82%	-0.04%	-1.88%
TOLEDO	\$130,664,505	\$128,051,215	-2.00%	-0.23%	-1.55%	-2.50%	-0.18%	-1.62%	-0.15%	2.67%	
	SHAWNEE ST.	\$14,747,139	\$15,276,916	3.59%	5.06%	-8.38%	9.78%	2.00%	-2.37%	0.63%	-1.92%
	WRIGHT ST.	\$91,744,078	\$92,401,190	0.72%	0.67%	-0.11%	1.40%	-0.53%	0.23%	0.03%	1.04%
	YOUNGSTOWN ST.	\$49,282,683	\$49,819,033	1.09%	-0.43%	-10.34%	1.59%	0.47%	-1.76%	0.34%	-0.43%
	OHIO STATE	\$410,343,759	\$408,609,281	-0.42%	0.07%	5.66%	1.94%	-0.24%	0.10%	-0.08%	-2.04%
	CINCINNATI	\$190,483,311	\$191,465,334	0.52%	1.78%	1.98%	6.32%	-0.03%	-1.31%	-0.23%	-1.99%
	AKRON	\$106,137,963	\$107,259,240	1.06%	3.75%	-1.00%	-1.57%	0.76%	-2.48%	0.05%	-0.33%
	CENTRAL STATE	\$6,941,901	\$6,803,063	-2.00%	4.01%	-17.36%	-20.90%	5.98%	-1.33%	1.19%	3.50%
	NEOUCOM <sup>10</sup>	\$15,361,614	\$15,906,776	3.55%	6.16%	16.55%	8.37%	-0.90%	-12.01%	-0.86%	2.01%
<b>University Main Campus Subtotal</b>	<b>\$1,485,494,574</b>	<b>\$1,485,494,575</b>	<b>0.00%</b>	<b>1.23%</b>							

<sup>1</sup> Projected subsidy earnings in FY 2009 include SSI, Access and Success Challenge, after all reductions.

<sup>2</sup> Enrollments for FY 2009 and FY 2010 are projected and subject to change. Funding for the university main campuses includes three set asides for each of the following: (1) Doctoral Set Aside = 13.35% of appropriation; (2) Med 1 Set Aside = 1.67% of appropriation; and (3) Med 2 Set Aside = 7.21% of appropriation.

<sup>3</sup> The scope of the FTE changes reflect campus wide totals and not the change in FTEs among and between models.

<sup>4</sup> The course completion rates, as a percentage of course enrollments, are indexed to the sector wide course completion data and again do not reflect variances among and between models.

<sup>5</sup> The impact of funding an institution's success in attracting and retaining at-risk students is measured by comparing the projected earnings from a model that weights successful course completions of OCOG eligible students to a course completion funding model, only. The percentage change in funding is reflected in both magnitude and direction.

<sup>6</sup> The impact of funding degree attainment is measured by comparing the projected earnings from a model that includes a component for degree completion to a course completion funding model, only. The percentage change in funding is reflected in both magnitude and direction.

<sup>7</sup> The impact of funding institution's success in graduating at-risk students is measured by comparing the projected earnings from a model that weights degrees earned by OCOG eligible student to a course completion funding model, only. The percentage change in funding is reflected in both magnitude and direction.

<sup>8</sup> This column is not precise, but is meant to be representative of the relative impact on each school of these model changes.

<sup>9</sup> Miami University has incomplete data.

<sup>10</sup> NEOUCOM data includes the Pharmacy school.

NOTE: Doctoral and medical degrees not included.